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## FOREWORD

The General Population Census of Cambodia of 2019 (GPCC) provides a crucial opportunity to examine past achievements and to guide future development plans and strategies. Aware of the vital importance of the project, the Royal Government of Cambodia allocated major national resources towards the implementation of the GPCC 2019.

I am gratified that the census has been a success and that reliable and timely data will be made available to specialized users and the general public. In addition to the present document, a range of thematic reports will be generated by the National Institute of Statistics, with the assistance of specialists from various sectors, including academia.

On behalf of the Ministry of Planning, I would like to express our deep gratitude to Samdech Akka Moha Sena Padei Techo HUN SEN, Prime Minister of the Kingdom of Cambodia. His unwavering support has been integral to the successful completion of the census. I would also like to extend our sincerest thanks to Samdech Kralahorm Sar Kheng, Deputy Prime Minister, Minister of the Interior and Chairman of the National Census Committee (NCC) and the others members of the committee, for their guidance.

As Chair of the Technical Committee and the Publicity Committee for the General Population Census of Cambodia of 2019 - and on behalf of the Ministry of Planning - I would like to thank all members of the census committee working in the capital, provinces, municipalities, districts, khans and communes/sangkats. They did an excellent job and, by working together, we have been able to successfully implement our planned activities and obtain valuable results.

I would also like to thank the United Nations Population Program (UNFPA), the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA) and the Federal Republic of Germany and their implementer, the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ). Their financial and technical assistance supported the census planning and also the data entry, cleaning and analysis phases. They also provided training in report writing and helped draft the final census report.

I would like to thank Dr Nott Rama Rao for providing technical assistance in the census planning process and for reviewing all technical aspects of the census. And Dr Ricardo Neupert, Census Chief Technical Advisor, for providing overall technical assistance, particularly in writing the final census report. Dr Arij Dekker also provided much-appreciated help with the data cleaning and the preparation of the census priority tables. And Mr Kjell Tambour, Senior Advisor with Statistics Sweden/SIDA, provided welcome assistance with the data processing.

I would like to express my special thanks to the Government of the People's Republic of China for providing material assistance worth a total of $\$ 2.5$ million to support the census. This valuable contribution included automobiles, motorcycles, desktops, laptops, printers, photocopiers, tablets, servers and other electronic devices.

Last but not least, I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to all staff of the National Institute of Statistics. H.E. Ms. Hang Lina, Delegate of the Royal Government of Cambodia in-charge of Director-General of the National Institute of Statistics, carefully coordinated all census operations, with the assistance of Deputy Directors-General H.E. Sok Kosal, H.E. Saint Lundy and H.E. They Kheam. I would like to express particular thanks to all compatriots who supported and participated in the successful completion of census operations in the Kingdom of Cambodia in 2019.

We are pleased to present to line-ministries, international agencies, non-government organization, policy makers, programme implementers, development planners, and researchers a publication with a plethora of useful information on mortality and maternal mortality. We hope to receive feedback and contributions from our readers to learn from mistakes and improve subsequent census publications.

Senior Minister<br>Minister of Planning



Kitti Settha Pandita Chhay Than
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Censuses provide a wealth of age-disaggregated information that can provide valuable insights into the lives of children and young people. This thematic report uses data from the General Population Census of Cambodia 2019 to develop a detailed analysis of the current demographic and socioeconomic situation of children and young people in Cambodia.

The total number of people recorded in the 2019 Cambodian census was $15,552,211$. Of the total population, $4,571,140$ (29.4\%) were children under the age of 15 , and $2,664,530$ ( $17.1 \%$ ) were youths aged between 15-24 years old (section 2.1). The child (those aged 0-14) dependency ratio in 2019 was 47.6. And this dependency ratio was generally higher in rural areas than in urban areas (section 3.2.1).
$98.9 \%$ of children (aged 0-14) and 96.2\% of young people (aged 15-24) were recorded as living in regular households. $1.1 \%$ of children and $3.1 \%$ of young people were living in institutions, living on boats or were considered homeless or living in a transient household (section 2.1, Table 2.2).

Between 2008 and 2019, the number of children under 15 increased by just 57,348 . This is a $1.3 \%$ overall increase and corresponds to an annual growth rate of $0.1 \%$. In the same time period, the number of young people aged $15-24$ declined by 323,962 . This is equivalent to a $10.8 \%$ decline in the youth population and an annual growth rate of $-1 \%$ (section 2.2).

Looking at the spatial distribution of these populations, it is clear that children and young people remain concentrated in rural, rather than urban, areas. In 2019, 65.6\% of children (aged 0-14) and $58.1 \%$ of youths (aged 15-24) were recorded as living in rural areas (section 2.3). As in the Cambodian population overall, the majority of those under the age of 25 lived in the central plains and Tonle Sap regions ( $79.5 \%$ ) of the country.

Buddhism was the main religion reported by children and young people in 2019 (section 2.4). $97.7 \%$ of children (aged 0-14) and $97.7 \%$ of youths aged (aged 15-24) were recorded as Buddhists. The next largest religious group, Muslims, accounted for $1.7 \%$ of the child population and $1.5 \%$ of the youth population. Christianity and other religions accounted for $0.5 \%$ and $0.7 \%$, respectively.

In terms of native language, Khmer was the dominant native language in 2019, accounting for $95.9 \%$ of children aged $0-14$ and $95.6 \%$ of youths aged $15-24$ (section 2.5 ). Those reporting an indigenous language as their native tongue accounted for $3.4 \%$ of children and $3.3 \%$ of youths. And those with a foreign language as a native language accounted for $0.7 \%$ of children and $1.1 \%$ of youths.

The 2019 census found that $51.2 \%$ of the population aged $0-14$ were boys and $48.8 \%$ were girls. Of the young people aged $15-24$ years old, $49.6 \%$ were boys, and $50.4 \%$ were girls (section 3.3 ). The sex ratio for children aged 0-14 was 105.1. This means that the number of male children aged 014 years was greater that the corresponding statistic for girls. For young people aged 15-24 years old, the sex ratio was 98.4.

The proportion of the youth population aged 15-24 that reported being currently married was quite high at $20.3 \%$ (section 3.4.1). This pattern was particularly pronounced for young women living in rural areas $(30.1 \%)$. At the same time, the proportion of $15-24$-year-olds that reported being widows/widowers or separated, exceeded $1 \%$.

Census information on literacy and education are particularly important areas of consideration for children and young people. The results of the 2019 Cambodian census show that the literacy rates for any language among children aged $7-14$ and youths aged $15-24$ years old were $92.1 \%$ and $94.7 \%$ respectively. The literacy rate for girls tended to be higher than the rate for boys the same age (section 4.2).

The rate for children and young people reporting literacy in "any language" was higher in urban areas than in rural areas. This reflects differences in the overall level of development between urban and rural areas. However, due to policies for universal education and the elimination of illiteracy, the gap in literacy for children (aged 7-14) in urban and rural areas was just $1.9 \%$ ( $93.4 \%$ in urban areas and $91.5 \%$ in rural areas). The urban-rural difference in the literacy rate for the youth population (15-24 years) was $4.3 \%$.

In terms of language proficiency, 93.8\% of children aged 7-14 and 87.6\% of youths aged 15-24 reported proficiency in Khmer. Some children also knew other languages: 3.4\% knew Khmer and English, 2.2\% knew Khmer and other non-English language(s); and $0.6 \%$ reported knowing any language other than Khmer. In much the same vein, $8.9 \%$ percent of youths reported knowing Khmer and English, 2.5\% reported Khmer and other non-English language(s). 1\% reported knowing any language other than Khmer.

A higher proportion of youths (15-24 years) reported knowing a foreign language than children (7-14 years), especially English. The proportions who reported knowing Khmer and other languages were lower for males than for females in both urban and rural areas.

In addition to data on literacy, the census also gathered information on educational attainment and enrollment. The 2019 results show that $28.5 \%$ of $7-24$ year-olds who had attended a school or educational institution had completed primary school. $19.5 \%$ had completed their lower secondary education, $2.9 \%$ had completed secondary school and $0.8 \%$ had completed a tertiary degree. About $45.6 \%$ of $7-24$ years old had not completed primary education and a small proportion (2.7\%) were recorded as having had no education.

The 15-19 age group should generally have completed their lower secondary education. However, $16.7 \%$ of the $15-19$ age group had not yet completed their primary education, possibly due to late enrollment, repetition or dropout. In the same 15-19 age group, $40.8 \%$ had completed primary school and $39.9 \%$ had completed their lower secondary education.

Reducing dropout and ensuring higher levels of educational attainment are key areas to address. In 2019, the enrollment rate was $90.6 \%$ for children aged $6-11$ years and $91.6 \%$ for children aged 12-14. However, a far smaller proportion of those aged 15-17 and 18-24 years-old were currently enrolled ( $67.6 \%$ and $20.7 \%$ respectively). It is possible that this is a consequence of individuals in these age groups dropping out of school.

The enrollment rates of girls and boys were similar for those aged 6-11 years (91.1\% and 90.1\% respectively). The boys' enrollment rate tended to be slightly lower than that of girls between the ages of $6-17$ years. However, this imbalance in enrollment is reversed for the older age groups, with proportionately more young men enrolled than young women.

The labor force participation rate (LFPR) is the percentage of economically active individuals (i.e. the labor force) in a given population. Importantly, the labor force included both those who were employed, as well as those who were unemployed in the 12 months prior to the census.

Relative to those aged 15-24, the LFPR for children aged $5-14$ was low ( $2.1 \%$ ); although it was higher for boys $(2.5 \%)$ than for girls (1.7\%). The LFPR for the population aged $15-24$ was $58.4 \%$, and it included $58.9 \%$ of young men and $57.9 \%$ of young women (section 5.2).

The LFPR in rural areas was slightly was slightly higher than in urban areas ( $60.3 \%$ and $55.8 \%$ respectively). And this held for males and females. It is possible that this pattern reflects higher levels of participation in education among young people in urban areas relative to rural areas.

In 2019, the employment rate for children aged 5-14 was $91 \%$ and $98.2 \%$ for young people aged $15-24$. The unemployment rate among young men aged $15-24$ was $1.2 \%$ and $1 \%$ for young women the same age (section 5.3).
$54.2 \%$ of children and young people (aged 5-24) and employed were classified as unpaid family workers and self-employed, so-called "own account", workers. The same group accounted for $82.6 \%$ in 2008. The decline in this statistic in the period 2008-2019 has been largely due to a decline in the proportion of unpaid family workers, and an increase in waged employees (section 5.4).

In 2019, 42.8\% of employed young people (15-24 years) were engaged in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries sector. $27.7 \%$ worked in handicrafts and related jobs and $9.7 \%$ worked in the service and sales sectors, and $8.6 \%$ worked in elementary occupations.

The most common occupations for urban young people in the 15-24age group, were craftsmen and related jobs ( $43.4 \%$ ), followed by the service and sales sectors ( $17.1 \%$ ). However, agriculture was still an important occupation in rural areas and it accounted for $63.5 \%$ of employed young people aged 15-24 years. In contrast to urban areas, just 17.3\% of this group reported their occupation as "craftsman or a related job".

Looking at employment by economic activity, the report found that the category "agriculture, forestry and fisheries" had decreased to $45.4 \%$ of employed young people aged 15-24. Other activities accounted for an increased share of employment, such as manufacturing (23.6\%); wholesale and retail trade, car automobile and motorcycle repair (8\%); construction (7\%); arts, entertainment, and recreation (3.1\%).

Analyzing patterns of domestic migration in the 2019 census data, revealed that approximately $93.6 \%$ of children and $80.5 \%$ of youths had never had a home outside of the interview site (section 6.2). The percentage of youth migrants in urban areas (32.9\%) was much higher than in rural areas (9.9\%).

Family relocation was the main reason for migration that was provided by these migrants ( $72 \%$ for children and $38.4 \%$ for youths) (section 6.3). Most of the migration flows for children aged $0-14$ were urban-to-urban flows, followed by rural-to-urban flows (section 6.4). For 15-24-year-olds, the main relocation was from rural to urban, followed by urban to urban. This pattern reflects the rapidly changing employment context and growing urbanization in Cambodia.

The majority of migrants had lived at the interview site for less than five years before the census (section 6.5). The most common length of stay was between one and four years. In urban areas, the proportion of child and youth migrants that had lived less than five years at the interview site was higher than the corresponding proportion for in rural areas.

Compared to youths, the percentage of child migrants (aged 5-14) that were economically active was low (4.4\%). In urban areas, the proportion economically active was $3.3 \%$. Economically active
migrant children in urban areas mostly worked in arts, entertainment, and recreation (26.4\%), followed by wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair (19\%) and manufacturing (18.7).
$65.5 \%$ of young migrants (aged 15-24) were economicaly active. A higher percentage of young migrants in rural areas were active compared to urban areas ( $76.8 \%$ and $60.8 \%$, respectively). In urban areas, most youth migrants worked in manufacturing (34.8\%), followed by wholesale and retail trade and car and motorcycle repair (16.5\%).

Broadly speaking, fertility rates for young women (aged 15-24) tended to be lower in urban areas than in rural areas (section 7.2). Infant and under-five mortality rates also followed the same urban-rural pattern (section 8.2). For example, the infant mortality rate was estimated at 15.9 per live births in urban areas, compared to 18.9 in rural areas.

Infant and under-five mortality rates were also higher for males than females. If the mortality levels prevailing in 2019 remained constant in the future, a male newborn would be expected to live for 74.3 years and a female newborn 76.8 years. Under the same assumptions, young men aged 20-24 years would be expected to live an additional 56.4 years and young women would be expected to live an additional 58.5 years (section 8.3).

Disability rates were low for children aged 5-14 and youths aged 15-24 for all levels of disability (section 9.2). 98.8\% of children and youths aged 5-24 years reported that they did not have a disability in the 2019 census.

Of the 37,575 children aged $5-14$ who did report a disability, $70.5 \%$ experienced some level of disability, $16.3 \%$ were severely disabled, and $13.2 \%$ were classified as being unable to do anything. Of the 31,899 youths aged 15-24 who reported being disabled, $65.4 \%$ experienced some level of disability, $19.6 \%$ reported a severe disability, and $15 \%$ were classified as being unable do anything.

In addition to gathering data on individuals, the census also collected information about the characteristics of their housing. According to the 2019 census, $93.9 \%$ of households with children and young people lived in buildings that were wholly residential. Nearly $5 \%$ lived in buildings that were partly residential and included a shop. And nearly $1 \%$ lived in buildings that were partly residential and included a workshop. $91.3 \%$ of households with children and youths in urban areas lived in buildings that were wholly residential. In rural areas the corresponding proportion was $95.2 \%$ (section 10.1).

Children and youths living in households that were in the highest wealth quintile were more likely to live in buildings that were partly residential and partly shops/commercial (13.1\%). In contrast, those in the lowest wealth quintile had the lowest proportion of households that lived in buildings of this type (1.3\%).

Households with children and young people were larger than the average household (section 10.2.1). This was particularly true for households in urban areas and in the highest quintile. Of households with children and young people, $91.6 \%$ reported living in their own home (10.2.2). Only $84 \%$ percent lived in their own home in urban areas, whereas the same figure for rural areas was $96.1 \%$.

Most of the households with children and young people (61.6\%) lived in houses with just one room. In urban areas, $52.3 \%$ of households had one room and $29 \%$ had two rooms. $10.3 \%$ had three rooms and about $8.4 \%$ had four or more rooms. Proportionately far more households with children and youths in the high and highest quintiles reported having two or more rooms, than households in the low and lowest quintiles.

The 2019 census housing data also provided valuable information about the amenities available to households with children and young people. $72.7 \%$ of households with children and young people had access to an improved source of drinking water (section 10.3.1). Households with children and youth that were in the high and highest wealth quintiles had much higher access to safe (improved) drinking water ( $81 \%$ and $94.7 \%$, respectively) than households with children and youth with the lowest quintile (46.2\%).

The census found that $83.8 \%$ of households with children and young people had access to electricity (including grid electricity, generators, and both sources) in 2019 (section 10.3.2). In rural areas $76.2 \%$ of households with children and young people had access to electricity (including grid electricity, generators, and a combination of these sources) in 2019. In urban areas, the same figure was $96.8 \%$.

In 2019, $82.6 \%$ of households with children and young people had access to a latrine (section 10.3.3). In the lowest quintile, only $15.1 \%$ of households with children young people had access to improved toilets. Moreover, $53.5 \%$ of the households in the lowest wealth quintile had no access to toilets for defecation. This suggests that it is necessary to review and strengthen sanitation and health programs.

For households with children and young people firewood was still an important fuel. 61.4\% reported using firewood for cooking (section 10.3.4). The proportion that used firewood for cooking was particularly high in rural areas (78.6\%).

As in the population as a whole, the 2019 census results show that internet usage has been increasing for households with children and young people (section 10.3.5). $50.8 \%$ reported having internet access. Of these households, $22.3 \%$ accessed the internet at home, $4.6 \%$ accessed it in public places and $23.8 \%$ accessed it both at home and in public places. The percentage of households with children and young people that had access to the internet in urban areas was higher than the corresponding percentage in rural areas ( $62.3 \%$ versus $44 \%$ ).

Households with children and young people were more likely to have a TV set than the Cambodian population overall ( $68.6 \%$ versus $67.5 \%$ ). The percentages with a TV set were particularly high for urban households and for households that were in the highest and high wealth quintiles (section 10.3.6).

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

The General Population Census of Cambodia 2019 (GPCC) is the fourth census to be carried out in the Kingdom of Cambodia. It is part of the 2020 round of population and housing censuses recommended by the United Nations. The first census in Cambodia was conducted in 1962, with followup exercises undertaken in 1998 and 2008. Cambodia continues to use paper-based questionnaires and pencil recording to collect data. As a consequence, extensive work was necessary to prepare the required questionnaires, manuals, training guides; and to carry out a pre-test and pilot census.

Census preparations started in early 2016 with the development of an initial census plan, which was then approved by the Royal Government of Cambodia. A National Census Committee was formed in 2017. A census strategy was also formulated in 2017 and this established that the enumeration would commence on March $3^{\text {rd }}$, 2019. The National Institute of Statistics (NIS) produced the enumeration maps using hand-sketched area plans for the entire country. Each Enumeration Area (EA) was separately delineated.

The previous three censuses had already enabled the Royal Government of Cambodia to build up the capacity to required conduct the GPCC 2019. The questionnaire covers population data, as well as certain household characteristics. It is hoped that the results from the GPCC 2019 will provide essential demographic and household data for all forms of evaluation and planning.

### 1.2 Census organizational structure

As in the past, the National Committee for the Census was the lead organizing body. The committee was headed by H.E. Samdech Kralahorm Sar Keng, Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of the Interior, and it included members from all line ministries responsible for policy matters concerning the census. The Census Technical Committee, was headed by H.E. Kitti Settha Pandita Chhay Than, Senior Minister, Minister of Planning. The committee was in charge of technical issues relating to the census such as the design of the questionnaires, training guides, as well as the supervisor and enumeration manuals.

The committee also took the lead for the pilot census, training events, the field enumeration, editing and coding, data entry and the preparation of analytical reports. The Committee of Census Publicity and Advocacy was also led by H.E. Kitti Settha Pandita Chhay Than, Senior Minister, Minister of Planning. It provided oversight and advice to ensure that the population was informed through printed publications, television spots, banners, flyers, $t$-shirts and labelled bags. The Ministry of Planning was in day-to-day charge of the census operations, with the NIS serving as the implementing agency.

Provincial planning departments acted as provincial census offices during the census operations, with the director of the provincial planning department taking the role of provincial census officer, the senior officer in the province responsible for census operations. Provincial census officers were each
supported by a specialized assistant. Census officers at the district/commune level and village chiefs were under the supervision of the provincial census officer. The municipal/provincial governors acted as chair of the municipal/provincial census committees responsible for oversight of the census operations in their municipality or province. Regional officers from the National Institute of Statistics were assigned to provide technical assistance to the provincial census officers.

### 1.3 Field operations

The GPCC 2019 enumerated some 3.6 million regular households present in the 25 provinces of Cambodia. The preparatory household listing process (Form A) was carried out between $28^{\text {th }}$ February and $2^{\text {nd }}$ of March 2019. The actual enumeration (Form B) started on midnight March $3^{\text {rd }}$ and lasted until March $13^{\text {th }} 2019$. As already mentioned, the census deployed 38,447 enumerators and 9,200 supervisors to collect the data in a total of 14,545 villages. There were a little more than 37,000 regular EAs, with the remainder of the staff assigned to special settlements such as camps, prisons, hospitals. Homeless persons (including those staying in boats) were enumerated during census night.

In keeping with the $13^{\text {th }}$ March 2019 deadline, most households in Cambodia were covered during the eleven-day enumeration period. However, recent growth in the Chinese population in Preah Sihanouk province meant that it was necessary to extend the enumeration period in this province by three days. A special team from the NIS was also deployed to support the Preah Sihanouk team. The existence of many new households in Phnom Penh also resulted in an extension of the enumeration period in the capital, with interviews carried out until $20^{\text {th }}$ of March 2019.

Enumerators and other census officers traveled long distances to reach remote and forested areas. The field staff used vehicles, motorcycles, bicycles and boats. Mobile phone communication was highly useful during census work and ensured that supervisors and enumerators were able to contact core staff when assistance was needed.

Table 1.1 Timetable of major census fieldwork

| Date | Activities |
| :--- | :--- |
| February 28th to March 2nd, 2019 (3 days) | Household listing in enumeration areas and updating the <br> enumeration area maps |
| March 3rd, 2019 night-time | Enumeration of the homeless and transient population |
| March 3rd to 13th, 2019 (11 days) | General Population Census of Cambodia 2019 enumeration <br> with midnight of March 3rd (00:00 AM) as the reference <br> moment |
| March 13th to 14th, 2019 | Collection of completed census documents from field offices |
| March 15th to 22nd, 2019 | Receipt of the completed census records at the National <br> Institute of Statistics in Phnom Penh |

## CHAPTER 2

## POPULATION SIZE, GROWTH AND DISTRIBUTION

### 2.1 Size of the child and youth populations

The final results of the General Population Census of Cambodia (GPCC) in 2019 indicate that the total population was $15,552,211$, compared to $13,395,682$ in 2008 . The increase in the population of $2,156,529$ was equal to $16.1 \%$ in the 11 -year period from 2008 to 2019. Males accounted for $7,571,837$ of the total population (48.7\%) and females accounted for 7,980,374 (51.3\%).

The first census was conducted in 1962, after Cambodia gained independence from France. At the time, the total population was estimated to be 5.7 million. Due to war and unrest, Cambodia's demographic profile has completely changed since the first census. After 1962, Cambodia did not conduct a census until 1998. However, population estimates were generated by demographic experts in order to inform various plans and policies.

Overall, the population of Cambodia has been steadily increasing over time. The 1979-1985 Demographic Survey estimated the Cambodian population at 6.6 million. The National Institute of Statistics later led the 1994 Cambodia Socio-Economic Survey, which estimated the country's population at 9.9 million. The National Institute of Statistics conducted a census in March 1996, covering 20,000 households and estimated a total population of 10.7 million.

The total Cambodian population based on the March 1998 census was 11.4 million. Cambodia then conducted an intercensal population survey in 2004, and found that the Cambodian population had increased to 12.8 million.

In the 2008 GPCC, the population was found to have reached 13.4 million. This was updated by an intercensal population survey in 2013, which estimated a total population of approximately 14.7 million people. The results of the 2019 census, estimate a total population of around 15.6 million.

Out of a total population of $15,552,211,7,235,670(46.5 \%)$ were children and youths under 25 years of age. 4,571,140 (29.4 percent) were children under 15 years old, and 2,664,530 (17.1\%) were youths aged between $15-24$ years old. $1,571,860(34.4 \%)$ of $4,571,140$ and $1,117.361$ ( 41.9 percent) of $2,664,530$ were the cohorts of urban children and youths, respectively.

The data in Table 2.1 show that $79.9 \%$ of children who were $0-14$ years old lived in the central plains and Tonle Sap regions. Only $20.1 \%$ lived in the plateau and mountains region; and the coastal and sea region. The same trend also exists for young people and the general population.

Table 2.1 Total number of children and youth by urban-rural area, region and province, 2019

| Area/Region/Province | Population |  |  | Percentage of general population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General | Children | Youth | Children | Youth |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 15,552,211 | 4,571,140 | 2,664,530 | 29.4 | 17.1 |
| Urban | 6,135,194 | 1,571,860 | 1,117,361 | 25.6 | 18.2 |
| Rural | 9,417,017 | 2,999,280 | 1,547,169 | 31.8 | 16.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central plains | 7,644,295 | 2,111,101 | 1,277,920 | 27.6 | 16.7 |
| Tonle Sap | 4,852,964 | 1,539,578 | 824,451 | 31.7 | 17.0 |
| Coastal \& sea | 1,072,468 | 291,739 | 190,066 | 27.2 | 17.7 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 1,982,484 | 628,606 | 371,971 | 31.7 | 18.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banteay Meanchey | 861,883 | 253,230 | 148,834 | 29.4 | 17.3 |
| Battambang | 997,169 | 315,037 | 166,432 | 31.6 | 16.7 |
| Kampong Cham | 899,791 | 273,910 | 137,902 | 30.4 | 15.3 |
| Kampong Chhnang | 527,027 | 164,922 | 88,093 | 31.3 | 16.7 |
| Kampong Speu | 877,523 | 252,896 | 167,039 | 28.8 | 19.0 |
| Kampong Thom | 681,549 | 221,771 | 109,446 | 32.5 | 16.1 |
| Kampot | 593,829 | 171.679 | 101,437 | 28.9 | 17.1 |
| Kandal | 1,201,581 | 330,497 | 204,626 | 27.5 | 17.0 |
| Koh Kong | 125,902 | 37,042 | 23,110 | 29.4 | 18.4 |
| Kratie | 374,755 | 126,061 | 62,260 | 33.6 | 16.6 |
| Mondulkiri | 92,213 | 32,290 | 18,530 | 35.0 | 20.1 |
| Phnom Penh | 2,281,951 | 508,913 | 431,604 | 22.3 | 18.9 |
| Preah Vihear | 254,827 | 85,362 | 48,303 | 33.5 | 19.0 |
| Prey Veng | 1,057,720 | 342,184 | 145,027 | 32.4 | 13.7 |
| Pursat | 419,952 | 139,180 | 67,029 | 33.1 | 16.0 |
| Ratanakiri | 217,453 | 76,005 | 43,886 | 35.0 | 20.2 |
| Siem Reap | 1,014,234 | 328,479 | 181,912 | 32.4 | 17.9 |
| Preah Sihanouk | 310,072 | 70,414 | 57,552 | 22.7 | 18.6 |
| Stoeng Treng | 165,713 | 55,992 | 31,953 | 33.8 | 19.3 |
| Svay Rieng | 525,497 | 156,157 | 79,582 | 29.7 | 15.1 |


| Area/Region/Province | Population |  |  | Percentage of general population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General | Children | Youth | Children | Youth |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Takeo | 900,914 | 253,465 | 154,286 | 28.1 | 17.1 |
| Oddor Meanchey | 276,038 | 93,118 | 49,467 | 33.7 | 17.9 |
| Kep | 42,665 | 12,604 | 7,967 | 29.5 | 18.7 |
| Pailin | 75,112 | 23,841 | 13,238 | 31.7 | 17.6 |
| Tbaung Khum | 776,841 | 246,091 | 125,015 | 32 | 16 |

Note: These figures do not include migrant workers.
Table 2.2 shows the total number of children and youth in 2019 by urban-rural area, region, and province. Of the $4,571,140$ children aged $0-14$ years old, $4,519,025$ (98.9\%) were living in normal households and 52,115 ( $1.1 \%$ ) were living in institutional households, homeless households, households living on a boat, and transient households.

Of the 2,664,530 youths aged 15-24 years, 2,562,282 (96.2\%) lived in normal households, and 102,248 (3.1\%) were living in institutional households, homeless households, households living on a boat, and transient households.

Most of the children and youth in institutional or transient households were living in urban areas. Children and youth in these types of households tended to be concentrated the central plains, Tonle Sap and plateau and mountains regions (Table 2.2).

Table 2.2 Total number of children and youth by household type, sex, urban-rural area, region and province, 2019

| Area | Total | Normal household | Institutional household | Homeless household | Household living on a boat | Transient households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | 2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Children aged 0-14 years old |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 4,571,140 | 4,519,025 | 41,500 | 2,490 | 1,299 | 6,826 |
| Male | 2,342,395 | 2,310,529 | 26,275 | 1,289 | 666 | 3,636 |
| Female | 2,228,745 | 2,208,496 | 15,225 | 1,201 | 633 | 3,190 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1,571,860 | 1,541,420 | 25,049 | 1,117 | 430 | 3,844 |
| Rural | 2,999,280 | 2,977,605 | 16,451 | 1,373 | 869 | 2,982 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central plains | 2,111,101 | 2,089,762 | 16,158 | 1,085 | 617 | 3,479 |
| Tonle Sap | 1,539,578 | 1,525,041 | 11,199 | 1,122 | 583 | 1,633 |
| Coastal \& sea | 291,739 | 281,003 | 10,297 | 132 | 49 | 258 |


| Area | Total | Normal household | Institutional household | Homeless household | Household living on a boat | Transient households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | 2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Plateau \& mountains | 628,606 | 623,219 | 3,846 | 151 | 50 | 1,340 |
| Youths aged 15-24 years old |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 2,664,530 | 2,562,282 | 90,555 | 1,587 | 628 | 9,478 |
| Male | 1,321,614 | 1,256,278 | 58,421 | 836 | 327 | 5,752 |
| Female | 1,342,916 | 1,306,004 | 32,134 | 751 | 301 | 3,726 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1,117,361 | 1,043,021 | 66,715 | 817 | 272 | 6,536 |
| Rural | 1,547,169 | 1,519,261 | 23,840 | 770 | 356 | 2,942 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central plains | 1,277,920 | 1,225,723 | 46,363 | 779 | 319 | 4,736 |
| Tonle Sap | 824,451 | 802,765 | 18,734 | 616 | 255 | 2,081 |
| Coastal \& sea | 190,066 | 171,304 | 17,519 | 91 | 19 | 1,133 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 371,971 | 362,490 | 7,923 | 101 | 35 | 1,422 |

Note: These figures do not include migrant workers.

### 2.2 Annual growth of the child and youth populations

Population growth is broadly used to cover changes in the population living in a country or region over a specific period, regardless of whether the change is positive or negative. This change is calculated as a percentage.

The final results of the 2019 suggest that there was a total increase of only 57,348 children aged $0-14$ in the period 2008-2019. This is equivalent to a $1.3 \%$ increase over this eleven-year period, and corresponds to an annual growth rate of $0.1 \%$.

For youths aged 15-24, there was a total decrease of 323,962 . This corresponds to a $-10.8 \%$ decline in the youth population in the period 2008-2019, as well as an annual growth rate of $-1.0 \%$. These figures may be due to declining fertility during the 1990s and youth migration to countries such as Thailand, the Republic of Korea, Japan, Malaysia, Singapore, Hong Kong, and Saudi Arabia.

Table 2.3 shows that the annual growth rate for children was higher in urban areas (7.8\%) than rural areas ( $-2.3 \%$ ). Annual growth rates were positive for the child population in all regions, except in the coastal \& sea region. The annual growth rate for the total youth population decreased ( $-1.0 \%$ ) and rural areas also experienced negative growth $(-3.5 \%)$. However, the annual growth rate for the youth population living in urban areas was positive, with a rate of $4.1 \%$. This is due to an increase in employment in urban areas.

Table 2.3 Annual population growth rate by area, region and province, 2019

| Area/Region/Province | Population |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Children | Youth | Children | Youth | Children | Youth |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 4,513,792 | 2,988,492 | 4,571,140 | 2,664,530 | 0.1 | -1.0 |
| Urban | 664,351 | 712,956 | 1,571,860 | 1,117,361 | 7.8 | 4.1 |
| Rural | 3,849,441 | 2,275,536 | 2,999,280 | 1,547,169 | -2.3 | -3.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central plains | 2,058,675 | 1,464,664 | 2,111,101 | 1,277,920 | 0.2 | -1.2 |
| Tonle Sap | 1,542,720 | 990,571 | 1,539,578 | 824,451 | 0.0 | -1.7 |
| Coastal \& sea | 335,564 | 213,553 | 291,739 | 190,066 | -1.3 | -1.1 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 576,833 | 319,704 | 628,606 | 371,971 | 0.8 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banteay Meanchey | 228,566 | 156,273 | 253,230 | 148,834 | 0.9 | -0.4 |
| Battambang | 352,287 | 244,100 | 315,037 | 166,432 | -1.0 | -3.5 |
| Kampong cham | 321,463 | 176,558 | 273,910 | 137,902 | -1.5 | -2.2 |
| Kampong Chhnang | 169,504 | 101,670 | 164,922 | 88,093 | -0.2 | -1.3 |
| Kampong Speu | 261,813 | 154,835 | 252,896 | 167,039 | -0.3 | 0.7 |
| Kampong Thom | 233,810 | 126,036 | 221,771 | 109,446 | -0.5 | -1.3 |
| Kampot | 207,882 | 124,704 | 171,679 | 101,437 | -1.7 | -1.9 |
| Kandal | 344,429 | 251,608 | 330,497 | 204,626 | -0.4 | -1.9 |
| Kaoh Kong | 42,663 | 25,916 | 37,042 | 23,110 | -1.3 | -1.0 |
| Kratie | 118,711 | 62,125 | 126,061 | 62,260 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Mondulkiri | 24,680 | 12,648 | 32,290 | 18,530 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| Phnom Penh | 341,505 | 430,238 | 508,913 | 431,604 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| Preah Vihear | 67,949 | 35,016 | 85,362 | 48,303 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| Prey Veng | 332,272 | 176,237 | 342,184 | 145,027 | 0.3 | -1.8 |
| Pursat | 142,033 | 94,826 | 139,180 | 67,029 | -0.2 | -3.2 |
| Ratanakiri | 60,920 | 31,036 | 76,005 | 43,886 | 2.0 | 3.1 |
| Siem Reap | 322,857 | 207,077 | 328,479 | 181,912 | 0.2 | -1.2 |
| Preah Sihanouk | 71,699 | 55,021 | 70,414 | 57,552 | -0.2 | 0.4 |
| Stoeng Treng | 42,760 | 24,044 | 55,992 | 31,953 | 2.5 | 2.6 |


| Area/Region/Province | Population |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2019 |  | 2019 |  |
|  | Children | Youth | Children | Youth | Children | Youth |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Svay Rieng | 162,169 | 99,338 | 156,157 | 79,582 | -0.3 | -2.0 |
| Takeo | 297,622 | 170,297 | 253,465 | 154,286 | -1.5 | -0.9 |
| Oddor Meanchey | 69,931 | 42,953 | 93,118 | 49,467 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| Kep | 13,320 | 7,912 | 12,604 | 7,967 | -0.5 | 0.1 |
| Pailin | 23,732 | 17,636 | 23,841 | 13,238 | 0.0 | -2.6 |
| Tbaung Khum | 259,215 | 160,388 | 246,091 | 125,015 | -0.5 | -2.3 |

Note: These figures do not include migrant workers.

### 2.3 Geographical distribution of the child and youth populations

Table 2.4 shows the total percentage of children and youth by sex, urban-rural area, region and province. $34.4 \%$ of children and $41.9 \%$ of youths lived in urban areas. $65.6 \%$ of children and $58.1 \%$ of youths lived in rural areas. According to the data in Table 2.1, 79.9\% of children aged 0-14 years lived in the central plains and Tonle Sap, almost $80 \%$. Only $20.1 \%$ of children lived in the plateau \& mountains region; and the coastal \& sea regions. The same trend also existed for the youth and the general populations.

Table 2.4 Percentage of the total child and youth populations by sex, urban-rural area, region and province, 2019

| Area/Region/Province | Percentage of children 0-14 years |  |  | Percentage of youths 15-24 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Urban | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 41.9 | 41.3 | 42.6 |
| Rural | 65.6 | 65.6 | 65.6 | 58.1 | 58.7 | 57.4 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central plains | 46.2 | 46.2 | 46.2 | 48.0 | 47.4 | 48.5 |
| Tonle Sap | 33.7 | 33.7 | 33.6 | 30.9 | 31.3 | 30.6 |
| Coastal \& sea | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 13.8 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 14.0 | 14.1 | 13.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Area/Region/Province | Percentage of children 0-14 years |  |  | Percentage of youths 15-24 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banteay Meanchey | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| Battambang | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
| Kampong Cham | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Kampong Chhnang | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Kampong Speu | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
| Kampong Thom | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| Kampot | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Kandal | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 |
| Koh Kong | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Kratie | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Mondulkiri | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Phnom Penh | 11.1 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 16.8 |
| Preah Vihear | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Prey Veng | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.4 |
| Pursat | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Ratanakiri | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Siem Reap | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| Preah Sihanouk | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| Stoeng Treng | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Svay Rieng | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Takeo | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Oddor Meanchey | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| Kep | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Pailin | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Tbaung Khum | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 |

Note: These figures do not include migrant workers.

### 2.4 Distribution of the child and youth populations by religion

Table 2.5 shows that $97.7 \%$ of children aged $0-14$ and $97.7 \%$ of youths aged $15-24$ years old were Buddhists. The next largest religious group, Muslims, accounted for $1.7 \%$ of the child population and $1.5 \%$ of the youth population. Christianity and other religions accounted for $0.5 \%$ and $0.7 \%$, respectively.

Table 2.5 Percentage distribution of the population by religion, urban-rural area, region, 2019

| Area/Region | Percentage of children 0-14 |  |  |  | Percentage of youth 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Buddhist | Islam | Christian | Other religions | Buddhist | Islam | Christian | Other religions |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Total | 97.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 97.7 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Urban | 96.4 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 96.4 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| Rural | 96.9 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central plains | 97.1 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 97.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Tonle Sap | 98.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 98.1 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Coastal \& sea | 96.8 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 95.9 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 92.7 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 93.2 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 4.3 |

Note: These figures do not include migrant workers.

### 2.5 Distribution of child and youth populations by native language

In the census, all household members were asked to answer about their native language. According to Table 2.6, Khmer was the dominant native language in 2019, accounting for $95.9 \%$ of children aged 0-14 and $95.6 \%$ of youths aged 15-24. Individuals reporting an indigenous language as their native tongue, accounted for $3.4 \%$ of children and $3.3 \%$ of youths. Those with a foreign native language accounted for $0.7 \%$ of children and $1.1 \%$ of youths.

Table 2.6 Percentage distribution of the population under 25 years old by native language and sex, 2019

| Native language | Percentage of children 0-14 |  | Percentage of youths 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Khmer | 95.9 | 95.9 | 95.9 | 95.6 | 95.6 | 95.6 |
| Vietnamese | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Chinese | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Laotian | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Thai | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other languages | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Indigenous languages | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
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## CHAPTER 3

## COMPOSITION BY SEX, AGE GROUP AND MARITAL STATUS

### 3.1. Background

According to the United Nations definition, the age of a person recorded in the census is the interval between the date of birth and the date of the census in full solar years. The UN defintion also states that age information can be based on the date of birth (day/month/year of birth) or by directly asking an individual their age at last birthday.

These recommendations have been used to collect age data in previous censuses and surveys in Cambodia. Age information in full years is based on the last birthday received from the respondent. In general, it is easy to ask for this age information, although sometimes it is difficult to collect accurate age data from the illiterate or the elderly.

Special prompts may need to be used to ensure accurate age data is collected for respondents. The Khmer calendar was used by census interviewers to ask for the full age of respondents who had trouble reporting their age. Interviewers were also provided with a list of important national and international events, which were used to help respondents to accurately recall their age.

### 3.2 Age structure

Age structure is determined by three factors that affect population growth rates: fertility, mortality, and migration. The population age structure can be analyzed in terms of the percentage of the population present at each age or age group. Population pyramids are a graphical representation of the age structure and provide a visual summary of a populations' particular demographic history.

Table 3.1 Population distribution by single ages, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

|  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 2 | 2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| 3 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2 |
| 0-4 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 10 | 10.5 | 9.4 |


|  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Both } \\ & \text { sexes } \end{aligned}$ | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 5 | 2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2 |
| 6 | 2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2 |
| 7 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| 8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| 9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 2 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| 5-9 | 9.5 | 10 | 9 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
| 10 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| 11 | 2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2 |
| 12 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| 13 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| 14 | 2 | 2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| 10-14 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 10.1 | 9 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 11.1 |
| 15 | 2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2 |
| 16 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 17 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 18 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| 19 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 15-19 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 8.7 |
| 20 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 21 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| 22 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 23 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 24 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 20-24 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.2 |

Overall, the Cambodian population is aging, with $29.9 \%$ under the age of 15 and 8.9 percent being 60 years old and older. Between 2008 and 2019, there was a decrease in the population aged 0 14 years (due to a decline in the average number of births per woman) and an increase in the population aged 15-59.

When disaggregated by urban and rural areas, the working-age group in urban areas was larger than in the rural areas, whereas the percentage of the younger and older age groups was lower than in rural areas. This structure shows a combination of declining fertility rates in urban and rural areas, as well as population displacement.

Table 3.2 Population by age group, dependency ratio and sex ratio, 2019

| Characteristics | 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Total | 47.1 | 47.5 | 43.7 |
| 0-14 | 29.9 | 30 | 26.8 |
| 15-24 | 17.2 | 17.5 | 16.9 |
| Dependency ratio of children under 15 years old | 47.6 | 38.7 | 54.1 |
| Sex ratio of 0-14 | 105.1 | 105.0 | 105.1 |
| Sex ratio of 15-24 | 98.4 | 95.4 | 100.6 |

Note: These figures do not include migrant workers.

### 3.2.1 Age dependency ratio

A simple method describing the age structure of a population is represented in a measure called the age dependency ratio. This measure can be thought of as the ratio of the dependent age to the population who are working age. It is constructed by combining the number of children (0-14 years old) in a population and the number of elderly people ( 60 years and above), dividing the total by the working age population (15-59 years) and then multiplying by 100. The under 15 child dependency ratio was also calculated separately.

Overall, the age-dependency ratio (Table 3.2) shows a downward trend in the number of dependents from 2008 to 2019. The age dependency ratio of children under 15 in 2019 was 47.6 . This means that out of every 100 people general population, there were 47.6 dependent children aged under 15. The child dependency ratio in urban areas was 38.7 out of 100 people of working age. The dependency ratio was generally higher in rural areas.

### 3.2.2 Population pyramids

Figure 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 shows the population age pyramid (total, urban and rural) for the population aged $0-24$ years. The pyramids show the percentage of males and females by age (single years), starting with the youngest age at the bottom and continuing to the oldest age at the top of the pyramid. The percentage of males is shown on the left and the percentage of females is on the right side of the pyramid.

Looking at the shape of the age pyramid illustrates the population age distribution. The proportion of the population in age 0 was slightly lower than the 1 -year-old group. This indicates a possible improvement in the country's health status, along with declining fertility and mortality during this period.

Figure 3.1 Age pyramid of the total population aged 0-24 years, 2019


The 2019 urban pyramids (Figure 3.2) show a decrease in the age group of males and females under the age of puberty, an increase in the labor force. The main reason for this trend may be the migration of thousands of rural garment workers, mostly girls.

In urban areas, there are many people in the working age group who are economically active. This trend may be due to the movement of people from rural to urban areas to find work in urban areas. The pyramids in the urban areas are wide and narrow in the middle, indicating a high proportion of young people in the labor force and a small group of children.

Figure 3.2 Age pyramid of urban population aged 0-24 years, 2019


The urban pyramid (Figure 3.2) shows that a comparatively larger proportion of the population is concentrated in the older age groups. In contrast, in the population in the rural pyramids is spread more evenly across the younger age groups, with proportionately fewer at the head of the pyramid. This comparison clearly shows that in rural areas there were many children and youths.

Figure 3.3 Age pyramid of rural population aged 0-24 years, 2019


### 3.3 Sex composition

The sex ratio is the ratio of the number of males per 100 females in a population. If the sex ratio is greater than 100, it means that the number of males exceeds the number of females. Conversely, if the sex ratio is less than 100, the number of females exceeds the number of males. The sex ratio is often used to measure overall gender equality in society. The ratio of males to females has a direct influence on the search for marriage partners and development programs. And it can also reflect important sex differences in the quality of life of males and females. This section analyses the sex ratio of the children and youth populations in Cambodia.

This basic information was collected for the 1998, 2008 and 2019 censuses. The 2019 census included information disaggregated by sex for almost all topics. This information on the sex ratio can help to identify gender impacts in development activities and can direct an effective response to gender issues. The final results of the population in the 2019 census found that there were 4,571,140 children aged $0-14$, of whom $51.2 \%$ were boys and $48.8 \%$ were girls. Similarly, the census found that there were $2,664,530$ young people aged $15-24$ years, of whom $49.6 \%$ were boys and $50.4 \%$ were girls.

In most countries, the number of male births and the number of female births are not equal. Fertility studies have shown that the number of male births is often higher than the number of female
births. The sex ratio at birth varies from 102 to 110 in most countries (Haupt et al., 2011). The sex ratios at birth for Cambodia were estimated at around 105.

The sex ratio for children aged 0-14 was 105.1 according to the final results of the 2019 General Population Census of Cambodia. This means that male children aged 0-14 years outnumbered girls. In most countries, the sex ratio is between 95 and 105. For young people aged 1524 years old, the sex ratio was 98.4.

Table 3.3 Distribution by urban-rural area and region, 2008 and 2019

| Area/Region/Province | 2008 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-14 | 15-24 | 0-14 | 15-24 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Total | 105.3 | 101.3 | 105.1 | 98.4 |
| Urban | 104.7 | 88.7 | 105.0 | 95.4 |
| Rural | 105.4 | 105.6 | 105.1 | 100.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Central plains | 105.6 | 99.6 | 105.0 | 96.0 |
| Tonle Sap | 105.2 | 102.2 | 105.3 | 100.7 |
| Coastal \& sea | 105.6 | 103.5 | 105.4 | 102.4 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 104.1 | 104.6 | 105.0 | 99.7 |

Note: These figures do not include migrant workers.

### 3.4 Marital status and the average age of first marriage

### 3.4.1 Marital status

Marital status is an important factor that can influence fertility, mortality, and migration. Table 3.4 shows the distribution of the male and female population aged 15 years and over by marital status. Questions about marital status in the 2019 census were divided into groups such as unmarried, currently married, widowed/widower, divorced, and living separately. In general, a person is considered to be currently married if, according to local laws or/and customs, $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ is recognized as married or living with a person of the opposite sex as husband and wife.

According to the 2019 census, $66.2 \%$ of the general population aged 15 and over reported being currently married. $27.5 \%$ of the general population aged 15 and over were unmarried or single, and around $4 \%$ were widowed. The proportions of divorced and widowed women were much higher than the corresponding proportions for men. This phenomenon occurs in almost every country in the world and reflects a combination of factors and including men's comparatively higher propensity to remarry and their reliance on women to fulfil family responsibilities.

The proportion of the youth population aged 15-24 that reported being currently married was quite high (20.3\%). This pattern was particularly notable for young women living in rural areas (30.1\%). At the same time, the proportion of rural young women (15-24 years) who reported being widowed, divorced or separated was also comparatively high (1.1\%).

Table 3.4 Population aged 15+ years by marital status, sex, and urban-rural area, 2019


Note: Table excludes those where marriage status was not reported

### 3.4.2 Age at first marriage

Direct questions about the age at first marriage were also asked in the 2019 census. However, the number of recalled marriages differed significantly from the indirect estimate, so the average age at first marriage was estimated using an indirect method derived from marital status by age. The average age of first-time marriage for young people was estimated as 23.0 for males and 22.5 for females. The average age at first marriage was higher for men than for women. The proportion of married young women married was higher than men in the same age group. This generally indicates that women marry at younger ages than men (Table 3.5).

The difference was observed between urban and rural areas in the age at first marriage. For both men and women, age at first marriage was lower in urban areas than rural areas. In 2019, the age at mean age at first marriage for urban men was similar to that of rural men (22.9 and 23.0 years respectively). For women, there was a minor difference between the age at first marriage for urban and rural women ( 22.1 and 22.8 years). However, in urban areas, the proportion was lower than in rural areas. For both males and females, the proportion of marriages between the ages of 15 and 19 was higher in rural areas than in urban areas (Table 3.5). The proportion of married women aged 20-24 years old was much higher in rural areas than in urban areas.

Table 3.5 Mean age at first marriage and the proportion ever-married by urban-rural area, sex and age group, 2019

| Area | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Difference in the mean age at first marriage between males and females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean age at first marriage | Percentage married |  | Mean age at first marriage | Percentage married |  |  |
|  |  | 15-19 | 20-24 |  | 15-19 | 20-24 |  |
| Total | 23.0 | 1.8 | 26.2 | 22.5 | 8.2 | 48.1 | 0.5 |
| Urban | 22.9 | 1.5 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 6.3 | 39.6 | 0.8 |
| Rural | 23.0 | 2.0 | 29.7 | 22.8 | 9.5 | 55.3 | 0.2 |

## CHAPTER 4

## LITERACY AND EDUCATION

### 4.1 Key concepts

Six questions were asked in the 2019 census in order to gather information on literacy and fulltime education: i) Khmer language literacy, ii) literacy in other languages, iii) school attendance, iv) current grade, v) highest grade completed, and vi) main subjects studied. All questions were also asked in the 2008 census, apart from the questions regarding current grade and the main subjects studied. These two new questions were included in the 2019 census to meet the needs of the national education system.

Literacy is the ability to read and write a language with comprehension. A person who can read and write a language is considered literate. A person is also considered illiterate if the person can read and write only names and numbers, or if they can read but not write or vice versa. The literacy rate is an important indicator of educational outcomes and is defined as the percentage literate within the population of a given age group. In the 2008 and 2019 censuses, children under the age of six were defined as illiterate, even if they attended school or could read and write certain words in any language.

### 4.2 Literacy rate

The results of the 2019 General Population Census of Cambodia show that the literacy rate for any language was $92.1 \%$ percent for children aged $7-14$, and $94.7 \%$, for youths aged $15-24$. The literacy rate for boys was slightly lower than the literacy rate for girls. Girls aged 15-24 years also had a higher literacy rate than boys the same age ( $95.0 \%$ and $94.4 \%$ respectively).

Figure 4.1 Percentage literate among 7-24-year-olds by language, sex and urban-rural area, 2019


The rate for children and young people reporting literacy in "any language" was higher in urban areas than in rural areas. This reflects differences in the overall level of development between urban and rural areas. However, due to policies for universal education and the elimination of illiteracy, the gap in literacy for children in urban and rural areas was just $1.9 \%$ ( $93.4 \%$ in urban areas and $91.5 \%$ in rural areas). The urban-rural difference in the literacy rate for the youth population (15-24 years) was $4.3 \%$.

Table 4.1 Percentage literate among 7-24-year-olds by language, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Area | Population aged 7-$24$ | Number literate |  | Literacy rate (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any language | Khmer only | Any language | Khmer only |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Children aged 7-14 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,506,520 | 2,309,580 | 2,166,603 | 92.1 | 86.4 |
| Males | 1,281,263 | 1,174,432 | 1,106,315 | 91.7 | 86.3 |
| Females | 1,225,257 | 1,135,148 | 1,060,288 | 92.6 | 86.5 |
| Urban | 843,233 | 787,696 | 708,994 | 93.4 | 84.1 |
| Males | 430,645 | 400,673 | 363,075 | 93 | 84.3 |


| Area | Population aged 724 | Number literate |  | Literacy rate (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any language | Khmer only | Any language | Khmer only |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Females | 412,588 | 387,023 | 345,919 | 93.8 | 83.8 |
| Rural | 1,663,287 | 1,521,884 | 1,457,609 | 91.5 | 87.6 |
| Males | 850,618 | 773,759 | 743,240 | 91 | 87.4 |
| Females | 812,669 | 748,125 | 714,369 | 92.1 | 87.9 |
| Youths aged 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,664,530 | 2,523,604 | 2,210,618 | 94.7 | 83 |
| Males | 1,321,614 | 1,247,983 | 1,098,326 | 94.4 | 83.1 |
| Females | 1,342,916 | 1,275,621 | 1,112,292 | 95 | 82.8 |
| Urban | 1,117,361 | 1,085,535 | 885,695 | 97.2 | 79.3 |
| Males | 545,531 | 528,839 | 432,535 | 96.9 | 79.3 |
| Females | 571,830 | 556,696 | 453,160 | 97.4 | 79.2 |
| Rural | 1,547,169 | 1,438,069 | 1,324,923 | 92.9 | 85.6 |
| Males | 776,083 | 719,144 | 665,791 | 92.7 | 85.8 |
| Females | 771,086 | 718,925 | 659,132 | 93.2 | 85.5 |

Note: Literacy rate calculation excluded those who did not report literacy

Table 4.1 shows the rate for literacy in "any language" in the population aged 7-24. 2,309,580 ( $92.1 \%$ ) of children aged $7-14$ were literate, compared to 2,523,604 (94.7\%) of youths aged 15-24.

Table 4.2 provides information on literacy by language, sex, and urban-rural area for children and youths in 2019. 93.8\% of children aged 7-14 and 87.6\% of youths aged 15-24 reported knowing Khmer. In addition to Khmer, some children also knew other languages: 3.4\% knew Khmer and English, $2.2 \%$ knew Khmer and other non-English language(s), and $0.6 \%$ reported knowing any language other than Khmer (Table 4.2). In much the same vein, 8.9\% percent of youths reported knowing Khmer and English, 2.5\% reported Khmer and other non-English language(s). And 1\% reported knowing any language other than Khmer.

A higher proportion of youths (15-24 years) reported knowing a foreign language than children (7-14 years), especially English. The proportions who reported knowing Khmer and other languages were lower for males than for females in both urban and rural areas.

Table 4.2 Literacy distribution for 7-24-year-olds by language, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Area | Population aged 724 that knows any language | Language |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Khmer | KhmerEnglish | Khmer and other languages except for English | Any language other than Khmer |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Children aged 7-14 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,309,580 | 93.8 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 0.6 |
| Males | 1,174,432 | 94.2 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 0.6 |
| Females | 1,135,148 | 93.4 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 0.7 |
| Urban | 787,696 | 90.0 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Males | 400,673 | 90.6 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| Females | 387,023 | 89.4 | 7.5 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Rural | 1,521,884 | 95.8 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
| Males | 773,759 | 96.1 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
| Females | 748,125 | 95.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| Youths aged 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,523,604 | 87.6 | 8.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| Males | 1,247,983 | 88.0 | 8.6 | 2.4 | 1.0 |
| Females | 1,275,621 | 87.2 | 9.3 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| Urban | 1,085,535 | 81.6 | 14.4 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| Males | 528,839 | 81.8 | 14.3 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
| Females | 556,696 | 81.4 | 14.6 | 2.6 | 1.5 |
| Rural | 1,438,069 | 92.1 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 0.6 |
| Males | 719,144 | 92.6 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 |
| Females | 718,925 | 91.7 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 0.6 |

Note: Literacy rate calculation excluded those who did not report literacy
Literacy rates define those that can read and write simple phrases in any language and are often expressed in terms of specific age groups. Literacy rates in the 7-24 age group were high in both rural and urban areas ( $92.2 \%$ and $95.5 \%$ respectively), with a slightly higher literacy rate among young girls than young boys.

The data show that literacy rates have improved significantly over the past few decades. Younger people had a higher literacy rate and the gender gap was getting smaller. Male and female literacy rates for younger age groups were almost the same. However, there was an increasing gap in older age groups, which may indicate that in the past, women had less opportunity than men to get an education. Nevertheless, this inequality has been significantly reduced in recent years.

Table 4.3 Literacy by age group, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Age group | Number |  |  | Literacy rate (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Males | Females | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7-24 | 4,833,184 | 2,422,415 | 2,410,769 | 93.5 | 93.1 | 93.9 |
| 7-14 | 2,309,580 | 1,174,432 | 1,135,148 | 92.1 | 91.7 | 92.6 |
| 15-19 | 1,351,626 | 678,226 | 673,400 | 95.9 | 95.5 | 96.3 |
| 20-24 | 1,171,978 | 569,757 | 602,221 | 93.4 | 93.2 | 93.5 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7-24 | 1,873,231 | 929,512 | 943,719 | 95.5 | 95.2 | 95.9 |
| 7-14 | 787,696 | 400,673 | 387,023 | 93.4 | 93.0 | 93.8 |
| 15-19 | 533,949 | 263,834 | 270,115 | 97.6 | 97.3 | 97.9 |
| 20-24 | 551,586 | 265,005 | 286,581 | 96.7 | 96.5 | 96.8 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7-24 | 2,959,953 | 1,492,903 | 1,467,050 | 92.2 | 91.8 | 92.6 |
| 7-14 | 1,521,884 | 773,759 | 748,125 | 91.5 | 91.0 | 92.1 |
| 15-19 | 817,677 | 414,392 | 403,285 | 94.8 | 94.4 | 95.3 |
| $20-24$ | 620,392 | 304,752 | 315,640 | 90.6 | 90.5 | 90.7 |

Note: Literacy rate calculation excluded those who did not respond to the literacy question

### 4.3 Educational attainment

Educational attainment is an important indicator of the level of education within a population. The 2019 census collected data on the highest completed class for those who attended a school or an educational institution and were currently taking classes. The analysis presented in this report characterizes individuals' educational attainment in terms of the highest level of education that they have completed.

Completed grades were coded as $1=$ did not complete any grade; 2 = primary not completed (grades 1-5); $3=$ completed primary education (grades 6-8); $4=$ completed lower secondary school (grade 9 with a lower secondary diploma); $5=$ completed secondary education with a diploma (graduated from high school/has a high school diploma, technical/vocational lower secondary diploma, technical/vocational high school diploma 14-16), 6 = beyond secondary (bachelors/master's/doctoral degree 17-19).

Figure 4.2 Percentage of the population aged 7+ years by highest level of education attained, 2008 and 2019


The 2019 census results show that $28.5 \%$ of the $7-24$-year-olds who attended any school or educational institution had completed primary school (Table 4.4 and Figure 4.2). 19.5\% had completed their lower secondary education, $2.9 \%$ had completed their secondary diploma and only $0.8 \%$ had completed education beyond secondary level.

About 45.6\% of 7-24 years old had not completed primary education and a small proportion (2.7\%) were recorded as having had no education. Compared to the 2008 census, there was an improvement in educational attainment among 7-24 year-olds. The results show that the percentage of this population who did not complete primary education dropped from $50.4 \%$ in 2008 , to $45.6 \%$ in 2019 , while the percentage of those who reported completing lower secondary and secondary school increased.

Those who reported their highest educational attainment to be completing lower secondary increased from $15.5 \%$ to $19.5 \%$. Those who completed their secondary education increased from 1.1\% to $2.9 \%$. Those who completed a tertiary degree decreased from $1.1 \%$ to $0.8 \%$ as well.

Table 4.4 Educational attainment of those aged 7-24 years, by age group, sex, and urbanrural area, 2008 and 2019

|  | Percentage of those aged 7-24 years by educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Total | None | Not completed primary | Completed primary | Completed lower secondary | Secondary diploma | Beyond secondary education |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 2.7 | 45.6 | 28.5 | 19.5 | 2.9 | 0.8 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 5.5 | 74.3 | 19.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.2 | 16.7 | 40.8 | 39.9 | 2.4 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.1 | 22 | 31.9 | 33.5 | 9.3 | 3.2 |
| Males | 100 | 2.9 | 47 | 27.6 | 18.9 | 2.8 | 0.7 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 5.8 | 75.3 | 18.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.2 | 18.5 | 41.2 | 37.9 | 2.1 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.1 | 22.2 | 30.8 | 34.3 | 9.7 | 3 |
| Females | 100 | 2.5 | 44.2 | 29.4 | 20.1 | 2.9 | 0.9 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 5.2 | 73.2 | 20.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.2 | 14.8 | 40.5 | 41.8 | 2.7 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0 | 21.8 | 33 | 32.8 | 8.9 | 3.5 |
| Urban | 100 | 2.3 | 39.2 | 27.3 | 24.1 | 5.5 | 1.6 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 5.2 | 72.7 | 21.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.2 | 13.3 | 36.3 | 45.6 | 4.6 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0 | 16.3 | 27.5 | 36.5 | 14.2 | 5.5 |
| Males | 100 | 2.4 | 40.5 | 26.5 | 23.6 | 5.5 | 1.5 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 5.5 | 73.6 | 20.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.2 | 14.4 | 36.7 | 44.4 | 4.3 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.1 | 16.1 | 26 | 37.7 | 15.1 | 5.1 |
| Females | 100 | 2.1 | 38 | 28.1 | 24.5 | 5.4 | 1.8 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 5 | 71.8 | 22.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.2 | 12.2 | 35.8 | 46.8 | 5 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0 | 16.5 | 28.8 | 35.4 | 13.3 | 5.9 |
| Rural | 100 | 3 | 49.6 | 29.2 | 16.6 | 1.3 | 0.2 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 5.7 | 75.1 | 18.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.3 | 18.9 | 43.8 | 36.1 | 0.9 | 0 |


|  | Percentage of those aged 7-24 years by educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Total | None | Not completed primary | Completed primary | Completed lower secondary | Secondary diploma | Beyond secondary education |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.1 | 27.1 | 36 | 30.8 | 4.9 | 1.1 |
| Males | 100 | 3.2 | 51.1 | 28.3 | 15.9 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 6 | 76.1 | 17.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.3 | 21.2 | 44 | 33.8 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.1 | 27.6 | 35 | 31.3 | 4.9 | 1 |
| Females | 100 | 2.8 | 48.1 | 30.1 | 17.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 5.4 | 73.9 | 20.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.2 | 16.6 | 43.6 | 38.5 | 1.1 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0 | 26.6 | 36.9 | 30.4 | 4.9 | 1.2 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 1.6 | 50.4 | 30.3 | 15.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 1.7 | 76.5 | 21 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 1.3 | 27 | 42.8 | 27.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 1.9 | 33.5 | 31.1 | 25.9 | 3.6 | 4 |
| Males | 100 | 1.6 | 49.8 | 29.4 | 16.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 1.8 | 77.6 | 19.8 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 1.4 | 26.7 | 42.3 | 28.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 1.7 | 29.5 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 4 | 4.6 |
| Females | 100 | 1.6 | 51.2 | 31.2 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 1.5 | 75.3 | 22.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 1.3 | 27.3 | 43.4 | 27 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 2.1 | 37.6 | 32.3 | 21.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| Urban | 100 | 1.8 | 34.9 | 29.1 | 26.5 | 3.3 | 4.5 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 2 | 67.6 | 28 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 1.5 | 17.7 | 33.9 | 43.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 1.9 | 19.7 | 25.7 | 33.7 | 7.7 | 11.3 |
| Males | 100 | 1.9 | 34 | 27.3 | 28.2 | 3.6 | 5.2 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 2.2 | 68.7 | 27 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 1.6 | 15.9 | 32.8 | 46 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 1.7 | 15.4 | 22.4 | 38.2 | 8.8 | 13.6 |
| Females | 100 | 1.7 | 35.7 | 30.8 | 24.9 | 3 | 3.8 |


|  | Percentage of those aged 7-24 years by educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Total | None | Not completed primary | Completed primary | Completed Iower secondary | Secondary diploma | Beyond secondary education |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 7-14 | 100 | 1.8 | 66.5 | 29.1 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 1.4 | 19.3 | 34.8 | 40.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 2 | 23.7 | 28.7 | 29.7 | 6.8 | 9.2 |
| Rural | 100 | 1.6 | 54.7 | 30.6 | 12.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 1.6 | 78.2 | 19.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 1.3 | 29.7 | 45.4 | 23.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 1.9 | 39.4 | 33.4 | 22.5 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| Males | 100 | 1.6 | 53.9 | 29.9 | 13.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 1.7 | 79.3 | 18.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 1.3 | 29.5 | 44.7 | 24.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 1.7 | 35.1 | 32.8 | 27.2 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| Females | 100 | 1.5 | 55.7 | 31.4 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 7-14 | 100 | 1.4 | 77 | 20.9 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 1.2 | 29.9 | 46.2 | 22.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 2.1 | 44.2 | 34.1 | 17.4 | 1.6 | 0.6 |

Note: Figures exclude those who did not report their educational attainment

The 15-19 age group should generally have completed their lower secondary education. However, Table 4.4 shows that $16.7 \%$ of the $15-19$ age group had not yet completed primary education, possibly due to late enrollment or repetition, or dropout. In the same $15-19$ age group, $40.8 \%$ had completed primary school and $39.9 \%$ had completed their lower secondary education. It should also be noted that $2.4 \%$ of this age group had graduated from secondary school with a diploma.

Among young people aged 20-24 years, $22 \%$ had not completed primary school, $31.9 \%$ had completed primary school and $33.5 \%$ had completed lower secondary school. $9.3 \%$ had completed secondary school with a diploma and $3.2 \%$ had completed education beyond secondary level.

### 4.4 Enrollment rate

Questions about enrollment at a school or an educational institution were asked to everyone, including those who could read and write and those who were illiterate. The question was also included for children under six years of age. The answer to this question was classified as (i) "never attended a school or an educational institution" referring to those had never attended a school or educational institution, (ii) "current", which refers to any person who was studying at a school or educational
institution during the census; and (iii) "past", which refers to people who had studied at school or an educational institution in the past.

Census responses to the school enrollment question suggest that enrollment rates were 90.6\% for children aged 6-11 years and $91.6 \%$ for children aged 12-14 (Table 4.5). A far smaller proportion of those aged $15-17$ years and $18-24$ years were currently enrolled ( $67.6 \%$ and $20.7 \%$ respectively). It is possible that this is a consequence of individuals in these age groups dropping out of school.

Figure 4.3 Enrollment at any school or educational institution for those aged 6+ years, 2019


The proportions currently enrolled in education were lower for all those aged 6-17 years in 2008 (Table 4.5). In both 2008 and 2019, school enrollment rates fell sharply after the age of 15 , with more students abandoning school or dropping out. In 2019, 16.5\% of those aged 25 and over had never attended a school or educational institution.

The enrollment rates for girls and boys were similar for those aged 6-11 years (91.1\% and 90.1\%, respectively). For those aged 6-17 years, the boys' enrollment rate tended to be slightly lower than that of girls. However, this imbalance in enrollment was reversed for the older age groups, with proportionately more young men enrolled than young women. This may be due to the persistence of traditional attitudes that encourage boys, rather than girls, to pursue higher education as they get older. Table 4.5 also shows that children of all age groups in urban areas were more likely to report being currently enrolled in education than those in rural areas.

Table 4.5 Enrollment status of those aged 6+ years by sex, age and urban-rural area, 2008 and 2019

| Area | Enrollment status, 2008 |  |  | Enrollment status, 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never | Currently | Past | Never | Currently | Past |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 21.5 | 28.9 | 49.6 | 12.5 | 25.8 | 61.6 |
| 06-11 | 23.1 | 76.3 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 90.6 | 1.4 |
| 12-14 | 7.3 | 86.7 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 91.6 | 5.6 |
| 15-17 | 9.1 | 62.3 | 28.6 | 4.5 | 67.6 | 27.9 |
| 18-24 | 14.5 | 21.3 | 64.2 | 7.5 | 20.7 | 71.8 |
| 25+ | 27.9 | 1.2 | 70.9 | 16.5 | 0.7 | 82.7 |
| Males | 16.0 | 31.9 | 52.1 | 9.9 | 27.2 | 62.9 |
| 06-11 | 23.5 | 75.9 | 0.6 | 8.4 | 90.1 | 1.5 |
| 12-14 | 7.5 | 87.0 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 90.4 | 6.4 |
| 15-17 | 8.8 | 65.6 | 25.6 | 5.0 | 66.2 | 28.8 |
| 18-24 | 11.9 | 26.2 | 61.9 | 7.8 | 22.1 | 70.1 |
| 25+ | 18.0 | 1.6 | 80.5 | 12.2 | 0.8 | 86.9 |
| Females | 26.6 | 26.1 | 47.3 | 14.9 | 24.6 | 60.5 |
| 06-11 | 22.6 | 76.8 | 0.6 | 7.6 | 91.1 | 1.3 |
| 12-14 | 7.1 | 86.3 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 92.8 | 4.7 |
| 15-17 | 9.4 | 58.8 | 31.8 | 3.9 | 69.1 | 27.0 |
| 18-24 | 17.1 | 16.5 | 66.4 | 7.4 | 19.3 | 73.3 |
| 25+ | 36.3 | 0.9 | 62.8 | 20.4 | 0.6 | 79.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.9 | 29.5 | 59.6 | 7.9 | 24.0 | 68.1 |
| 06-11 | 15.6 | 83.6 | 0.7 | 6.8 | 91.5 | 1.7 |
| 12-14 | 3.8 | 91.1 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 92.1 | 5.7 |
| 15-17 | 4.6 | 70.8 | 24.6 | 3.1 | 69.1 | 27.8 |
| 18-24 | 6.1 | 32.5 | 61.4 | 4.4 | 25.3 | 70.3 |
| 25+ | 13.9 | 2.8 | 83.3 | 9.9 | 1.0 | 89.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 24.1 | 28.8 | 47.1 | 15.6 | 27.1 | 57.3 |
| 06-11 | 24.3 | 75.1 | 0.6 | 8.7 | 90.2 | 1.2 |
| 12-14 | 7.9 | 85.9 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 91.4 | 5.5 |
| 15-17 | 10.2 | 60.3 | 29.5 | 5.3 | 66.7 | 28.0 |
| 18-24 | 17.5 | 17.3 | 65.2 | 10.1 | 17.0 | 72.9 |
| 25+ | 31.7 | 0.8 | 67.6 | 21.2 | 0.5 | 78.3 |

Note: Calculations did not include those who did not reported their attendance at a school or educational institution

## CHAPTER 5

## LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT

### 5.1 Background

The 2019 census classifies the population into two distinct categories: the economically active (i.e., those who are in the labor force) and the economically inactive (i.e., those who are not in the labor force). The 2019 census also included questions that can be used to classify employed people according to their main occupation, the nature of their economic activity, and employment status.

The reference period for collecting data in the census was the 12 months prior to census day on March 3rd, 2019. A person was considered to have worked if he/she had worked for at least 6 months ( 183 days) or more in the 12 months prior to the census. The workforce included both the employed and the unemployed.

Employed persons included: (1) paid employees in public or private institutions; (2) persons who did some work for wages, salary, profit or for family gains in cash or kind within the reference period; (3) those who did not work for pay or wages during the reference period, but had a job to which they could return (e.g., seasonal workers such as farmers or fishermen; those who were on sick leave or unpaid leave, and; those who could not work due to strikes or a lockout of the institution where they work); and (4) those who run their own business (e.g., shop owners, food sellers, individuals practicing as medical doctors or lawyers).

Economically active persons included: (1) domestic workers (i.e. those who spend a lot of time doing housework); (2) students (i.e., those who primarily spend their time studying at a school or educational institution); (3) dependents (i.e., infants and children who have not yet been enrolled in school); those who are permanently disabled and; those who unable to work because of sickness or incapacity. However, if they were seeking or available for work, they were considered unemployed and not dependent.

Category (4) included those who had retired from formal employment and were mainly not doing other work (i.e., those who were mostly not in formal employment or engaged in some other type of work such as cultivation, business, trade etc.). This also included property tenants and those living on agricultural or non-agricultural royalties, rent or dividends, who were neither employed nor unemployed; as well as any other person of independent means who did not have to work. The final category, (5), included those who were not economically active for most of the reference period and who did not come under any of the aforementioned categories.

### 5.2 Economically active young people and the labor force

The labor force participation rate (LFPR) is defined as the number of people in the labor force (the employed and the unemployed) of a given age, sex, and area, divided by corresponding population with the same characteristics, multiplied by 100.

The economically active population is the part of the labor force that is involved in the production of goods and services. It includes those who were employed and those who were unemployed in the 12 months prior to census day.

Table 5.1 shows that the proportion of economically active children aged $5-14$ years old was low (2.1\%). In 2019, the proportion was higher for boys (2.5\%) than for girls (1.7\%).

As seen in the table, economically inactive does not include people aged $15-24$ who did not work and were unemployed during the reference period. The proportion of those aged 15-24 years who were economically inactive was higher for females (42.1\%) were higher than for males (41.1\%).

Table 5.1 Economic activity status of 5-24 year-olds by sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Activity status | Children 5-14 years old |  |  | Youths 15-24 years old |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Males | Females | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3,120,981 | 1,596,432 | 1,524,549 | 2,664,530 | 1,321,614 | 1,342,916 |
| Employed | 59,845 | 37,388 | 22,457 | 1,527,830 | 763,643 | 764,187 |
| Unemployed | 5,889 | 3,233 | 2,656 | 28,137 | 15,350 | 12,787 |
| Economically inactive | 3,055,247 | 1,555,811 | 1,499,436 | 1,108,563 | 542,621 | 565,942 |
| Urban | 1,059,536 | 541,483 | 518,053 | 1,117,361 | 545,531 | 571,830 |
| Employed | 16,008 | 9,594 | 6,414 | 609,826 | 297,357 | 312,469 |
| Unemployed | 2,363 | 1,283 | 1,080 | 13,650 | 7,471 | 6,179 |
| Economically inactive | 1,041,165 | 530,606 | 510,559 | 493,885 | 240,703 | 253,182 |
| Rural | 2,061,445 | 1,054,949 | 1,006,496 | 1,547,169 | 776,083 | 771,086 |
| Employed | 43,837 | 27,794 | 16,043 | 918,004 | 466,286 | 451,718 |
| Unemployed | 3,526 | 1,950 | 1,576 | 14,487 | 7,879 | 6,608 |
| Economically inactive | 2,014,082 | 1,025,205 | 988,877 | 614,678 | 301,918 | 312,760 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Employed | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 57.3 | 57.8 | 56.9 |
| Unemployed | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Economically inactive | 97.9 | 97.5 | 98.4 | 41.6 | 41.1 | 42.1 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |


| Activity status | Children 5-14 years old |  |  | Youths 15-24 years old |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Males | Females | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Employed | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 54.6 | 54.5 | 54.6 |
| Unemployed | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Economically inactive | 98.3 | 98.0 | 98.6 | 44.2 | 44.1 | 44.3 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Employed | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 59.3 | 60.1 | 58.6 |
| Unemployed | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Economically inactive | 97.7 | 97.2 | 98.2 | 39.7 | 38.9 | 40.6 |

Note: Economic activity status percentages were calculated excluding those who did not reported significant activities
The labor force participation rate among the population aged $15-24$ was $58.4 \%$ in 2019 (Table 5.2). This reflects current participation in economic activity across the country. In the 2019 census, the labor force participation rate was $58.9 \%$ for males, and $57.9 \%$ for females.

Table 5.2 Labor force participation rate (percentage) by sex and urban-rural area, 2019

|  | Children aged 5-14 years |  |  | Youths aged 15-24 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Males | Females | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3,120,981 | 1,596,432 | 1,524,549 | 2,664,530 | 1,321,614 | 1,342,916 |
| Economically active | 65,734 | 40,621 | 25,113 | 1,555,967 | 778,993 | 776,974 |
| Employed | 59,845 | 37,388 | 22,457 | 1,527,830 | 763,643 | 764,187 |
| Unemployed | 5,889 | 3,233 | 2,656 | 28,137 | 15,350 | 12,787 |
| Economically inactive | 3,055,247 | 1,555,811 | 1,499,436 | 1,108,563 | 542,621 | 565,942 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1,059,536 | 541,483 | 518,053 | 1,117,361 | 545,531 | 571,830 |
| Economically active | 18,371 | 10,877 | 7,494 | 623,476 | 304,828 | 318,648 |
| Employed | 16,008 | 9,594 | 6,414 | 609,826 | 297,357 | 312,469 |
| Unemployed | 2,363 | 1,283 | 1,080 | 13,650 | 7,471 | 6,179 |
| Economically inactive | 1,041,165 | 530,606 | 510,559 | 493,885 | 240,703 | 253,182 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 2,061,445 | 1,054,949 | 1,006,496 | 1,547,169 | 776,083 | 771,086 |
| Economically active | 47,363 | 29,744 | 17,619 | 932,491 | 474,165 | 458,326 |
| Employed | 43,837 | 27,794 | 16,043 | 918,004 | 466,286 | 451,718 |
| Unemployed | 3,526 | 1,950 | 1,576 | 14,487 | 7,879 | 6,608 |
| Economically inactive | 2,014,082 | 1,025,205 | 988,877 | 614,678 | 301,918 | 312,760 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Economically active | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 58.4 | 58.9 | 57.9 |
| Employed | 91.0 | 92.0 | 89.4 | 98.2 | 98.0 | 98.4 |
| Unemployed | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1 |
| Economically inactive | 97.9 | 97.5 | 98.4 | 41.6 | 41.1 | 42.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Economically active | 1.7 | 2 | 1.4 | 55.8 | 55.9 | 55.7 |
| Employed | 87.1 | 88.2 | 85.6 | 97.8 | 97.5 | 98.1 |
| Unemployed | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Economically inactive | 98.3 | 98 | 98.6 | 44.2 | 44.1 | 44.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Economically active | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 60.3 | 61.1 | 59.4 |
| Employed | 92.6 | 93.4 | 91.1 | 98.4 | 98.3 | 98.6 |
| Unemployed | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Economically inactive | 97.7 | 97.2 | 98.2 | 39.7 | 38.9 | 40.6 |

Table 5.2 shows that the labor force participation rate in rural areas was slightly higher than in urban areas ( $60.3 \%$ and $55.8 \%$ respectively). This pattern holds for males and females. It is possible that this pattern reflects higher levels of participation in education among young people in urban relative to rural areas. In both urban and rural areas, young men were more likely to be participating in economic activities than females.

Figure 5.1 Labor force participation rate for children and youths by sex, 2019


### 5.3 Employment and unemployment

Employment is an important indicator for assessing socio-economic development. Analyzing changes in employment allows us to assess the impact of socio-economic change and introduce labor policies in line with socio-economic conditions. Cambodia's economy has changed in recent decades. This development has contributed to improvements in the education of the workforce, along with structural changes and changes in the division of labor.

According to the 2019 census, most of the workforce was employed and unemployment was low. This section analyses the basic characteristics of the labor force according to age, sex, marital status, employment sector, employment status, and the general condition of the labor force in the country.

The employment rate is defined as the percentage of employed relative to the total population in the labor force. In 2019 the employment rate for children aged $5-14$ was $91 \%$ and $98.2 \%$ for those aged 15-24 years.

Figure 5.2 Employment rates for children and youth by sex, 2019


The unemployment rate is defined as the number of unemployed per 100 individuals in the labor force. The national unemployment rate for those aged $5-14$ years was $0.2 \%$ in 2019 . Unemployment rates in the last decade have been low, especially for children. In 2019, the unemployment rate was $1.2 \%$ for young men and $1 \%$ for young women (Figure 5.3).

Figure 5.3 Unemployment rates for children and youth by sex, 2019


### 5.4 Employment status

Five employment classifications were used in the 2019 census: i) employers, ii) paid employees, iii) self-employed workers, iv) unpaid family workers, and (v) others (specify). In this section, employment status is analyzed in relation to urban-rural area and sex.

According to the latest results of the 2019 Cambodian census, $54.2 \%$ of those aged 5-24 years old and working were classified as unpaid family workers and "own-account" workers ${ }^{1}$ (Table 5.3). The same group accounted for $82.6 \%$ in 2008. The decline in the proportion in the period 2008-2019 has been largely due to a decline in the proportion of unpaid family workers, and an increase in waged employees.

Children and youth (i.e., those aged 5-24 years) working as "own account" workers represented $20.5 \%$ of those who were employed. The majority of employed children and youths were working in the formal economy. The proportion of employed girls aged 5-24 years who were working as unpaid family workers was higher than the corresponding proportion for boys.

About $44.7 \%$ of employed people aged 5-24 years were paid employees. Paid employees accounted for $42.4 \%$ of employed boys and $47.1 \%$ of employed girls. Between 2008 and 2019, the proportion of employed children and youths aged 5-24, who were "own-account" workers and unpaid family workers decreased. At the same time, the proportion of employed children and young people who were paid employees increased significantly (Table 5.3). In 2019, as in 2008, the proportion of employed individuals aged 5-24 years who were own account workers or unpaid family workers was higher for boys than for girls.

In 2019, the highest proportion of employed children and young people (5-24 years) in urban areas were those working as paid employees (70.4\%), followed by own-account workers (15.7\%). In rural areas, the highest proportion of employed children and young people were those working as unpaid family workers (47.3\%), followed by paid employees (28\%) and then own-account workers (23.7\%).

Table 5.3 Percentage of employed people aged 5-24 years by employment status age group, sex and urban-rural area, 2008 and 2019

|  | Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Total | Employer | Paid employees | Own-account workers | Unpaid family workers | Other |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 0.5 | 44.7 | 20.5 | 33.7 | 0.5 |
| Urban | 100 | 0.6 | 70.4 | 15.7 | 12.9 | 0.4 |
| Rural | 100 | 0.4 | 28 | 23.7 | 47.3 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 100 | 0.5 | 44.7 | 20.5 | 33.7 | 0.5 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0.7 | 23 | 30.1 | 42.7 | 3.5 |

[^1]|  | Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Total | Employer | Paid employees | Own-account workers | Unpaid family workers | Other |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.5 | 42.5 | 18.4 | 37.9 | 0.7 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.5 | 47.2 | 21.1 | 30.9 | 0.2 |
| Males | 100 | 0.5 | 42.4 | 22.9 | 33.3 | 0.9 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0.7 | 19.7 | 35.6 | 38.6 | 5.4 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.5 | 38.9 | 20.7 | 38.6 | 1.3 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.5 | 46 | 23.2 | 30 | 0.3 |
| Females | 100 | 0.5 | 47.1 | 18.1 | 34.1 | 0.1 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0.8 | 28.4 | 21 | 49.6 | 0.2 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.4 | 46.3 | 16 | 37.1 | 0.1 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.5 | 48.4 | 19.1 | 31.9 | 0.1 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 0.1 | 17.2 | 39.1 | 43.5 | 0 |
| Urban | 100 | 0.3 | 51.8 | 33.3 | 14.5 | 0.1 |
| Rural | 100 | 0.1 | 9.7 | 40.4 | 49.7 | 0 |
| Both sexes | 100 | 0.1 | 17.2 | 39.1 | 43.5 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0 | 10.7 | 7.2 | 82 | 0.2 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.1 | 21.8 | 8.2 | 69.8 | 0.1 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.1 | 24.2 | 17.9 | 57.7 | 0.1 |
| Males | 100 | 0.1 | 20.7 | 18.9 | 60.2 | 0.1 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 80.3 | 0.3 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.1 | 17.6 | 10.6 | 71.5 | 0.2 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.1 | 23.7 | 24.7 | 51.4 | 0.1 |
| Females | 100 | 0.1 | 24.4 | 9.2 | 66.3 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0 | 12.2 | 4.1 | 83.7 | 0.1 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.1 | 25.5 | 6 | 68.4 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 0.1 | 24.7 | 11.6 | 63.5 | 0 |

Note: Excludes those who did not report their employment status

### 5.5 Employment sector

In the census, employment is divided into eight sectors: i) government ii) state owned enterprises, iii) Cambodian private enterprises, iv) foreign private enterprise, v) non-profit institutions, vi) family, vii) embassies and international agencies, and viii) other.

Tables 5.4 and 5.5 show the percentage distribution of employed Cambodian children and young people (5-24 years) by sex, age group, urban-rural area and employment sector for 2008 and 2019.

Table 5.4 shows that in 2019 the majority of 5 -24-year-olds were employed in local private enterprises ( $71.6 \%$ ), followed by foreign private enterprises (21.7\%) and the government ( $2.3 \%$ ). Just $4.4 \%$ were employed in the other five employment sectors.

Larger proportions of men aged 15-24 years were employed in local private enterprises than women of the same age, whereas a larger proportion of employed girls who were under the age of 15 , were working in local private enterprises relative to males ( $84.9 \%$ versus $68.1 \%$ ). Higher proportions of girls and young women were working in foreign enterprises than boys and young men of the same ages.

In urban areas, there were also significantly higher proportions of employed young people working for the government and foreign enterprises than there were in rural areas. Foreign enterprises also accounted for a higher proportion of employed young women than men of the same age. This pattern reflects the growth in the garment sector and the demand for female labor in this sector.
Table 5.4. Percentage of employed people aged 5-24 years by sex, employment sector, and urban-rural area, 2019

| Area/Sex/Age group | Total | Employment Sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Government | Stateowned enterprises | Cambodian private enterprises | Foreign enterprises | Non-profit making institutions | Family sector | Embassies/ international agencies | Other sectors |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 71.6 | 21.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.2 |
| Urban | 100 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 58.1 | 35.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Rural | 100 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 80.4 | 12.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 100 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 71.6 | 21.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.2 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0 | 0.3 | 74.4 | 7.5 | 13.9 | 2.4 | 0 | 1.4 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 73.7 | 20.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.3 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 70.3 | 23.4 | 0.5 | 2 | 0 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 100 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 77 | 14.5 | 3.3 | 2 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 68.1 | 5.1 | 22.1 | 2.1 | 0 | 2.2 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0 | 0.4 | 79.1 | 12.3 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 76.5 | 16.5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females | 100 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 66.1 | 29 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0 | 0.3 | 84.9 | 11.5 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 68.1 | 28.4 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 64.3 | 30.1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Table 5.5 Percentage of employed people aged 5-24 years, sex, employment sector, and urban-rural area, 2008

| Area/Sex/Age group | Total | Employment sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Government | State-owned enterprises | Cambodian private enterprises | Foreign enterprises | Non-profit making institutions | Family sector | Embassies/ international agencies | Other sectors |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 90.3 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Urban | 100 | 12.1 | 0.7 | 70.3 | 14.6 | 0.2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Rural | 100 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 94.6 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 100 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 88.7 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 96.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 89.5 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 2 | 0.2 | 87.5 | 9.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 100 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 93.5 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 98 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 95.8 | 3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 91.8 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females | 100 | 1 | 0.1 | 84.2 | 13.9 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 95.1 | 4 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 83.9 | 15 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 83.5 | 14 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 |

Note: Excludes those who did not report an employment sector

### 5.6 Employment classification by occupation and economic activity

The characteristics of economic activities and services, as well as occupations obtained from the 2019 census were codified in accordance with the International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities (4th version). Tables 5.6 and 5.7 present the classification of employed children and youth according to occupational classification and economic activity, sex and urban-rural area. It is clear that agriculture remained the dominant economic activity in Cambodia, when compared to manufacturing, industry and services.

In 2019, 42.8\% of employed young people (15-24 years) were engaged in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries. $27.7 \%$ worked in handicrafts and related jobs and $9.7 \%$ worked in the service and sales sectors, and $8.6 \%$ worked in elementary occupations (Table 5.6). This confirms that the labor market in Cambodia is skills-based and the labor market needs additional vocational training to keep pace with competition. This is an urgent issue for the Royal Government to address.

Of the nine major occupations, women outnumbered men in only three or four. In particular, this includes handicrafts and related work, and services and sales occupations. However, these differences were only moderate.

The most common occupations for urban young people in the 15-24 age group, were craftsmen and related jobs (43.4\%), followed by the service and sales sectors (17.1\%). Occupations in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries (11.7\%), elementary occupations (9.7\%), and clerks ( $7 \%$ ) were also important.

In 2019, agriculture still played a significant role in rural areas and accounted for 63.5\% of employed young people aged 15-24 years. In contrast to urban areas, just 17.3\% reported their occupation as craftsmen and related jobs. $7.9 \%$ worked in elementary occupations, and $4.8 \%$ in service and sales.
Table 5.6 Percentage distribution of employed children and youth by occupation, sex, and urban-rural area, 2019

| Occupational group |  | Percentage of employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Children 5-14 years |  |  | Youths 15-24 years |  |  |
| Group | Description | Both seses | Males | Females | Both | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $\bigcirc$ | Armed Forces | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.2 |
| 1 | Managers | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 2 | Professionals | 8.6 | 13.3 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 2.9 |
| 3 | Technicians and professionals | 9.9 | 15.5 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 0.8 |
| 4 | Clerks | 1 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 3 | 3.6 |
| 5 | Service and sales workers | 6 | 3.9 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 7.2 | 12.1 |
| 6 | Workers in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries | 53.7 | 48.2 | 62.8 | 42.8 | 44.3 | 41.3 |
| 7 | Craft and related workers | 10.8 | 8.7 | 14.2 | 27.7 | 23.8 | 31.6 |
| 8 | Machinery and machine operators and assemblers | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 3 | 0.7 |
| 9 | Elementary occupations | 8.7 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 11.1 | 6.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Urban | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0 | Armed Forces | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| 1 | Managers | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.3 |
| 2 | Professionals | 8.2 | 12.5 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4 |
| 3 | Technicians and professionals | 11.5 | 18.4 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 1.4 |
| 4 | Clerks | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 7 | 6.5 | 7.5 |
| 5 | Service and sales workers | 16.1 | 10.8 | 23.9 | 17.1 | 13.4 | 20.5 |
| 6 | Workers in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries | 20 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 9.9 |
| 7 | Craft and related workers | 25.9 | 21 | 33.3 | 43.4 | 38.5 | 48.1 |
| 8 | Machinery and machine operators and assemblers | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 0.9 |
| 9 | Elementary occupations | 11.9 | 12 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 13.4 | 6.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Occupational group |  | Percentage of employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Children 5-14 years |  |  | Youths 15-24 years |  |  |
| Group | Description | Both seses | Males | Females | Both | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | Rural | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0 | Armed Forces | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| 1 | Managers | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 2 | Professionals | 8.8 | 13.6 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.2 |
| 3 | Technicians and professionals | 9.3 | 14.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| 4 | Clerks | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 5 | Service and sales workers | 2.3 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 6.3 |
| 6 | Workers in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries | 66 | 58.1 | 79.6 | 63.5 | 64 | 63.1 |
| 7 | Craft and related workers | 5.2 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 17.3 | 14.5 | 20.2 |
| 8 | Machinery and machine operators and assemblers | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.5 |
| 9 | Elementary occupations | 7.5 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 9.7 | 6 |

Table 5.7 shows the percentage distribution of employed young people by economic activity. Cambodia's economic structure has shifted towards modern industry, with growth in manufacturing, wholesale, retail, automotive and motorcycle repair; as well as civil engineering and other service sectors. At the same time, agricultural employment has declined.

The table overleaf illustrates the structural changes that have taken place in relation to economic activity in the past 11 years. In 2008, agriculture, forestry, and fisheries accounted for almost three-quarters of employment. However, in 2019, employment in this type of activity had decreased to $45.4 \%$ of employed young people aged 15-24.

Other types of activity were also particularly important, such as manufacturing (23.6\%), wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair (8\%), construction (7\%); and arts, entertainment, and recreation (3.1\%).
Table 5.7 Percentage of employed 5-24-year-olds by economic activity, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Types of activity |  | Percentage of employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Children from 5-14 years |  |  | Youth from 15-24 years |  |  |
| Section | Description | Both | Males | Females | Both | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| A | Agriculture, forestry, and fisheries | 57.6 | 51.8 | 67.3 | 45.4 | 47.2 | 43.6 |
| B | Mining and quarrying | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| C | Manufacturing | 8.7 | 6.1 | 13.2 | 23.6 | 16.6 | 30.7 |
| D | Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| E | Water supply, sewerage, waste management and prevention | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| F | Construction | 4.7 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 10.8 | 3.2 |
| G | Wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair | 5.3 | 4.3 | 7 | 8 | 7.3 | 8.6 |
| H | Shipping and warehousing | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 0.8 |
| I | Accommodation and food service activities | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.4 |
| $J$ | Information and Communication | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| K | Financial and insurance activities | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| L | Real estate | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| M | Professional activities, science, and technology | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| N | Administrative activities and support services | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| 0 | Public administration and social security protection | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| P | Education | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Q | Health and social work activities | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| R | Arts, Entertainment and Recreation | 17.1 | 26.5 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 2.2 |
| S | Other service activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T | Family use activities as employers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U | Activities of organizations and foreign organizations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Urban | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| A | Agriculture, forestry and fisheries | 22.3 | 21.6 | 23.4 | 12.8 | 14.9 | 10.7 |


| Types of activity |  | Percentage of employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Children from 5-14 years |  |  | Youth from 15-24 years |  |  |
| Section | Description | Both | Males | Females | Both | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| B | Mining and quarrying | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| C | Manufacturing | 21.6 | 15.3 | 31.1 | 37.7 | 27.9 | 47 |
| D | Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| E | Water supply, sewerage, waste management and prevention | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| F | Construction | 8.7 | 9.8 | 7.1 | 9 | 14.7 | 3.7 |
| G | Wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair | 14.1 | 12 | 17.3 | 13.4 | 12.9 | 13.9 |
| H | Shipping and warehousing | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 1 |
| I | Accommodation and food service activities | 3.4 | 2 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 4.7 |
| $J$ | Information and Communication | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| K | Financial and insurance activities | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| L | Real estate | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| M | Professional activities, science, and technology | 2.7 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| N | Administrative activities and support services | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | Public administration and social security protection | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| P | Education | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Q | Health and social work activities | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| R | Arts, Entertainment and Recreation | 19.2 | 29.5 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4 |
| S | Other service activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T | Family use activities as employers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U | Activities of organizations and foreign organizations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rural | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| A | Agriculture, forestry and fisheries | 70.5 | 62.1 | 84.9 | 67 | 67.8 | 66.2 |
| B | Mining and quarrying | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| C | Manufacturing | 4 | 2.9 | 6 | 14.3 | 9.5 | 19.4 |
| D | Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 |
| E | Water supply, sewerage, waste management and prevention | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 |


| Types of activity |  | Percentage of employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Children from 5-14 years |  |  | Youth from 15-24 years |  |  |
| Section | Description | Both | Males | Females | Both | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| F | Construction | 3.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 2.9 |
| G | Wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 5 |
| H | Shipping and warehousing | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 0.6 |
| I | Accommodation and food service activities | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| $J$ | Information and Communication | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| K | Financial and insurance activities | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| L | Real estate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| M | Professional activities, science, and technology | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| N | Administrative activities and support services | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| 0 | Public administration and social security protection | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1 | 1.6 |
| P | Education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Q | Health and social work activities | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| R | Arts, Entertainment and | 16.4 | 25.5 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 1 |
| S | Other service activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T | Family use activities as employers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U | Activities of organizations and foreign organizations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## CHAPTER 6 MIGRATION

### 6.1 Key concepts

Migration is defined as the process of changing one's place of residence from one geographical location to another. When a movement is in the same country, it is considered domestic migration. When a movement involves crossing an international border, it is called international migration. Along with fertility and mortality, migration is an important component of population change. It helps to determine population size and growth rates, as well as the structure and character of a population. This topic is important not only important to demographers, but also to economists, social workers, geographers, anthropologists, political scientists, lawyers, policy makers, planners, and public administrators.

Migration is the geographical movement of people across borders specified for permanent or semi-permanent settlement purposes. Along with births and deaths, migration is a component of population change. The terms "immigration" and "emigration" are used to refer to movements between countries (international migration). The parallel terms "local migration" and "outside migration" are used for in-country migration. In this analysis, migration refers to a person who has moved to the interview site, at least from another village (or from another country) which was the last residence of that person.

### 6.2 Size of the migrant population of children and youths

According to the 2019 population census, about $93.6 \%$ of children and $80.5 \%$ of youths had never had a home outside of the interview site (Table 6.1). For young people aged 15-24 in urban areas, this percentage was much lower than in rural areas ( $67.1 \%$ and $90.1 \%$ ). Overall, the percentage of youth migrants in the youth population was $19.5 \%$ in 2019. In urban areas the percentage of youth migrants was $32.9 \%$, and in rural areas, just $9.9 \%$.

The two previous population censuses (1998 and 2008) suggest that the percentage of migrants has decreased. In three decades, the percentage of children and young people living in one place increased in both urban and rural areas. This trend was the same for the general population and for both males and females.

Table 6.1 Number of children and youths by migration status, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Area | Children aged 5-14 years |  |  | Youths aged 15-24 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Males | Females | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Living at the interview site | 93.6 | 93.5 | 93.7 | 80.5 | 80.5 | 80.5 |
| Migration | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Living at the interview site | 87.5 | 87.5 | 87.4 | 67.1 | 67.7 | 66.7 |
| Migration | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 32.9 | 32.3 | 33.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Living at the interview site | 96.8 | 96.7 | 96.9 | 90.1 | 89.5 | 90.7 |
| Migration | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 9.3 |

Note: Excluded migrants with no previously reported residence

The different analyses presented in this report cannot necessarily explain migration trends. To better understand migration, it is important to carry out in-depth analyses that include cross tabulations of migration measures with other variables. For this report, it is important to highlight the declining levels of migration among children and young people in Cambodia.

Table 6.2 Percentage of child and youth migrants by previous residence, sex and urbanrural area, 2019

| Previous residence | 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| In the interview province | 39.6 | 40 | 39.2 |
| In other provinces | 56.5 | 56.1 | 57 |
| Overseas | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| In the interview province | 41.7 | 42 | 41.3 |
| In other provinces | 52.9 | 52.5 | 53.3 |
| Overseas | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| In the interview province | 35.2 | 35.8 | 34.5 |
| In other provinces | 64 | 63.2 | 64.9 |
| Overseas | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 |

Note: Excludes migrants with no previously reported residence

According to the 2019 census, the majority of child and youth migrants of both sexes had previously resided in other provinces (56.6\%). However, intra-provincial migration is also important, with $39.6 \%$ of migrants reporting a move that occurred within the interview province. These patterns hold for both sexes.

As already mentioned, explaining these flows would require a more in-depth analysis that incorporates other variables. However, the trends here can at least allow us to develop some hypotheses about emerging patterns of migration. When analysing urbanization, migration is often associated with long-distance movements. These movements are often characterised in terms of migrants being pulled from one province to another; or from rural to urban areas. However, the results here emphasise that migration also involves more circulatory patterns of movement between provinces and between rural areas in the same province.

### 6.3 Reasons for migration

The Cambodian census also included questions about the reasons for migration. Table 6.3 presents the 2019 census results for children and youths. Family relocation was the main reason for migration that was provided by these migrants ( $72 \%$ for children and $38.4 \%$ for youths). This is the reason that is applied to household members who have moved with the census respondent from a previous residence.

For example, if a household member (especially a household head) has migrated to another place due to a change in his or her place of work, the reason for the migration is a change of workplace. For other members of the household who also relocated with that person, the reason for that kind of migration is recorded as family relocation. Migration due to marriage reasons is similar to this case. Female marriage migration is high for this reason. With the exception of moves due to "job search", the percentages migrating for other reasons are higher among men. This includes relocation and further study.

Table 6.3 Percentage distribution of child and youth migrants by reasons for migration and sex, 2019

| Reasons for migration** | Children 0-14 years |  |  | Youths 15-24 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Males | Females | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| (1) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Change of workplace | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 10.2 |
| Job search | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7 | 23.7 | 21.9 | 25.4 |
| Study | 6.7 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 7.9 |
| Get married | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 11 | 10.2 | 11.7 |
| Family relocation | 72 | 71.3 | 72.8 | 38.4 | 37.8 | 39 |
| Repatriation / return to village of birth | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Natural disasters | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |


| Reasons for migration** | Children 0-14 years |  |  | Youths 15-24 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Males | Females | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Both } \\ & \text { sexes } \end{aligned}$ | Males | Females |
| (1) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Required relocation for hydropower construction | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Required relocation due to project development | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Insecurity | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Repatriation / return to hometown | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Orphaned | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Visit | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
| Other reasons | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 1.1 |

Note: 2019 census added the categories: "required resettlements due to hydropower dam construction", and "relocation was necessary as the area was needed for project development"

### 6.4 Migration flows

Table 6.4 shows total domestic migration disaggregated by the type of migration flow. According to the 2019 census, the highest proportion of the migration flows for children aged $0-14$ were urban-tourban flows, followed by rural-to-urban flows. A slightly different pattern was observed for young Cambodian males and females aged 15-24. For 15-24-year-olds, the main relocation was from rural to urban, followed by urban to urban. This pattern reflects the rapidly changing employment context and growing urbanization in Cambodia.

Table 6.4 Percentage of child and youth migrants by urban-rural migration flow and sex, 2019

| Flow of migration | Children aged 0-14 |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Both <br> sexes |  | Males | Females | Both sexes | Males |
|  | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | Females |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Rural to rural | 26.3 | 27 | 25.5 | 24.4 | 26.1 | 22.7 |
| Rural to urban | 28.7 | 28.1 | 29.2 | 39.9 | 37.6 | 42.2 |
| Urban to rural | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.2 |
| Urban to urban | 38 | 37.8 | 38.2 | 30.1 | 30.2 | 29.9 |

Note: Excludes those who migrated from outside Cambodia

### 6.5 Length of stay

According to the 2019 census, the majority of migrants had lived at the interview site for less than five years prior to the census. The proportion of children that had lived in their current place of residence for less than five years prior to the census was $64.3 \%$, and the corresponding proportion for youths was $60.4 \%$. The most common length of stay for migrant children and young people was between 1-4 years. In urban areas, the proportion of child and youth migrants that had lived less than five years at the interview site was higher than the corresponding proportion in rural areas.

Table 6.5 Percentage of child and youth migrants by the length of stay at the interview site and urban-rural area, 2019

| Area | Number of migrants | Classification of local migrants by length of stay |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | < 1 year | 1-4 years | 5-9 years | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10-19 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 20+ years |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 292,545 | 100 | 18.2 | 46.1 | 24.1 | 10.3 | 1.3 |
| Urban | 197,251 | 100 | 19.8 | 48.3 | 22 | 9 | 0.9 |
| Rural | 95,294 | 100 | 14.7 | 41.7 | 28.5 | 12.9 | 2.2 |
| Youth 15-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 519,700 | 100 | 16.2 | 44.2 | 15.8 | 20.0 | 3.8 |
| Urban | 367,215 | 100 | 18.4 | 47.4 | 14.3 | 16.4 | 3.4 |
| Rural | 152,485 | 100 | 10.9 | 36.5 | 19.4 | 28.4 | 4.7 |

Note: The table excludes those who migrated from outside Cambodia and also those who did not report their lengths of stay at the interview site.

### 6.6 Migration by age group

As expected, most children and youths migrated between the ages of 15 and 24 . According to the 2019 census, $42.3 \%$ of migrants were in this age group. About $23.8 \%$ of migrants were in the $0-14$ age group.

Table 6.6 Percentage of migrants by age group, sex, and urban-rural area, 2019

| Age group | 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0-4 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5 |
| 5-9 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| 10-14 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 10.6 |
| 15-19 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 17.7 |
| 20-24 | 25.1 | 24.2 | 25.9 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0-4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.1 |
| 5-9 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 7.6 |
| 10-14 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 9.8 |
| 15-19 | 17.6 | 17.1 | 18 |
| 20-24 | 25.8 | 25 | 26.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0-4 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| 5-9 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.3 |
| 10-14 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 12.6 |
| 15-19 | 16.4 | 15.9 | 16.9 |
| 20-24 | 23.4 | 22.8 | 24.2 |

Note: Excluded the number of migrants from outside Cambodia

### 6.7 Migration by economic activities

Table 6.7 shows that the percentage of children migrating and participating in economic activities was only $3.1 \%$ in urban areas. $6.8 \%$ of child migrants in rural areas participated in economic activities. Migrant children aged 5-14 in urban areas, mostly worked in arts, entertainment, and recreation (26.4\%), followed by wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair (19\%), manufacturing (18.7\%), agriculture, forestry and fisheries (10.1\%), construction (6.4\%), shipping and warehousing (3.5\%), and accommodation and food service activities (5.3\%).

Table 6.7 Percentage of local migrants aged 5-14 by economic activity in Cambodia, in 2019*

| Type of activity | Total | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Children aged 5-14 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Economically active | 4.4 | 3.1 | 6.8 |
| Economically inactive | 95.6 | 96.9 | 93.2 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fisheries | 33.8 | 10.1 | 54.8 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Manufacturing | 9.8 | 18.7 | 2 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Water supply, sewerage, waste management and prevention activities | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0 |
| Construction | 4.2 | 6.4 | 2.4 |
| Wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair | 10.6 | 19 | 3.2 |
| Shipping and warehousing | 2.2 | 3.5 | 1.1 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 2.7 | 5.3 | 0.4 |
| Information and Communication | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Real estate | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0 |
| Professional activities, science, and technology | 2.1 | 3.9 | 0.5 |
| Administrative activities and support services | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| Public administration and social security protection | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| Education | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Health and social work activities | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.1 |
| Arts, Entertainment and Recreation | 29.9 | 26.4 | 32.9 |
| Other service activities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family use activities as employers | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Activities of organizations and foreign organizations | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: Excluded the number of migrants from outside Cambodia and those who did not report economic activity

Table 6.8 shows that $65.5 \%$ of young migrants aged $15-24$ participated in economic activities. A higher percentage of young migrants in rural areas participated in economic activities than young migrants in urban areas ( $76.8 \%$ versus $60.8 \%$ ). The largest percentages of youth migrants in urban areas worked in manufacturing ( $34.8 \%$ ), wholesale and retail trade, automobile and motorcycle repair (16.5\%), construction (7.3\%), shipping and warehousing (3.4\%), professional, scientific and technical activities (8.1\%). Arts, entertainment and recreation (6.2\%), as well as accomodation and food service activities (6.1\%).

Table 6.8 Percentage of local migrants aged 15-24 by economic activity in Cambodia, 2019*

| Type of activity | Total | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Children aged 15-24 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Economically active | 65.5 | 60.8 | 76.8 |
| Economically inactive | 34.5 | 39.2 | 23.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fisheries | 26.1 | 5.9 | 64.2 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Manufacturing | 25.8 | 34.8 | 8.8 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Water supply, sewerage, waste management and prevention activities | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Construction | 6.7 | 7.3 | 5.5 |
| Wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair | 13.3 | 16.5 | 7.2 |
| Shipping and warehousing | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.2 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 4.4 | 6.1 | 1.1 |
| Information and Communication | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Real estate | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| Professional activities, science, and technology | 5.5 | 8.1 | 0.7 |
| Administrative activities and support services | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| Public administration and social security protection | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Education | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Health and social work activities | 2.5 | 3.4 | 0.9 |
| Arts, Entertainment and Recreation | 5.4 | 6.2 | 4.0 |
| Other service activities | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Family use activities as employers | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Activities of organizations and foreign organizations | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Note: Excluded the number of migrants from outside Cambodia and those who did not report economic activity

## CHAPTER 7

## FERTILITY

### 7.1 Key concepts

Current fertility data is usually collected by the vital registration system through birth registration. If this type of data is not collected or incomplete (as in the case of Cambodia), the census is the only source of data for calculating fertility. The 2019 census, as well as the 2008 and 1998 censuses, included questions that can be used to estimate the current fertility rate, and the number of children conceived by women (disaggregated by age) in the 12 months before the census. This information can be used to calculate age-specific fertility rates (ASFR) and the Total Fertility Rate (TFR).

The Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) and the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) are not affected by the age composition of the population. However, they are affected by other issues, such as underenumeration. The ASFR and TFR calculation methods are based on the number of births that women delivered in the 12 months prior to the census. Census data can provide direct measurements of the ASFR and TFR. However, when rates are derived in this way, they often underestimate fertility due to misreporting of recent births and other reporting issues. As a consequence, it is necessary to adjust these estimates.

### 7.2 Fertility estimation

Indirect fertility estimation methods were applied to adjust problems stemming from incomplete or inaccurate data collection. The demographer William Brass developed an indirect calculation method to adjust fertility estimates. Other demographers have improved on this calculation method, including Eduardo Arriaga. The Brass-Arriaga method was used to calculate the estimates from the Cambodian census (see Table 7.1) ${ }^{2}$.

[^2]Table 7.1 ASFR and TFR estimates by urban-rural area, 2019

| Age | Age-specific fertility rate (ASFR) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | (2) | $(3)$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ |
| 15 |  | 0.0019 | 0.0018 |
| 16 | 0.0063 | 0.0052 | 0.0036 |
| 17 | 0.0198 | 0.0173 | 0.0119 |
| 18 | 0.0449 | 0.0394 | 0.0381 |
| 19 | 0.0833 | 0.0702 | 0.0883 |
| $15-19$ | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.1662 |
| 20 |  | 0.022 | 0.0146 |
| 21 | 0.0237 | 0.0183 | 0.62 |
| 22 | 0.0255 | 0.0193 | 0.0293 |
| 23 | 0.0281 | 0.0072 | 0.0304 |
| 24 | 0.0266 | 0.0365 | 0.0157 |
| $20-24$ | 0.13 | 0.1 | 0.0507 |
|  |  |  | 0.15 |

According to Table 7.1, the Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) for young women aged 15-19 was low at 0.31 , or 31 births per 1,000 women. This estimated value was referenced at the specific time of August 3, 2018.

Table 7.1 also shows the urban-rural differences for young women aged 15-19. In general, fertility rates in urban areas were lower than in rural areas. The ASFR for those aged 15-19 differs by more than half, from 27 births per 1,000 girls in urban areas to 62 births per 1,000 girls in rural areas. It should be remembered that all measurements correspond from the period March 3rd, 2019, to March 2nd, 2018. Or, to be exact, August 3, 2018.

The table also shows the ASFRs for young women aged 20-24. The ASFR for these young women was also relatively high: 13 per 1,000 women aged $20-24$ for Cambodia as a whole. And 10 per 1,000 in urban areas and 15 per 1,000 in rural areas respectively.

## CHAPTER 8

## DEATH

### 8.1 Key concepts

Mortality refers to deaths that occur within the population. The probability of dying during any given period is influenced by factors such as age, sex, education, and economic status. Mortality rates reflect the standard of living and health services of the population.

The most important determinant of mortality is age. Under-five mortality is particularly important. There are three types of mortality indicators. The Infant Mortality Rate is defined as the number of infant deaths (age 0 - to 1 years) per 1,000 live births in a given year. Child mortality is the number of deaths of children aged 1 to 4 years per 1,000 children. And the under-five mortality rate is the mortality rate from birth to age five.

Many countries, including Cambodia, lack a reliable death registration system. As a consequence, mortality estimates are dependent on data collected from censuses and demographic surveys. However, these sources generally do not provide accurate mortality estimates. Several indirect estimation methods are commonly used to obtain more accurate estimates that meet international standards.

Indirect estimation methods were developed by William Brass during the 1970s in order to study the demography of populations in sub-Saharan Africa. Based on this work, several demographers have revised this method to better estimate adult mortality and to generate lifetables.

The purpose of this chapter is to measure infant, child, and under-five mortality, as well as adult mortality (through a lifetable) and maternal mortality. Indirect methods were used for these calculations.

### 8.2 Mortality of infants and children under five

The 2019 census measured infant mortality using two questions: i) the number of children born to a woman and ii) the number of surviving children. Both questions are collected with reference to the age of the mother. Indirect estimation methods can provide reliable data for infant mortality and underfive mortality (or probability of death).

The original method was developed by the British demographer William Brasshas been revised and improved over time (Moultrie et al., 2013). A revised version of Brass's original method was used here. Most indirect mortality estimates are generated using model life tables. There are two main indirect methods that have been proposed to generate life tables: i) the Coale-Demeny Regional Model Life

Table approach (West, North, East, and South), and ii) the United Nations Model Life Tables for Developing Countries (Latin American, Chilean, South Asian, Far East and General)³.

For Cambodia, the most appropriate model life tables are those calculated using the CoaleDemeny North model. ${ }^{4}$ Table 8.1 presents Infant Mortality Rates (IMR), child, and under-five mortality rates estimated from the 2019 census data. In general, mortality rates tend to be higher for males than females, especially in relation to infant mortality. This pattern is indicative of certain biological factors. In contrast, child mortality rates tend to be higher for females, especially in countries where there is a preference for sons. In the case of Cambodia, the two rates were similar, indicating a tendency for son preference, if this is true, then it would not affect the survival of the children.

Table 8.1 The infant mortality, under-five mortality and life expectancy by sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Area | Infant Mortality <br> Rate | Under-five mortality | Life expectancy | Reference <br> period |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 17.6 | 28.1 | 75.5 | 2016.8 |
| Males | 20.6 | 31.7 | 74.3 | 2016.8 |
| Females | 14.6 | 24.3 | 76.8 | 2016.8 |
| Urban |  |  |  | 75.6 |
| Both sexes | 15.9 | 25.5 | 73.5 | 2016.8 |
| Males | 19.4 | 29.8 | 77.7 | 2016.8 |
| Females | 12.6 | 21 |  | 2016.8 |
| Rural |  |  | 74.5 | 2016.8 |
| Both sexes | 18.5 | 29.5 | 72.8 | 2016.8 |
| Males | 21.3 | 32.8 | 76.4 | 2016.8 |
| Females | 15.7 | 26.1 |  |  |

The rural mortality rate is higher than in urban areas in almost all countries and this pattern is also evident in Cambodia (Table 8.1). Many factors explain the difference in mortality rates, including parental education, access to health care, hygiene practices, etc. Whilst there has been progress expanding access to health care in rural areas, these differences make clear that there is scope for further improvement, particularly in rural areas.

Table 8.1 also presents life expectancy estimates. Life expectancy is an important indicator of overall mortality and is strongly influenced by infant mortality. The following section discusses these results in more detail.

[^3]
### 8.3 Adult mortality

The life table is the most appropriate demographic tool to use to measure and population mortality patterns. Mortality by age group applies to 100,000 infants born at the same time.

This method is most often used to calculate adult mortality by indirect methods when vital registration statistics are not collected and census data is required. These methods are organized into census methods, survival methods, growth balance methods, growth methods, general generation mortality methods, and calculations based on information about parental survival and spouse survival.

The most important method of estimating adult mortality is the life table. This is not only a useful demographic tool but it is also used to analyse mortality for policy purposes.

The aforementioned indirect methods take account of problems that often occur during census interviews. For Cambodia, the growth balance equation has been used. However, the results were inconsistent and require a more detailed study that falls outwith the scope of this report.

Alternative methods that were used were life table calculations for infant mortality using indirect estimation of mortality under 5 years (Table 8.2) and model life tables. These calculations were based on the MATCH method from the UN MORTPAR5 program and the North model life table.

Table 8.2 Lifetable estimates for males and females aged 0-24 by sex, 2019

| Age | m(x, n ) | $q(x, n)$ | I(x) | d(x,n) | L(x,n) | S(x,n) | T(x) | e(x) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.01779 | 0.01751 | 100 | 1.751 | 98.412 | 0.98128 | 7.430 .000 | 74.3 |
| 1-4 | 0.00081 | 0.00325 | 98.249 | 320 | 392.23 | 0.99682 | 7.331 .588 | 74.62 |
| 5-9 | 0.00046 | 0.00231 | 97.93 | 226 | 489.082 | 0.99787 | 6.939 .358 | 70.86 |
| 10-14 | 0.00039 | 0.00194 | 97.703 | 190 | 488.041 | 0.99696 | 6.450 .276 | 66.02 |
| 15-19 | 0.00093 | 0.00462 | 97.513 | 450 | 486.556 | 0.99422 | 5.962 .235 | 61.14 |
| 20-24 | 0.00134 | 0.00669 | 97.063 | 649 | 483.743 | 0.9932 | 5.475 .679 | 56.41 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.01487 | 0.01468 | 100 | 1468 | 98.67 | 0.98425 | 7.680 .000 | 76.8 |
| 1-4 | 0.00069 | 0.00275 | 98.532 | 271 | 393.452 | 0.99769 | 7.581 .329 | 76.94 |
| 5-9 | 0.00026 | 0.00131 | 98.261 | 129 | 490.984 | 0.9987 | 7.187 .877 | 73.15 |
| 10-14 | 0.00026 | 0.00129 | 98.132 | 127 | 490.344 | 0.9983 | 6.696 .893 | 68.24 |
| 15-19 | 0.00046 | 0.00229 | 98.005 | 225 | 489.51 | 0.99714 | 6.206 .549 | 63.33 |
| 20-24 | 0.00068 | 0.00341 | 97.78 | 333 | 488.11 | 0.9962 | 5.717 .039 | 58.47 |

Note: $\quad$| $m(x, n)$ | $=$ Specific age at mid-mortality |
| :--- | :--- |
| $a(x, n)$ | $=$ Average life expectancy for those who died between the ages of $x$ and $x+n$ |
| $n q x$ | $=$ Probability of age-specific $x$ and $x+n$ mortality (mortality at specific age) |
| $l(x)$ | $=$ Number of survivors aged $x$ |
|  | $n d x$ |

[^4]Table 8.3 presents the life table generated with census data using the aforementioned indirect estimation methods. If a cohort of 100,000 male babies was subject to the age-specific mortality rates observed in 2019, the life table estimates that the probability of dying aged 10-14 would be 1.94 per 1,000 and would be 4.62 and 6.69 per 1,000 at ages 15-19 and 20-24. For a corresponding cohort of female babies, the 2019 life table estimates that the probability of dying aged 10-14 would be 1.29 per 1,000 . And 2.26 and 3.41 per 1,000 at ages 15-19 and 20-24 respectively.

Figure 8.1 The mortality rate of children and youth by age, and sex, in Cambodia, in 2019


Mortality rates and life expectancies are generally presented by age and are calculated separately for males and females. In the case of Cambodia, the level of mortality in the life table is consistent with that of children under the age of five and in other developing countries. The graphic below shows the life expectancy of infants, children, and youths by specific age groups in Cambodia. If the mortality rates prevailing in 2019 remained constant in the future, a newborn boy would expect to live 74.3 years and a newborn girl would be expected to live to 76.8 years. Under the same assumptions, young men aged $20-24$, could expect to live an additional 56.4 years and young women could expect to live an additional 58.5 years.

Figure 8.2 Estimated life expectancy for infants, children and youth by age and sex, 2019


## CHAPTER 9

## DISABILITY

### 9.1 Key concepts

Data on the six types of disability collected in the 2019 census are as follows:
(1) Visual disability (eyes): a person who has some degree of visual impairment (despite wearing glasses) and is still unable to see; or a person who is blind or unable to see anything at all (even light), is considered visually incapacitated or visually impaired. A person who can see clearly with one eye or can only see objects that are close is also considered to have a visual disability. A person has trouble seeing near or far, but can see clearly because $s / h e$ is wearing glasses is not considered visually disabled.
(2) Hearing disability (deaf): a person with some level of hearing loss, even with hearing aids, is considered hearing incapacitated or hearing disabled. This type of disability includes people who hear nothing at all; people who can only hear loud noises; those who cannot hear when they are in a noisy environment; and those who have trouble recognizing sounds from various sources. In addition, a person who can only hear through one ear is also considered hearing disabled. A person who can hear with hearing aids is not considered to have a hearing disability.
(3) Disability in walking or climbing stairs: a person who has difficulty, to some extent, when moving around in the environment with his/her feet is considered disabled. This type of disability includes people who have some difficulty in walking near or far, or up/down stairs. It also includes those who cannot walk any distance without rest and cannot walk without walking aids such as canes and crutches. In addition, people who are unable to stand for more than one or two minutes, or who need a wheelchair to move from place to place, are also considered to have this type of difficulty.
(4) Disability in memory or concentration: a person who is found to have some difficulty remembering or concentrating on daily activities is considered to have a disability in memory or concentration. This type of disability includes people who have trouble finding a way to focus on what they are doing. Or who have trouble remembering where they lived a few months ago. In addition, a person who may not be able to remember what someone has just said to them or who seems confused or scared, is also considered to have a memory or concentration disability. These problems can be caused by everyday situations, including a high workload or as a result of drug use or any other major cause that causes this sort of disability.
(5) Disability in self-care: a person who has difficulty taking care of him/herself independently is considered to have disability in self-care. This type of disability includes daily tasks such as washing, dressing or grooming. Washing refers to the process of cleaning the body (usually with soap and water). Cleaning activities include washing clothes and collecting essential items, such as soap, laundry, or water. Dressing refers to all aspects related to putting on clothes. A person who is unable to perform these tasks on his/her own is considered to have this type of disability.
(6) Disability in communication due to physical, mental, or emotional health conditions: a person with a physical, mental, or emotional health problem that affects speech, hearing or comprehension, and a range of daily communication activities. This type of disability includes those who may be prone to misunderstanding during a conversation or other modes of communication.

Communication refers to the exchange of information or ideas with others. This exchange is achieved by using voice, signs, or writing the information that is to be exchanged.

### 9.2 Level of disability

Table 9.1 shows the disability rate by sex and urban-rural area. The table presents the results for each of the six disability categories outlined in section 9.1. Individuals with a disability were classified into three categories (some level of disability, severe disability and "can do nothing").

Disability rates were low for children aged 5-14 and youths aged 15-24 for all levels of disability (Table 9.1). When disaggregated by sex, the incidence of disability and the distribution of disability across the different levels were similar. The distribution of disability across the different levels for males and females was also very similar for both rural and urban areas.

Table 9.1 Disability among those aged 5-24 by level of disability and sex, 2019

| Area | Population aged 5-24 years | Total | Not disabled | Some level of disability | Severe disability | Can do nothing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Children aged 5-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3,120,981 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Males | 1,596,432 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Females | 1,524,549 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Urban | 1,059,536 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Males | 541,483 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Females | 518,053 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Rural | 2,061,445 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Males | 1,054,949 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Females | 1,006,496 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Youths aged 15-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,664,530 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Males | 1,321,614 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Females | 1,342,916 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1,117,361 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |


| Area | Population aged <br> 5-24 years | Total | Not <br> disabled | Some <br> level of <br> disability | Severe <br> disability | Can do <br> nothing |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(\mathbf{1 )}$ | $(\mathbf{2 )}$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $\mathbf{( 7 )}$ |
| Males | 545,531 | 100 | 98.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Females | 571,830 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| Rural | $\mathbf{1 , 5 4 7 , 1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| Males | 776,083 | 100 | 98.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Females | 771,086 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Table 9.2 focuses specifically on the population with a disability. It disaggregates disability by the level of disability, sex and urban-rural area. Of the 37,575 children aged $5-14$ who were disabled, $70.5 \%$ experienced some level of disability, $16.3 \%$ were severely disabled, and $13.2 \%$ were classified as being unable to do anything.

In much the same way, the census found a total of 31,899 youths aged $15-24$ who reported being disabled. Of these young people, $65.4 \%$ experienced some level of disability, $19.6 \%$ reported severe disability, and $15 \%$ reported that they were unable do anything.

Table 9.2 Disabled children and youth aged 5-24 disaggregated by level of disability, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Area | Number of disabled | Total (\%) | Some level of disability | Severe disability | Can do nothing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Children aged 5-14 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 37,575 | 100 | 70.5 | 16.3 | 13.2 |
| Males | 19,571 | 100 | 70.3 | 16.2 | 13.5 |
| Females | 18,004 | 100 | 70.7 | 16.3 | 13.0 |
| Urban | 13,002 | 100 | 66.5 | 17.3 | 16.2 |
| Males | 6,727 | 100 | 66.9 | 16.0 | 17.1 |
| Females | 6,275 | 100 | 66.1 | 18.7 | 15.2 |
| Rural | 24,573 | 100 | 72.6 | 15.7 | 11.7 |
| Males | 12,844 | 100 | 72.1 | 16.3 | 11.6 |
| Females | 11,729 | 100 | 73.1 | 15.1 | 11.8 |



Table 9.3 looks at the level of disability within the six categories of disability outlined in section 9.1. The proportion of children and young people aged $5-24$ who reported no visual disability was $99.4 \%$. The other types of disability all reported the same proportion of those with no disability, apart from disability related to moving or climbing stairs and disability related to communication and memory. For both of these types of disability, the proportion with no disability was $99.5 \%$.

Table 9.3 Disability within the population aged 5-24 years by the type and level of disability and sex, 2019

| Type and level of disability | Percentage distribution |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Males | Females |
| Visual disability, even when wearing glasses | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| No disability | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 |
| Some disability | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Severe disability | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Hearing disability even with hearing aids | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 100 |
| No disability | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 |
| Some disability | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Severe disability | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Disability related to moving or climbing stairs (walking) | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| No disability | 99.5 | 99.5 | 99.5 |


| Type and level of disability | Percentage distribution |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Males | Females |
| Some disability | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Severe disability | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Memory or concentration disability | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No disability | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.5 |
| Some disability | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Severe disability | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Disability related to self-care, such as bathing or dressing | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No disability | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.5 |
| Some disability | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Severe disability | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Communication disability | 100 | 100 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| No disability | 99.5 | 99.4 | 99.5 |
| Some disability | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Severe disability | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## CHAPTER 10

## HOUSING, HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS AND AMENITIES

### 10.1 Housing

The 2019 census did not include a housing census. However, some information on housing characteristics was collected in a listing operation carried out three days priot to the actual census interview. During the house listing period, any building or structure used for residential purposes, (in whole or in part) was listed in Form A by the enumerator interviewing the households living in the building (see sample in Appendix 2). The following list of residential characteristics was also collected and recorded by the census enumerator: i) whether the building was wholly or partly residential and, in the latter case, ii) the other uses that the building was given over to, and iii) the materials used for walls, roofs and floors of the building.

Table 10.1 Buildings used as residences by households with children and youths by residential composition, urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019


Note: Excluded cases where type of building use was not reported

According to the 2019 census, $93.9 \%$ of households with children and young people lived in buildings that were wholly residential. Nearly $5 \%$ lived in buildings that were partly residential and included a shop. And nearly $1 \%$ lived in buildings that were partly residential and included a workshop. $91.3 \%$ of households with children and youths in urban areas lived in buildings that were wholly residential. In rural areas the corresponding proportion was $95.2 \%$.

More households with children and youths lived in buildings that were partly residential and partly used for other purposes in urban areas than in rural areas. Children and youth living in households that were in the highest wealth quintile were more likely to live in buildings that were partly residential and shop ( $13.1 \%$ ). In contrast, those in the lowest wealth quintile had the lowest proportion of households that lived in buildings of this type ( $1.3 \%$ ).

Table 10.2 Percentage of buildings and dwellings by type of building construction, urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019

| Area | Percentage of buildings by type of construction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Permanent | Semipermanent | Temporary |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Total | 100 | 92.7 | 6.1 | 1.2 |
| Urban | 100 | 96.4 | 3 | 0.5 |
| Rural | 100 | 90.5 | 7.9 | 1.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest quintile | 100 | 79.8 | 15.4 | 4.8 |
| Low quintile | 100 | 90.3 | 8.7 | 1 |
| Middle quintile | 100 | 95.8 | 3.8 | 0.3 |
| High quintile | 100 | 97.7 | 2.1 | 0.2 |
| Highest quintile | 100 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 |

Note: Excluded unreported types of building use

The combination of building materials that a residence was constructed from was used to determine the quality of building construction. Based on the wall and roof materials, buildings that were used for residential purposes (both wholly and in part) were classified as permanent, semi-permanent or temporary. Roofs made of bamboo, thatch, grass or tarpaulin were assumed to be temporary. Roofs made of the following materials were assumed to be permanent: wood, plywood, concrete, brick, stone, zinc, aluminum, other metal panels, fibrous cement and tile. Walls made of bamboo, thatch, or plastic sheeting or temporary coverings were assumed to be temporary. Walls made of the following materials were assumed permanent: wood, plywood, concrete, brick, stone, zinc, aluminum, other metal panels, and fibrous cement.

Buildings or structures composed of permanent wall elements and permanent roofs were considered permanent. Buildings or structures that were a combination of permanent wall materials and
temporary roofs or temporary wall materials and permanent roofs were considered semi-permanent buildings. Buildings or structures that combined temporary wall materials and temporary roofs were considered to be temporary buildings.

Table 10.2 shows that in 2019, about $92.7 \%$ of households with children and youths were living in permanent buildings. About $6.1 \%$ lived in buildings that were semi-permanent and $1.2 \%$ were living in temporary structures. $99.2 \%$ of households with children and youths in the highest wealth quintile lived in a permanent building, compared to $79.8 \%$ in the lowest quintile.

### 10.2 Household characteristics

### 10.2.1 Number and size of households with children and youths

The total number of regular or normal households counted during the 2008 and 2019 censuses was $2,817,551$ and $3,553,021$, respectively. The average household size decreased from 4.9, in 2008 to 4.2, in 2019 over a decade. In particular, households with children and youth were larger than the average household, especially in urban areas, and the highest quintile.

Table 10.3 Number of regular households and average household size by urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019

| Area/Wealth quintile | Regular households |  | Average <br> household size |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of household <br> members | Number of households |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 , 2 9 9 , 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 3 7 , 4 4 5}$ | 7 |
| Urban | $5,556,509$ | 790,154 | 5.7 |
| Rural | $8,743,314$ | $1,547,291$ |  |
|  |  |  | 5.7 |
| Wealth quintile | $2,657,004$ | 462,175 | 6 |
| Lowest quintile | $2,890,635$ | 479,641 | 5.7 |
| Low quintile | $3,040,159$ | 532,497 | 5.9 |
| Middle quintile | $2,882,498$ | 488,465 | 7.6 |
| High quintile | $2,829,527$ | 374,667 |  |
| Highest quintile |  |  |  |

### 10.2.2 Household tenure and number of rooms

Of households with children and youth, $91.6 \%$ reported living in their own home. Only 84\% percent lived in their own home in urban areas, whereas the same figure for rural areas was $96.1 \%$. In general, households with children and youths in Cambodia tended to report owning the home where they live. However, in the high and highest quintiles, larger percentages of these households tended to live in houses that were leased (3.9\% and 21.4\% respectively).

Table 10.4 Households with children and youths by housing tenure, urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019

| Area/Wealth quintile | Total | Housing tenure |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Own house | Leased house | Not a landlord, but living rentfree | Other form of tenure |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 100 | 91.6 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| Urban | 100 | 84 | 13.3 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
| Rural | 100 | 96.1 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest quintile | 100 | 94.3 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 0.4 |
| Low quintile | 100 | 96.3 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 0.2 |
| Middle quintile | 100 | 96.9 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.2 |
| High quintile | 100 | 93.4 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 0.3 |
| Highest quintile | 100 | 76.6 | 21.4 | 1.8 | 0.2 |

Most of the households with children and youths (61.6\%) lived in houses with just one room. In urban areas, $52.3 \%$ of households had one room and $29 \%$ had two rooms. $10.3 \%$ had three rooms and about $8.4 \%$ had four or more rooms. Proportionately far more households with children and youths in the high and highest quintiles reported having two or more rooms than households in the low and lowest quintiles.

Table 10.5 Distribution of households with children and youths by number of rooms, urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019

| Area/Wealth quintile | Number of rooms owned by family |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 100 | 61.6 | 26.9 | 7.2 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| Urban | 100 | 52.3 | 29 | 10.3 | 5.2 | 3.2 |
| Rural | 100 | 67 | 25.7 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest quintile | 100 | 80.6 | 15.8 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Low quintile | 100 | 72.3 | 23.3 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Middle quintile | 100 | 63.5 | 29.8 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| High quintile | 100 | 52.9 | 34.4 | 9.4 | 2.5 | 0.8 |
| Highest quintile | 100 | 39.3 | 30.1 | 15.3 | 9.2 | 6.1 |

[^5]
### 10.3 Household appliances

### 10.3.1 Drinking water

As with the 2008 census, information on household appliances was collected in the 2019 census. Information was also collected about the sources of drinking water. The census asked whether households had access to water piped into the dwelling; water piped into the compound or yard; water collected from a public tap; standpipe; tube wells, borehole and protected well; as well as rainwater and bottled water.

In 2019, 72.7\% of households with children (0-14 years) and youths (15-24 years) had access to an improved source of drinking water (Table 10.6). This is similar to the corresponding percentage for the general population ( $73 \%$ ). In urban areas, $83.6 \%$ of households had access to safe drinking water, and $66.3 \%$, in rural areas. On the other hand, Figure 10.1 shows that about $73.2 \%$ of urban households had access to safe drinking water in their homes, whereas in rural areas only $57.9 \%$ had access to safe drinking water at home.

Figure 10.1 Percentage of households by location of drinking water sources and urbanrural area, 2019


Households with children and youth that were in the high and highest wealth quintiles had much higher access to safe (improved) drinking water ( $81 \%$ and $94.7 \%$, respectively) than households with children and youth with the lowest quintile (46.2\%).

Table 10.6 Percentage distribution of households with children and youths by primary water source for drinking by urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019

| Area/Wealth quintile | Total | Improved | Not improved |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (1) | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| Total | 100 | 72.7 | 27.3 |
| Urban | 100 | 83.6 | 16.4 |
| Rural | 100 | 66.3 | 33.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |
| Lowest quintile | 100 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| Low quintile | 100 | 63.8 | 36.2 |
| Middle quintile | 100 | 75.9 | 24.1 |
| High quintile | 100 | 81 | 19 |
| Highest quintile | 100 | 94.7 | 5.3 |

Note: Improved (clean) water sources include: tap water, plumbing water in the yard /house compound, water from public pipes, pumped well water, dug wells with cover, protected groundwater, rainwater, and bottled water / bottled drinking water.

### 10.3.2 Key sources of lighting

According to the results of the 2019 population census, most households had access to grid electricity for lighting. Table 10.7 shows that $83.8 \%$ of all households had access to electricity (including grid electricity, generators and a combination of these sources). This is a significant increase from $42.7 \%$ in 2008 . According to the aforementioned figures, for more than a decade, the vast majority of Cambodian households have used electricity as a source of light.

Figure 10.2 Percentage of households by the main source of lighting and urban-rural area, in 2019


In rural areas $76.2 \%$ of households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) had access to electricity (including grid electricity, generators, and a combination of these sources) in 2019. In urban areas, the same figure was $96.8 \%$.

When disaggregated by wealth quintile, very high percentages of households with children and youths that were in the high and the highest quintiles had access to electricity ( $98.4 \%$ and $99.7 \%$ respectively). In contrast, just $37.6 \%$ of households that were in the lowest quintile had access to electricity (including grid electricity, generators, and a combination of these sources).

Table 10.7 Percentage of households by the primary source of light, urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019

| Area/Wealth quintile | Total | Access to <br> electricity | Kerosene | Candle | Car <br> battery | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| Total | 100 | 83.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 13.8 | 1.6 |
| Urban | 100 | 96.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.2 |
| Rural | 100 | 76.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 20.4 | 2.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest quintile | 100 | 37.6 | 2 | 1 | 54.1 | 5.2 |
| Low quintile | 100 | 83.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 13.8 | 2.1 |
| Middle quintile | 100 | 95.7 | 0.1 | 0 | 3.4 | 0.8 |
| High quintile | 100 | 98.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Highest quintile | 100 | 99.7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: Using of electricity (including grid electricity, generators, and a combination of these sources)

### 10.3.3 Latrines

According to the 2019 population census, $82.6 \%$ of households with children (aged 0-14) and young people (aged 15-24) had access to a latrine (Table 10.8). Of this proportion, $33 \%$ had access to an improved toilet (i.e. a pour-flush or flush toilet connected to the sewer system; a pour-flush or flush toilet connected to a drain or pit; or a pit latrine with a toilet bowl).

In urban areas, the proportion of households with children and youths that had access to an improved toilet was $46.3 \%$, whereas the corresponding figure in rural areas was just $25.3 \%$.

In the lowest quintile, only $15.1 \%$ of households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 1524) had access to improved toilets. For households that were in the highest quintile, this figure was $61 \%$. Moreover, $53.5 \%$ of households with children and youths in the lowest wealth quintile had no access to toilets for defecation. Importantly, this suggests that it is necessary to review and strengthen sanitation and health programs.

Table 10.8 Percentage of households by access to toilet, type of toilet, urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019

| Area/Wealth quintile | Total | No access | Improved | Not improved |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total | 100 | 17.4 | 33 | 49.5 |
| Urban | 100 | 9.6 | 46.3 | 44.1 |
| Rural | 100 | 22 | 25.3 | 52.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest quintile | 100 | 53.5 | 15.1 | 31.3 |
| Low quintile | 100 | 21 | 25 | 54 |
| Middle quintile | 100 | 7.2 | 29.3 | 63.6 |
| High quintile | 100 | 5.2 | 34.2 | 60.6 |
| Highest quintile | 100 | 4 | 61 | 34.9 |

Note: Improved toilet: pour-flush or flush toilet connected to the sewer system; a pour-flush or flush toilet connected to a drain or pit; pit latrines with toilet bowls. The table excluded other types of toilet.

### 10.3.4 Fuel for cooking

The 2008 and 2019 censuses show that the proportions of households using firewood for cooking has declined over time. While households using gas had steadily increased at the national level, firewood was still the main fuel for cooking in rural areas in 2019.

About 60.9\% of all Cambodian households reported using firewood for cooking in 2019. For households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24), $61.4 \%$ used firewood for cooking. The proportion of these households that used firewood for cooking was particularly high in rural areas (78.6\%).

When disaggregated by wealth quintile, the use of firewood for cooking was mainly for households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24), who were in the low and lowest wealth quintiles. For households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) in the high and highest wealth quintiles, gas and LPG were the main sources of energy for daily cooking at home.

Table 10.9 Households by type of fuel used for cooking at home, by urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019

| Type of fuel used for <br> cooking | Total | Wood | Charcoal <br> \& coal | Kerosene | Gas <br>  <br> LPG | Electricity | None | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(\mathbf{1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 )}$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |
| Urban | 100 | 31.8 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 55.4 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Rural | 100 | 78.6 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 10.8 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest quintile | 100 | 96 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Low quintile | 100 | 91.5 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Middle quintile | 100 | 79.2 | 9.8 | 0.4 | 8.6 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| High quintile | 100 | 35.9 | 14.6 | 0.7 | 42 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Highest quintile | 100 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 83.5 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

### 10.3.5 Internet usage

The 2019 census results show that internet usage has been increasing. This mirrors technological advances worldwide, in particular in relation to the availability of mobile phones that can access the internet. Table 10.10 shows that $50.8 \%$ of households with children (aged $0-14$ ) and youths (aged 15-24) used the internet. Of these households, $22.3 \%$ accessed the internet at home, $4.6 \%$ accessed it in public places, and $23.8 \%$ accessed it both at home and in public places. The percentage of households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) in urban areas that had access to the internet was higher than the corresponding in rural areas ( $62.3 \%$ versus $44 \%$ ).

Households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) that were in the lowest and low wealth quintiles had low percentage with access to the internet ( $23.8 \%$ and $37.7 \%$, respectively). In contrast to this, households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) who were in the high and highest wealth quintiles, had high percentages with access to the internet, especially at home; and at home and in public places.

Table 10.10 Percentage distribution of households by internet usage, urban-rural area and wealth quintile, 2019

| Area/Wealth quintile | Access to <br> internet | Access to <br> internet from <br> home and in <br> public spaces | Access to <br> internet at <br> home | Access to <br> internet in <br> public spaces |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| Total | 50.8 | 23.8 | 22.3 | 4.6 |
| Urban | 62.3 | 33.3 | 22.9 | 6.2 |
| Rural | 44 | 18.2 | 22 | 3.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  | 12.9 |  |
| Lowest quintile | 23.8 | 8.1 | 18.6 | 2.8 |
| Low quintile | 37.7 | 15.1 | 26.3 | 3.9 |
| Middle quintile | 52.9 | 22.5 | 28.6 | 4.1 |
| High quintile | 62.8 | 28.8 | 24.5 | 5.3 |
| Highest quintile | 76.1 | 44.5 | 7 |  |

### 10.3.6 Personal items

The 2008 and 2019 censuses asked questions about the type and quantity of household amenities. This information provides an indication of the economic conditions of indigenous population. The 2019 census results show that $67.5 \%$ of households nationwide had a personal television set. Meanwhile, $91.9 \%$ of households owned a mobile phone, $80.1 \%$ owned a motorbike, and $10.1 \%$ owned a car (small or large truck or car for personal use).

Table 10.11 shows that households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) were more likely to have a TV set than the Cambodia population overall ( $68.6 \%$ versus $67.5 \%$ ). The percentages with a TV set were particularly high for urban households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) and for households that were in the highest and high wealth quintiles (Table 10.11).

The trend is the same for almost all types of household appliances. For example, the proportions of households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) that were in the high and highest wealth quintiles and owned cars/trucks, small/large, were $12.1 \%$ and $37.1 \%$, respectively. The corresponding percentages for households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) that were in the low and lowest wealth quintiles were just $0.3 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ respectively.

Table 10.11 Classification of households by type and number of personal appliances, 2019

| Types of items used | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ్ } \\ & \text { たㄴㄴ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{N}} \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Tape recorder | 15.2 | 13.8 | 16.1 | 10.5 | 14.2 | 19.4 | 17.4 | 13.9 |
| TV set | 68.6 | 79.1 | 62.4 | 21.7 | 64 | 83.6 | 85.3 | 84.1 |
| Fixed phone | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| Mobile phone | 93.4 | 95.7 | 92.1 | 79 | 94 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 98 |
| Personal computer | 8.1 | 15.6 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 7.7 | 28.2 |
| Bicycle | 58.1 | 52.8 | 61.2 | 42.3 | 63.6 | 71.9 | 64.1 | 46.2 |
| Motorbike | 82.8 | 87.6 | 80 | 58.1 | 79.8 | 89.8 | 91.5 | 92.7 |
| Refrigerator | 16.4 | 32.5 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 1 | 3.1 | 18 | 61.2 |
| Washing machine | 9.6 | 20.7 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 42.1 |
| Fan | 79.6 | 91.8 | 72.5 | 27.5 | 79.6 | 93.4 | 95.6 | 97.9 |
| Aid conditioner | 7.1 | 16.6 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2 | 33.6 |
| Car/small/big truck | 10.5 | 19.3 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 1 | 2.7 | 12.1 | 37.1 |
| Boat/machine boat | 3.4 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
| Small/big tractor | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1 |
| Walking tractor | 15.2 | 4.6 | 21.4 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 21 | 11.9 | 1.6 |

## CHAPTER 11 CONCLUSION

## Demographics

The final results of the General Population Census of Cambodia (GPCC) in 2019 show that the total population was $15,552,211$, an increase from $13,395,682$ in 2008. The total population increased by $2,156,259$, or $16.1 \%$ in the 11 years from 2008 to 2019. Males accounted for $7,571,837$ ( $48.7 \%$ ) of the total Cambodian population, while females numbered 7,980,374 (51.3\%).

Of the total population of $15,552,211,7,235,670(46.6 \%)$ were under the age of $25.4,571,140$ ( $29.4 \%$ ) were children under the age of 15 , and $2,664,530$ ( $17.1 \%$ ) were youths aged between 15-24 years old. $1,571,860(34.4 \%)$ of $4,571,140$, and $1,117,361$ ( $41.9 \%$ ) of $2,664,530$ were children and youths living in urban areas, respectively. The results in Table 2.1 show that approximately $79.9 \%$ of children aged 0-14 years lived in the central plains and Tonle Sap regions. Only $20.1 \%$ lived in the plateau and mountains; and coastal and sea regions. The same trend also existed for youths and the general population.

Of the $4,571,140$ individuals aged $0-14$ years, $4,519,025$ ( $98.9 \%$ ) were living in normal households, and 52,115 (1.1\%) were living in institutions; were living on boats, or; were classified as homeless or transient households.

Similarly, of the 2,664,530 youths aged 15-24 years, 2,562,282 (96.2\%) were living in normal households, and 102,248 (3.1\%) were living in institutions; were living on boats, or; were classified as homeless or transient households.

According to the final results of the GPCC 2019, the number of children (aged 0-14) increased by only 57,348 . This is equivalent to a $1.3 \%$ increase in the 11 years between 2008 and 2019. And it corresponds to an annual growth rate of $0.1 \%$. For youths aged $15-24$, there was a total decrease of 323,962 during the same time period. This is equivalent to a $10.8 \%$ decline in the youth population in the period 2008-2019, as well as an annual growth rate of $-1 \%$.
$34.4 \%$ of children and $41.9 \%$ of youths lived in urban areas. $65.6 \%$ of children and $58.1 \%$ of youths lived in rural areas. $79.9 \%$ of children aged $0-14$ lived in the central plains and the Tonle Sap regions, nearly $80 \%$. Only $20.1 \%$ of children lived in the plateau and mountains region; and the coastal and sea regions. The same trend also exists for the youth and the general populations.
$97.7 \%$ of children aged $0-14$ and $97.7 \%$ of youths aged $15-24$ were Buddhists. The next largest religious group, Muslims, accounted for $1.7 \%$ of the child population and $1.5 \%$ of the youth population. Christianity and other religions accounted for $0.5 \%$ and $0.7 \%$, respectively.

Khmer was the dominant native language in 2019, accounting for $95.9 \%$ of children aged 0-14 and $95.6 \%$ of youths aged 15-24. Individuals reporting an indigenous language as their native tongue accounted for $3.4 \%$ of children and $3.3 \%$ of youths. Those with a foreign language as a native language accounted for $0.7 \%$ of children and $1.1 \%$ of youths.

The population under the age of 15 was $29.9 \%$ and the age group $15-24$ was about $17.2 \%$. The child (i.e., under age 15) dependency ratio in 2019 was 47.6 . The child dependency ratio in urban areas was 38.7. The dependency ratio was generally higher in rural areas.

The 2019 population census recorded $4,571,140$ children aged $0-14$ years, of which $51.2 \%$ were boys and $48.8 \%$ were girls. Similarly, the census found that there were $2,664,530$ young people aged $15-24$ years old, of which $49.6 \%$ were boys, and $50.4 \%$ were girls. The number of boys aged $0-14$ was greater than the number of girls among children in all areas. For youth aged 15-24 years old, the sex ratio was 98.4.

The proportion of the youth population aged 15-24 that reported being currently married was quite high at $20.3 \%$. This pattern was particularly pronounced for young women living in rural areas $(30.1 \%)$. At the same time, the proportion of $15-24$-year-olds that reported being widows/widowers or separated, exceeded $1 \%$.

## Literacy and education

The results of the 2019 Cambodian census show that the literacy rate for any language among children aged $7-14$ and youths aged $15-24$ years old was $92.1 \%$ and $94.7 \%$ respectively. The literacy rate for boys (aged 7-14) was slightly lower than the corresponding literacy rate for girls. Young women aged 15-24 years old also had a higher literacy rate than young mens the same age (95\% and 94.4\% respectively).

Due to policies for universal education and the elimination of illiteracy, the gap in reading and writing between children (aged 7-14) in urban and rural areas was just $1.9 \%$ (based on a literacy rate of $93.4 \%$ in urban areas and $91.5 \%$ in rural areas). The gap in the literacy rate between youths (aged 1524 years) in urban and rural areas was $4.3 \%$.

The literacy rate for any language was 2,309,580 (92.1\%) for children aged 7-14, compared to the 2,523,604 (94.7\%) for youths aged 15-24. 93.8\% of children aged 7-14 and 87.6\% of youths aged 15-24 reported knowing Khmer. In addition to Khmer, some children also knew other languages: 3.4\% knew Khmer and English, 2.2\% knew Khmer and other non-English language(s); and 0.6\% reported knowing any language other than Khmer. In much the same vein, $8.9 \%$ percent of youths reported knowing Khmer and English, 2.5\% reported Khmer and other non-English language(s). And 1\% reported knowing any language other than Khmer.

A higher proportion of young people (15-24 years) reported knowing a foreign language than children (7-14 years), especially English. The proportions who reported knowing Khmer and other languages were lower for males than for females in both urban and rural areas.

Literacy rates in the 7-24 age group were high in both rural and urban areas (92.2\% and 95.5\% respectively), with a slightly higher literacy rate among young girls than young boys.

The literacy rate of males and females in the younger age group was similar, but there was a greater gap in the older age group, which might indicate that in the past, females were less likely to have access to education than males. However, this inequality had been significantly reduced in recent years.

The 2019 census results show that $28.5 \%$ of $7-24$ year-olds who had attended a school or educational institution had completed primary school. $19.5 \%$ had completed their lower secondary education, $2.9 \%$ had completed secondary school and $0.8 \%$ had completed a tertiary degree. About $45.6 \%$ of $7-24$ years old had not completed primary education and a small proportion (2.7\%) reported having had no education.

The 15-19 age group should generally have completed their lower secondary education. However, $16.7 \%$ of the 15-19 age group had not yet completed their primary education, possibly due to late enrollment or repetition, or dropout. In the same 15-19 age group, $40.8 \%$ had completed primary school and $39.9 \%$ had completed their lower secondary education. It should also be noted that $2.4 \%$ of this age group graduated from secondary school with a diploma.

The enrollment rate was $90.6 \%$ for children aged 6-11 years and 91.6\% for children aged 12-14. A far smaller proportion of those aged 15-17 and 18-24 years-old were currently enrolled (67.6\% and $20.7 \%$ respectively). It is possible that this is a consequence of individuals in these age groups dropping out of school.

The enrollment rates of girls and boys were similar for those aged 6-11 years (91.1\% and 90.1\% respectively). The boys' enrollment rate tended to be slightly lower than that of girls between the ages of 6-17 years. However, this imbalance in enrollment is reversed for the older age groups, with proportionately more young men enrolled than young women.

## Labor and employment

The proportion of economically active children was low (2.1\%). In 2019, the proportion was higher for boys ( $2.5 \%$ ) than for girls ( $1.7 \%$ ). Economically inactive does not include people aged 15-24 who did not work and were unemployed during the reference period. The proportion of those aged 1524 years who were economically inactive was higher for females (42.1\%) were higher than for males (41.1\%) in 2019.

The labor force participation rate (LFPR) among the population aged $15-24$ was $58.4 \%$ in 2019. This reflects participation in economic activity in all sectors of the country in 2019. In the 2019 census, the LFPR was $58.9 \%$ for men and $57.9 \%$ for women.

The LFPR in rural areas was slightly was slightly higher than in urban areas (60.3\% and 55.8\% respectively). And this pattern held for males and females. It is possible that this pattern reflects higher levels of participation in education among young people in urban relative to rural areas.

In 2019, the employment rate for children aged 5-14 was $91 \%$ and $98.2 \%$ for young people aged $15-24$. The unemployment rate among young men aged $15-24$ was $1.2 \%$ and $1 \%$ for young women the same age. In 2019, $54.2 \%$ of those aged 5-24 and employed were classified as unpaid family workers and self-employed, so-called "own account", workers. The same group accounted for $82.6 \%$ in 2008.

Children and youth (i.e. those aged 5-24 years) who were self-employed or so-called "own account" workers accounted for $20.5 \%$ of those who were employed. The majority of child and youth workers were working in the formal economy. The proportion of children and young males working in the informal sector was higher than females.

In 2019, 42.8\% of employed young people (15-24 years) were engaged in the agriculture, forestry, and fisheries sector. $27.7 \%$ worked in handicrafts and related jobs, $9.7 \%$ worked in the service and sales sectors, and $8.6 \%$ worked in elementary occupations.

Of the nine major occupations, women outnumbered men in only three or four. In particular, this included handicrafts and related work, and services and sales occupations. However, these differences were only moderate.

The most common occupations for urban young people in the 15-24 age group, were craftsmen and related jobs ( $43.4 \%$ ), followed by the service and sales sectors ( $17.1 \%$ ). Occupations in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries (11.7\%), elementary occupations (9.7\%), and clerks (7\%) were also important.

In 2019, agriculture still played a significant role in rural areas and accounted for $63.5 \%$ of employed young people aged 15-24 years. In contrast to urban areas, just 17.3\% reported their occupation as craftsmen or related jobs. $7.9 \%$ worked in elementary occupations and $4.8 \%$ in services and sales.

In 2019, employment in agriculture, forestry and fisheries had decreased to $45.4 \%$ of employed young people aged 15-24. Other occupations accounted for an increased share of employment, such as manufacturing (23.6\%); wholesale and retail trade, car automobile and motorcycle repair (8\%); construction (7\%); arts, entertainment, and recreation (3.1\%).

## Migration

According to the 2019 census, approximately $93.6 \%$ of children and $80.5 \%$ of youths had never had a home outside of the interview site (Table 6.1). For young people aged $15-24$ in urban areas, this percentage was much lower than in rural areas ( $67.1 \%$ versus $90.1 \%$ ). In particular, the percentage of youth migrants in the youth population was $19.5 \%$ in 2019. In urban areas, the percentage of youth migrants was $32.9 \%$, and in rural areas, only $9.9 \%$.

According to the 2019 census, the majority of child and youth migrants of both sexes, previously had previously resided in other provinces ( $56.6 \%$ ). However, intra-provincial migration is also important, with $39.6 \%$ of migrants reporting a move that occurred within the interview province. These patterns hold for both males and females.

Family relocation was the main reason for migration that was provided by these migrants (72\% for children and $38.4 \%$ for youths). Relocation was the reason that was applied to household members who have moved with the census respondent from a previous residence. Migration due to marriage reasons was similar to this case and female marriage migration was high for this reason. With the
exception of moves due to "job search", the percentages migrating for other reasons were higher among men.

According to the 2019 census, highest proportion of the migration flows for children aged 0-14 were urban-to-urban flows, followed by rural-to-urban flows. A slightly different pattern was observed for young Cambodian males and females aged 15-24. For 15-24-year-olds, the main relocation was from rural to urban, followed by urban to urban. This pattern reflects the rapidly changing employment context and growing urbanization in Cambodia.

The majority of migrants had lived at the interview site for less than five years before the census. The proportion of children living in their current place of residence less than five years before the census was $64.3 \%$ and the corresponding proportion for youths was $60.4 \%$. The most common length of stay for most migrant children and youths was between one and four years. In urban areas, the proportion of child and youth migrants that had lived less than five years at the interview site was higher than the corresponding proportion for in rural areas.

As expected, most children and youths migrated between the ages of 15 and 24 . According to the 2019 census, $42.3 \%$ of migrants were in this age group. Approximately $23.8 \%$ of migrants were in the $0-14$ age group.

Migrant children under the age of 15 in urban areas, mostly worked in arts, entertainment, and recreation ( $26.4 \%$ ), followed by wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair (19\%), manufacturing ( $18.7 \%$ ), agriculture, forestry and fisheries ( $10.1 \%$ ), construction ( $6.4 \%$ ), shipping and warehousing (3.5\%), and accommodation and food service activities (5.3\%).

The same data shows that $65.5 \%$ of young migrants aged $15-24$ participated in economic activities. A higher percentage of young migrants in rural areas participated in economic activities than in urban areas. The largest percentage of youth migrants in urban areas worked in manufacturing (34.8\%), wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair (16.5\%), construction ( $7.3 \%$ ), shipping and warehousing (3.4\%), professional, scientific and technical activities (8.1\%). Arts, entertainment, and recreation (6.2\%) and accomonation and food service activities (6.1\%).

## Fertility

The Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) for young women aged 15-19 was as low, with 31 births per 1,000 women. In general, fertility rates in urban areas were lower than in rural areas. The ASFR for those aged 15-19 differed by more than half, from 27 births per 1000 girls in urban areas to 62 births for every 1,000 girls in rural areas. The ASFR for young women was also relatively high: 13 per 1,000 women aged 20-24 for Cambodia as a whole. And 10 per 1,000 in urban areas and 15 per 1,000 in rural areas respectively.

## Death

Using data from 2019, lifetable estimates were generated for the male and female population. If a cohort of 100,000 male babies was subject to the age-specific mortality rates observed in 2019, life table estimates suggest that the probability of dying aged 10-14 would be 1.94 per 1,000. And 4.62 and 6.69 per 1,000 at ages 15-19 and 20-24. For the corresponding cohort of female babies, life table estimates suggest that the probability of dying aged $10-14$ would be 1.29 per 1,000. And 2.26 and 3.41 per 1,000 at ages 15-19 and 20-24 respectively.

A male newborn would be expected to live for 74.3 years and a newborn female woul be expected to live for 76.8 years. Under the same assumptions, young men aged 20-24 years would be expected to live an additional 56.4 years and young women would be expected to live an additional 58.5 years.

## Disability

Disability rates were low for children aged 5-14 and youths aged 15-24 for all levels of disability. Of the 37,575 children aged $5-14$ who were disabled, $70.5 \%$ experienced some level of disability, $16.3 \%$ were severely disabled, and $13.2 \%$ were classified as being unable to do anything.

In much the same way, the census found a total of 31,899 youths aged 15-24 who reported being disabled. Of these young people, $65.4 \%$ experienced some level of disability, $19.6 \%$ reported severe disability, and $15 \%$ reported that they were unable do anything.

Overall, the proportion of children and youth with a disability was low. The proportion of children and young people aged $5-24$ who reported no visual disability was $99.4 \%$. Five other types of disability all reported the same proportion of those with no disability, apart from disabilities related to moving or climbing stairs and disabilities related to communication and memory. For both of these types of disability, the proportion with no disability was $99.5 \%$.

## Housing, household characteristics and amenities

According to the 2019 census, $93.9 \%$ of households with children and young people lived in buildings that were wholly residential. Nearly $5 \%$ lived in buildings that were partly residential and included a shop. And nearly $1 \%$ lived in buildings that were partly residential and included a workshop. $91.3 \%$ of households with children and youths in urban areas lived in buildings that were wholly residential. In rural areas the corresponding proportion was $95.2 \%$.

More households with children and youths lived in buildings that were partly residential and partly used for other purposes in urban areas than in rural areas. Children and youths living in households that were in the highest wealth quintile were more likely to live in buildings that were partly residential and partly shops/commercial ( $13.1 \%$ ). In contrast, those in the lowest wealth quintile had the lowest proportion of households that lived in buildings of this type (1.3\%).

Households with children and youths were larger than the average households, especially in urban areas, and those in the highest quintile. Of households with children and youths, $91.6 \%$ reported living in their own home. Only $84 \%$ percent lived in their own home in urban areas, whereas the same figure for rural areas was $96.1 \%$.

In general, households with children and youths in Cambodia tended to report owning the home where they live. However, in the high and highest quintiles, larger percentages of these households tended to live in houses that were leased ( $3.9 \%$ and $21.4 \%$ respectively).

Most of the households with children and youths (61.6\%) lived in houses with just one room. In urban areas, $52.3 \%$ of households had one room and $29 \%$ had two rooms. $10.3 \%$ had three rooms and about $8.4 \%$ had four or more rooms. Proportionately far more households with children and youths in the high and highest quintiles reported having two or more rooms than households in the low and lowest quintiles.

In 2019, 72.7\% of households with children (0-14 years) and youths (15-24 years) had access to an improved source of drinking water (Table 10.6). Households with children and youth that were in the high and highest wealth quintiles had much higher access to safe (improved) drinking water (81\% and $94.7 \%$, respectively) than households with children and youth with the lowest quintile ( $46.2 \%$ ).
$83.8 \%$ of households with children aged 0-14 and 15-24 years of age had access to electricity (including grid electricity, generators, and both sources) in 2019. In rural areas $76.2 \%$ of households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) had access to electricity (including grid electricity, generators, and a combination of these sources) in 2019. In urban areas, the same figure was $96.8 \%$.
82.6\% of households with children (aged 0-14) and young people (aged 15-24) had access to a latrine (Table 10.8). In the lowest quintile, only $15.1 \%$ of households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) had access to improved toilets. Moreover, $53.5 \%$ of households with children and youths in the lowest wealth quintile had no access to toilets for defecation. Importantly, this suggests that it is necessary to review and strengthen sanitation and health programs.

For households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24), 61.4\% used firewood for cooking. The proportion of these households that used firewood for cooking was particularly high in rural areas (78.6\%).
$50.8 \%$ of households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (15-24) had internet access. Of these households, $22.3 \%$ accessed the internet at home, $4.6 \%$ accessed it in public places, and $23.8 \%$ accessed it both at home and in public places. The percentage of households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) in urban areas that had access to the internet was higher than the corresponding in rural areas ( $62.3 \%$ versus $44 \%$ ).

Households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) were more likely to have a TV set than the Cambodia population overall ( $68.6 \%$ versus $67.5 \%$ ). The percentages with a TV set were particularly high for urban households with children (aged 0-14) and youths (aged 15-24) and for households that were in the highest and high wealth quintiles.

## GLOSSARY

## Adult literacy rate

Percentage of literate population aged 15 and above, compared to the total population aged 15 and above.

## Age

Total years completed by a person on his/her last birthday

## Age-dependency ratio

The percentage of population in the younger ( $0-14$ ) and older ( $60+$ ) age groups relative to the population in the age group 15-59. This ratio can also be calculated as a youth dependency ratio (with only the young dependent population in the numerator) and an old-age dependency ratio (with only old-age dependent population in the numerator).

## Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR)

The number of births to women of a given age group per 1,000 women in that age group.

## Age-Specific Mortality Rate (ASMR)

Mortality rates can also be calculated for specific age groups to compare mortality rates for different ages or at the same age. Comparisons can be made between countries or regions. Because mortality rates vary widely by gender and ethnicity, mortality by age is given separately for men and women and for different ethnic groups within the population. The age-specific mortality rate is the number of those dying in a specific age group divided by the population in the same age group, multiplied by 1,000 .

## Average household size

This is the average number of persons in normal or regular households (i.e. excluding institutional and homeless households; households on boats, and transient populations).

## Building

Building refers generally to a single structure on the ground. Sometimes it is made up of more than one component units, which are used or likely to be used as dwellings (residences) or establishments such as shops, business houses, offices, factories, workshops, work sheds, schools, place of entertainments, place of worship, stores, etc. It is also possible that buildings, which have constituent units, may be used for a combination of purpose such as a shop- residence, workshop- residence, office-residence, etc.

## Child Mortality Rate (CMR)

The child mortality rate is the number of deaths of those aged 1 to 4 -years old, per 1000 children aged $1-4$ years old in a given year.

## Crude Birth Rate (CBR)

Crude Birth Rate (also called Gross Fertility Rate) describes the total number of live births per 1,000 population in a given year.

## Crude Death Rate (CDR)

The number of population deaths divided by the total population in a given year, multiplied by 1,000.

## Disability

The Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities defines disability as a result of the interaction between persons with impairments and attitudinal and environmental barriers that hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others. This definition is in line with the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF), suggesting that disability is neither a purely medical nor a purely social condition. These definitions reflect a conceptualization of disability that places it on a spectrum of functioning difficulties.

Hence disability measurement must reflect this spectrum with necessary cut-off points. The GPCC 2019 adopted the Washington Group Short Set of Questions on Disability, which was inspired by the ICF. The Washington Group questions comprises six questions according to six functional domains - seeing, hearing, walking, remembering or concentrating, self-care and communicating - along an ascending scale of difficulty of "none", "some", "a lot" and "cannot do at all".

The Washington Group Short Set of Questions on Disability focuses on measuring the level of functioning difficulty experienced in performing basic actions, rather than the existence of a health condition or impairment. The measure was designed primarily for international comparison. The Washington Group on Disability Statistics recommends that persons with disabilities be defined as those who experience a lot of difficulty or cannot operate at all in at least one of the six functional domains

Disability questions contained in the General Population Census of Cambodia 2019 for persons aged 5 years or older:

1. Do you have difficulty seeing, even if wearing glasses?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
2. Do you have difficulty hearing, even if using a hearing aid?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
3. Do you have difficulty walking or climbing steps?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
4. Do you have difficulty remembering or concentrating?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
5. Do you have difficulty with self-care such as washing all over or dressing?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
6. Because of a physical, mental or emotional health condition, do you have difficulty communicating (for example, understanding others or others understanding you)?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.

## Economically active population

Persons reporting their main activity as "employed" or "unemployed" during the reference period of one year preceding the census date.

## Economically inactive population

Population other than economically active population in the year prior to the census.

## Education level

The completed level of education has been classified as follows: $1=$ None: ( 0 and 88), $2=$ Primary Not Completed: ( 1 to 5 ), $3=$ Primary: ( 6 to 8 ), $4=$ Lower Secondary: ( 9 to 13) $5=$ Secondary/ diploma: [Secondary School/Baccalaureate, Technical Diploma/Pre-Secondary and Technical Diploma/PostSecondary (14 to 16)] and 6=Beyond Secondary [Undergraduate and Graduate/Degree Holder (17 to 19)].

## Employed

Comprises persons who were in the following categories for 6 months (183 days) or more during the one-year period prior to the census date:
(i) persons who were in paid employment (e.g. working in public or private organization etc);
(ii) persons who, during the reference period, performed some work for wage, salary, profit or for family gain in cash or kind;
(iii) persons who did not do any work for pay or profit during the reference period although they had a job to which they could return (e.g. off-season workers like farmers or fishermen), those on sick leave or leave without pay, those who could not work due to strike or lockout in the organization they were working;
(iv) persons who were self-employed (e.g. shop owners, food/drink sellers, individuals practicing as doctors or lawyers etc.)

## Employment rate

The employment rate is the number of employed people expressed as a percentage of the labor force (economically active population).

## Emigration

Emigration rate "Overseas migration" is the ratio of the number of migrants who reach one destination per 100 people at that destination in a specific year.

Exponential annual growth rate

$$
r_{E X P}=\ln \left(\frac{X_{n}}{X_{0}}\right) / n
$$

```
\(\mathrm{X}_{0}=\) Base-year population
\(X_{n}=\) Year-end population
\(\mathrm{n}=\) Number of years between \(\mathrm{X}_{0}\) and \(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\)
```


## Family

A group of people who live together and eat from the same kitchen. This includes those who live together but have a special job that prevents them from eating with the other members.

## Fertility

Fertility is defined as the childbearing performance of a woman or group of women measured in terms of the actual number of children born.

## Gender

Refers to roles, attitudes and values assigned by culture and society to women and men.

## General Fertility Rate (GFR)

The general fertility rate (also called the fertility rate) is the ratio of the number of live births in a given year per 1000 women of reproductive age (i.e. 15-49 years) in the same time period. The total fertility rate is much more accurate at measuring fertility than the gross fertility rate.

## General literacy rate

Percentage of literate population relative to total population, excluding children aged 0-6.

## Gross Reproduction Rate (GRR)

Gross Reproduction Rate (GRR) is the average number of daughters that would be born to a woman (or group of women) during her lifetime if she passed through her childbearing years conforming to the age-specific fertility rate of a given year. This rate is like TFR except that it counts only daughters and literally measures "reproduction" i.e. a woman reproducing herself by having a daughter.

## Head of household

For census purposes the head of household is a person who is recognized as such by the household members. He or she is generally the person who bears the chief responsibility for the management of the household and takes decisions on behalf of the household. The head of household need not
necessarily be the oldest member. The head of household can be male or female. The name of the person who is recognized by the household as its head was recorded in the census. In the case of an absentee household head, the person who was responsible for managing the affairs of the household was regarded as the household head for the census purposes.

## Infant Mortality Rate (IMR)

Infant mortality is number of deaths of those aged under one year old, per 1,000 live births in a given year.

## Immigration

Immigration rate is the ratio of the number of immigrants who reach one destination per 100 people in a given year.

Labor force participation rate (LFPR)
Labor Force Participation Rate (LFPR) is defined as the number of persons in the labour force (employed and unemployed) at a given age and sex and/or place of residence, divided by the corresponding total population with the same characteristics, multiplied by 100.

## Literacy

Literacy is the ability to read and write with understanding in any language. A person is defined as literate when he/she can both read and write a simple message in a language or dialect. A person who cannot read and write a simple message in any language is considered illiterate. A person is considered illiterate if they have the ability to read and write only their own name or a few numbers. It includes those who can read but can't write or can write but can't read.

A person who had learned to read and write but, at the time of the census, were unable to read and write due to a physical problem or illness, were considered literate. One example of this is an older person who can read and write but can no longer perform these activities due to poor eyesight. People with disabilities who can read and write through methods such as the Braille system, were also considered literate. By definition, all children under the age of six were considered illiterate.

## Live birth

This refers to the complete expulsion (delivery) or extraction from its mother of a product of conception (baby), irrespective of the duration of pregnancy. The baby after such separation breathes or shows other evidence of life, such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles, whether or not the umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta is attached. Each product of such birth is considered as live birth.

## Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMRatio)

This is the number of maternal deaths (i.e. women who died during pregnancy, childbirth or within 42 days after birth) in a particular time period, per 100,000 live births in the same time period.

## Median age

Before calculating the median, we need to divide the population into two groups of equal size ( $50 \%$ vs, $50 \%$ ), the younger group and the older group of medians,

$$
\text { Median }=L+\frac{(N / 2-C)}{F_{m}} \times I
$$

$\mathrm{N}=$ total population
L= Lower limit of median class
Fm= Frequency of median class
$\mathrm{C}=$ Frequency of pre-median classes
I = Class space

## Migration

Migration is the process of changing from one geographical location to another. When a movement is within the same country, it is considered domestic (or internal) migration. Movements involving migration between countries are considered international migration.

## Myer's Index

This index is a measure of heaping on individual ages or terminal digits. The tendency to record or report certain ages in lieu of others is referred to as age heaping, age preference or digit preference. The theoretical range of Myer's index (on a 0 to 180 scale) extends from the minimum of " 0 ", when there is neither preference nor avoidance of any particular digit at all, to a maximum of 180 when all ages are reported in a single terminal digit.

Value of Myer's Index

- Highly accurate data smaller than 10.0
- Accurate data from 10.0-19.9
- Low quality data from 20.0-39.9
- Data not available higher than 40

Occupation or industrial, economic sector
Industry (or branch of economic activity) refers to the activity of the establishment or enterprise in which the individual works. Industries are grouped according to following sectors:

Primary Sector: Section A (Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing) of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC)

Secondary Sector: Section B (Mining and Quarrying), C (Manufacturing), D (Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air-Con Supply), E (Water supply, Sewerage, Waste Management and Remediation Activities), F (Construction) of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC)

Tertiary Sector: Sector G (Wholesale and Retail Trade, Repair of Motor Vehicles and Motorcycles), H (Transportation and Storage), I (Accommodation and Food Service Activities), J (Information and Communication), K (Financial and Insurance Activities), L (Real Estate), M (Professional, Scientific and Technical Activities), N (Administrative and Support Service Activities), O (Public Administration and Defense, Social Security), P (Education), Q (Human Health and Social Work Activities), R (Art, Entertainment and Recreation), S (Other Service Activities), T (Use Activities of Household as Employers), U (Activities of Extraterritorial Organizations and Bodies) of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC).

## Population density

It has been calculated as the total population divided by the total land area of a specific area. Population density is an indicator of the concentration of the population in certain areas. It is usually defined as the number of persons per square kilometer ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ).

## Population pyramid

Population pyramids display graphically the population by group age and sex. The horizontal bar shows the number or ratio of men and women for each age group. The sum of all age groups and genders from the population pyramid is $100 \%$.

## Rate of economically active population of the working age group

Percentage of economically active population relative to the total population aged 15-59 years.

## Rate of economically active population by age group

Percentage of population who are economically active in any age group relative to the total population in a specific age group.

## Sex ratio

The number of men divided by the number of women multiplied by 100 It expresses the number of males for every 100 females.

## Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM)

The mean age at first marriage is used for people whose classification by age and marital status provides unique data on marital status. It compares the specific age ratio of those who never married to those who married and considers the average age at which the change is made. For details of the method developed by John Hajnal.

$$
S M A M=\frac{d+\sum 5_{5} S_{x}-50 * S_{50}}{1-S_{50}}
$$

- d $=$ Lowest age married $=15$
- $\sum 55 \mathrm{Sx}=$ Total proportion of population never married 5 years old
- S50 = Proportion of population never married age 50


## Total Fertility Rate (TFR)

The total fertility rate is the number of children which a woman of hypothetical cohort would bear during her life time; if she were to bear children throughout her life at the rates specified by the schedule of age specific fertility rates for a particular year; and if she were to survive until the end of her reproductive life. Therefore, the total fertility rate is the number of births a woman would have if she experienced a given set of age specific birth rates throughout her reproductive life. It is the sum of age-specific fertility rates.

## UN Age-Sex Accuracy Index

This index is the sum of (i) the mean deviation of the age ratio for males from 100 (ii) the mean deviation of the age ratios for females from 100 and (iii) three times the mean of the age-to-age differences in reported sex ratios. In this procedure the age ratio is defined as the ratio of the population in a given age group to one-half the sum of population in the preceding and following groups.

## Unemployed

Persons who were without employment, but were seeking employment or available for employment for 6 months (183 days) or more during the one-year period prior to the census.

## Unemployment rate

The unemployment rate is the number of unemployed people (previously employed plus never employed), expressed as a percentage of the labor force (economically active population), per 100 workers.

## Urban

The Reclassification of Urban Areas in Cambodia 2020 was undertaken by the NIS during June-July 2020 using the final dataset from General Population Census of Cambodia 2019. The urban reclassification was a comprehensive process carried out by NIS with the guidance of experts. The study provided recommendations about the classification of urban places based on a consistent set of criteria relating to population size, population density and workers in agriculture. After careful consideration of all relevant aspects - including a field study and consultation with all agencies concerned - the study decided to apply the following criteria to every commune so as to treat it as urban:

## 1. Economic and Demographic Aspects

(a) Population density exceeding 200 per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$
(b) Percentage of employment in none agriculture more than 50 percent
(c) Total population of the commune should exceed 2,000.

## 2. Statutory administrative aspects

The towns identified on the basic of statutory administration or recognized by degrees and laws. The first category of urban units is known as statutory towns. These towns recognised by the relevant government by law and have local bodies like municipalities/krongs, irrespective of their demographic characteristics.

## 3. Field visit and consultation

After careful consideration of all aspects highlighted by the field study and consultation process, the study recommended applying these criteria to every commune so as to treat then as urban. The provincial departments of planning and senior experts from the Ministry of Land Management Urban Planning and Construction, Ministry of Interior, UNICEF, UNFPA and UNHABAT were all involved in this process.

## Whipple's Index

Age data is generally not $100 \%$ accurate and, due to various reasons, it often needs to be evaluated before use. According to some results of the survey after the census, inconsistencies among ethnic minority people are low. Whipple's index was calculated to check the quality of age data.

Whipple's index is a measure of preference for ages ending in 0 and 5 . Its range is from 100 , indicating no preference for 0 and 5 up to 500 indicating that only 0 and 5 were reported.

Value of Whipple's Index

- Highly accurate data if less than

105

- Correct/Accurate data
- Approximate data

105-109.9

- Low quality data
- Useless data if greater than

110-124.9
125-174.9
175

## APPENDIX 1

Table D.1. The total number of children and youth by city, district, Khan, in 2019

| Name of District | Total | Children (0-14) | Youth (15-24) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 7.235 .670 | 4.571 .140 | 2.664 .530 |
| Mongkul Borey | 87.296 | 53.021 | 34.275 |
| Phnom Srok | 29.404 | 19.579 | 9.825 |
| Preah Net Preah | 60.310 | 38.815 | 21.495 |
| Ochrov | 30.068 | 19.214 | 10.854 |
| Serey Sophorn, | 46.416 | 28.111 | 18.305 |
| Thmor Puok | 35.776 | 22.998 | 12.778 |
| Svay Chek, | 35.640 | 22.935 | 12.705 |
| Malai | 28.437 | 19.254 | 9.183 |
| Krong Poipet, | 48.717 | 29.303 | 19.414 |
| Banon | 42.219 | 27.846 | 14.373 |
| Thmor Koul | 50.186 | 33.053 | 17.133 |
| Knrong Battambang | 53.869 | 32.611 | 21.258 |
| Bavel | 46.028 | 31.319 | 14.709 |
| Ek Phnom | 34.501 | 21.921 | 12.580 |
| Mong Russey | 52.827 | 36.006 | 16.821 |
| Rattanak Mondul | 19.951 | 12.991 | 6.960 |
| Sangke | 53.423 | 34.112 | 19.311 |
| Samlot | 21.699 | 14.137 | 7.562 |
| Sampov Loun | 18.921 | 12.477 | 6.444 |
| Phnom Proek | 22.871 | 15.263 | 7.608 |
| Kamrieng | 26.277 | 17.068 | 9.209 |
| Koas Kralor | 15.837 | 10.522 | 5.315 |
| Rokhak-Kiri | 22.860 | 15.711 | 7.149 |
| Batheay | 49.895 | 30.907 | 18.988 |
| Chamkar Leu | 49.014 | 32.844 | 16.170 |
| Cheung Prey | 43.027 | 27.320 | 15.707 |
| Krong Kampong Cham | 18.386 | 10.708 | 7.678 |
| Kampong Siem | 43.971 | 29.871 | 14.100 |
| Kang Meas | 39.434 | 27.075 | 12.359 |
| Koh Sotin | 20.809 | 15.546 | 5.263 |
| Prey Chhor | 61.179 | 40.895 | 20.284 |
| Srey Santhor | 35.102 | 24.367 | 10.735 |

Table D.1. The total number of children and youth by city, district, Khan, in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Total | Children (0-14) | Youth (15-24) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stoeng Trang | 50.995 | 34.377 | 16.618 |
| Boribo | 26.948 | 18.290 | 8.658 |
| Chulkiri | 16.170 | 11.320 | 4.850 |
| Krong Kampong Chhnang | 19.251 | 11.828 | 7.423 |
| Kampong Leng | 22.909 | 16.009 | 6.900 |
| Kampong Tralach | 48.038 | 30.265 | 17.773 |
| Rolea P'ear | 49.053 | 32.743 | 16.310 |
| Samaki Meanchey | 39.911 | 24.564 | 15.347 |
| Teuk Phos | 30.735 | 19.903 | 10.832 |
| Bor Seth | 66.835 | 41.199 | 25.636 |
| Krong Chbar Morn | 22.775 | 13.637 | 9.138 |
| Kong Pisey | 67.018 | 40.350 | 26.668 |
| Oral | 22.036 | 13.310 | 8.726 |
| Oudong | 69.845 | 41.612 | 28.233 |
| Phnom Sruoch | 54.018 | 31.924 | 22.094 |
| Samrong Tong | 84.874 | 51.369 | 33.505 |
| T'porng | 32.534 | 19.495 | 13.039 |
| Baray | 49.163 | 32.764 | 16.399 |
| Kampong Svay | 45.407 | 30.117 | 15.290 |
| Krong Stoeng Sen | 25.473 | 16.292 | 9.181 |
| Ballangk | 24.350 | 16.488 | 7.862 |
| Sambo | 20.660 | 14.173 | 6.487 |
| Sandan | 31.576 | 20.428 | 11.148 |
| Santuk | 50.178 | 32.743 | 17.435 |
| Stoung | 53.620 | 37.984 | 15.636 |
| Taing Kork | 30.790 | 20.782 | 10.008 |
| Angkor Chey | 32.167 | 20.968 | 11.199 |
| Bnteay Meas | 34.972 | 23.524 | 11.448 |
| Chhouk | 29.490 | 31.918 | 17.572 |
| Chum-Kiri | 26.342 | 14.766 | 9.576 |
| Dong Tong | 39.382 | 24.102 | 10.301 |
| Kampong Trolach | 31.626 | 15.280 |  |
| Toek-Chhou |  | 20.182 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table D.1. The total number of children and youth by city, district, Khan, in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Total | Children (0-14) | Youth (15-24) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Krong Kampot | 14.103 | 8.224 | 5.879 |
| Kandal Stoeng | 45.124 | 27.935 | 17.189 |
| Kien-Svay | 51.791 | 31.749 | 20.042 |
| Ksach Kandal | 63.775 | 39.403 | 24.372 |
| Koh Thom | 56.294 | 36.482 | 19.812 |
| Leuk Dek | 24.437 | 16.067 | 8.370 |
| Lovea-Em | 33.264 | 21.904 | 11.360 |
| Mouk-Kampoul | 33.905 | 20.297 | 13.608 |
| Angsnuol | 53.568 | 31.243 | 22.325 |
| Ponhea Leu | 49.673 | 30.470 | 19.203 |
| S'ang | 91.364 | 56.276 | 35.088 |
| Krong Takhmao | 31.928 | 18.671 | 13.257 |
| Botum Sakor | 9.623 | 6.410 | 3.213 |
| Kiri-Sakor | 3.267 | 2.003 | 1.264 |
| Koh-Kong | 3.568 | 2.362 | 1.206 |
| Krong Khemarak Phumin | 13.582 | 7.280 | 6.302 |
| Mondul Seima | 7.133 | 3.921 | 3.212 |
| Sre Ambel | 19.449 | 12.643 | 6.806 |
| Thmar Baing | 3.530 | 2.423 | 1.107 |
| Chhlong | 26.427 | 18.414 | 8.013 |
| Kratie Krong | 13.832 | 8.729 | 5.103 |
| Prek Prasob | 30.307 | 21.667 | 8.640 |
| Sambo | 37.145 | 24.654 | 12.491 |
| Snuol | 48.111 | 31.252 | 16.859 |
| Chetr-Borey | 32.499 | 21.345 | 11.154 |
| Keo Seima | 15.844 | 10.126 | 5.718 |
| Koh Nhek | 13.024 | 8.301 | 4.723 |
| 0-Raing | 3.897 | 2.459 | 1.438 |
| Pich-Chreada | 10.886 | 7.075 | 3.811 |
| Krong Sen-monorom | 7.169 | 4.329 | 2.840 |
| Chamkar Morn | 27.002 | 12.699 | 14.303 |
| Daun Penh | 25.684 | 30.002 | 29.947 |
| 7 Makara |  | 12.420 | 13.264 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table D.1. The total number of children and youth by city, district, Khan, in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Total | Children (0-14) | Youth (15-24) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuol Kork | 58.889 | 28.236 | 30.653 |
| Dangkor | 71.247 | 43.003 | 28.244 |
| Meanchey | 104.352 | 54.295 | 50.057 |
| Russey Keo | 119.813 | 66.815 | 52.998 |
| Sensok | 82.241 | 42.598 | 39.643 |
| Por-Senchey | 97.393 | 48.035 | 49.358 |
| Chroy Changvar | 72.174 | 43.083 | 29.091 |
| Prek P'nov | 88.712 | 54.343 | 34.369 |
| Chbar Ampov | 69.274 | 41.574 | 27.700 |
| Boeung Keng Kang | 25.911 | 12.273 | 13.638 |
| Kambol | 37.638 | 19.421 | 18.217 |
| Senchey | 13.785 | 8.482 | 5.303 |
| Chheb | 14.851 | 9.528 | 5.323 |
| Choam K'san | 29.735 | 19.460 | 10.275 |
| Koulen | 18.500 | 12.174 | 6.326 |
| Rovieng | 24.423 | 15.526 | 8.897 |
| Sangkum Thmey | 12.140 | 7.681 | 4.459 |
| Tbeng Meanchey | 7.244 | 4.659 | 2.585 |
| Krong Preah Vihear | 12.987 | 7.852 | 5.135 |
| Ba Phnom | 37.473 | 27.310 | 10.163 |
| Kamchay-Mea | 39.599 | 27.141 | 12.458 |
| Kampong Trobek | 54.385 | 38.233 | 16.152 |
| Kanh-Chreach | 28.785 | 20.363 | 8.422 |
| Mesang | 46.171 | 34.617 | 11.554 |
| Peamchor | 29.184 | 20.035 | 9.149 |
| Peamro | 27.628 | 18.161 | 9.467 |
| Pearaing | 52.390 | 36.143 | 16.247 |
| Preah Sdech | 58.613 | 41.439 | 17.174 |
| Krong Prey Veng | 14.320 | 9.091 | 5.229 |
| Po-Rieng | 19.735 | 13.478 | 6.257 |
| Sithor Kandal | 29.422 | 21.643 | 7.779 |
| Svay Antor | 49.506 | 34.530 | 14.976 |
| Bakan | 51.756 | 35.339 | 16.417 |

Table D.1. The total number of children and youth by city, district, Khan, in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Total | Children (0-14) | Youth (15-24) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kandieng | 25.967 | 18.103 | 7.864 |
| Krokor | 44.321 | 30.276 | 14.045 |
| Phnom Kravanh | 25.765 | 17.009 | 8.756 |
| Krong Pursat | 27.626 | 18.329 | 9.297 |
| Veal Veng | 14.823 | 9.640 | 5.183 |
| Talo | 15.951 | 10.484 | 5.467 |
| Andoung Meas | 10.176 | 6.682 | 3.494 |
| Banlung | 16.109 | 9.606 | 6.503 |
| BorKeo | 15.845 | 10.090 | 5.755 |
| KounMom | 17.016 | 10.829 | 6.187 |
| Lumphat | 15.768 | 10.217 | 5.551 |
| 0-Chum | 14.137 | 8.839 | 5.298 |
| 0-Yadav | 13.391 | 8.539 | 4.852 |
| Ta Veng | 4.210 | 2.688 | 1.522 |
| Voeunsai | 13.239 | 8.515 | 4.724 |
| Angkor Chum | 28.654 | 18.554 | 10.100 |
| Angkor Thom | 16.032 | 10.195 | 5.837 |
| Banteay Srey | 23.399 | 14.854 | 8.545 |
| Chi-Kreng | 66.609 | 46.486 | 20.123 |
| kralanh | 25.948 | 17.814 | 8.134 |
| Puok | 64.635 | 41.826 | 22.809 |
| Prasat Bakong | 37.227 | 23.910 | 13.317 |
| Rong Siem Reap | 124.670 | 74.785 | 49.885 |
| Sot Nikum | 56.126 | 36.734 | 19.392 |
| Srey Snom | 17.537 | 11.239 | 6.298 |
| Svay Leu | 24.264 | 16.028 | 8.236 |
| Varin | 25.290 | 16.054 | 9.236 |
| Sihanoukville | 55.050 | 27.073 | 27.977 |
| Prey Nob | 52.156 | 30.367 | 21.789 |
| Stoeng Hav | 12.703 | 7.892 | 4.811 |
| Kampong Seila | 8.057 | 5.082 | 2.975 |
| Sesan | 14.079 | 8.994 | 5.085 |
| Siem-Bok |  | 8.340 | 4.289 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table D.1. A total number of children and youth by city, district, Khan, in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Total | Children (0-14) | Youth (15-24) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Siem Pang | 15.507 | 9.994 | 5.513 |
| Krong Stoeng Treng | 19.799 | 11.952 | 7.847 |
| Thala-Borivath | 20.055 | 12.895 | 7.160 |
| Borey 0'Savy Senchey | 5.876 | 3.817 | 2.059 |
| Chantrea | 14.319 | 8.743 | 5.576 |
| Kampong Ro | 29.905 | 18.193 | 11.712 |
| Romduol | 21.197 | 14.361 | 6.836 |
| Romeas Hek | 49.110 | 35.581 | 13.529 |
| Svay Chrum | 56.218 | 39.188 | 17.030 |
| Krong Svay Rieng | 17.532 | 10.903 | 6.629 |
| Svay Teap | 27.048 | 16.833 | 10.215 |
| Krong Bavet | 20.410 | 12.355 | 8.055 |
| Angkor Borey | 18.868 | 12.125 | 6.743 |
| Bati | 72.828 | 42.964 | 29.864 |
| Borey Chulsa | 11.478 | 7.505 | 3.973 |
| Kirivong | 38.709 | 25.558 | 13.151 |
| Koh Andet | 19.770 | 13.238 | 6.532 |
| Prey Kabbas | 43.962 | 27.032 | 16.930 |
| Samrong | 57.471 | 34.263 | 23.208 |
| Krong Daun Keo | 19.856 | 11.795 | 8.061 |
| Tram Kak | 79.411 | 50.088 | 29.323 |
| Troam (Traing?) | 45.398 | 28.897 | 16.501 |
| Anlong Veng | 30.505 | 19.976 | 10.529 |
| Banteay Ampil | 26.797 | 17.554 | 9.243 |
| Chong Kal | 14.223 | 9.053 | 5.170 |
| Krong Samrong | 37.518 | 24.263 | 13.255 |
| Trapaing Prasath | 33.542 | 22.272 | 11.270 |
| Damnak Chonf-Eur | 10.781 | 6.284 | 3.506 |
| Krong Kep | 19.183 | 6.320 | 4.461 |
| Krong Pailin | 17.896 | 12.464 | 6.719 |
| Sala-Krav | 16.134 | 11.377 | 6.519 |
| Krong Suong | 10.453 | 5.681 |  |
| Tbaung Khum |  | 58.332 | 27.509 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table D.1. Total number of children and youth by city, district, Khan, in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Total | Children (0-14) | Youth (15-24) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0-Raing-Oeuv | 37.182 | 26.521 | 10.661 |
| Kroch Chhmar | 40.766 | 27.768 | 12.998 |
| Dambe | 45.120 | 29.829 | 15.291 |
| Ponhea Krek | 69.648 | 45.170 | 24.478 |
| Memot | 76.415 | 48.018 | 28.397 |

Table D.2. Education level of the population aged 7-24 years old by city, district, Khan, Cambodia, 2019

| Name of District | Literacy |  |  | Education Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Do not know | Literate | None | Did not finish secondary education | Finished primary school | Graduated from lower secondary school | Lower Secondary Diploma/ Diploma | After high school |
| Total | 100 | 4,0 | 96,0 | 4,6 |  | 29,4 | 18,3 | 1,5 | 0,5 |
| Mongkul Borey | 100 | 8,5 | 91,5 | 3,9 | 48,4 | 30,0 | 16,1 | 1,5 | 0,2 |
| Phnom Srok | 100 | 6,0 | 94,0 | 4,1 | 50,5 | 30,4 | 13,8 | 1,0 | 0,2 |
| Preah Net Preah | 100 | 9,2 | 90,8 | 4,9 | 53,1 | 28,8 | 12,4 | 0,6 | 0,2 |
| Ochrov | 100 | 4,0 | 96,0 | 3,5 | 42,7 | 26,4 | 22,7 | 3,1 | 1,5 |
| Serey Sophorn, | 100 | 10,9 | 89,1 | 4,4 | 52,6 | 27,7 | 13,8 | 1,2 | 0,2 |
| Thmor Puok | 100 | 6,6 | 93,4 | 4,8 | 48,9 | 29,4 | 15,8 | 1,0 | 0,2 |
| Svay Chek, | 100 | 13,7 | 86,3 | 6,3 | 55,5 | 26,3 | 11,0 | 0,7 | 0,2 |
| Malai | 100 | 4,2 | 95,8 | 4,2 | 45,9 | 29,7 | 19,4 | 0,6 | 0,2 |
| Krong Poipet, | 100 | 9,6 | 90,4 | 4,3 | 48,3 | 29,6 | 16,2 | 1,2 | 0,4 |
| Banon | 100 | 9,7 | 90,3 | 4,2 | 47,4 | 28,9 | 17,3 | 1,8 | 0,4 |
| Thmor Koul | 100 | 4,1 | 95,9 | 3,6 | 38,6 | 25,1 | 25,9 | 5,4 | 1,4 |
| Knrong | 100 | 9,2 | 90,8 | 3,5 | 49,2 | 31,5 | 14,6 | 0,9 | 0,2 |
| Bavel | 100 | 14,5 | 85,5 | 5,1 | 51,2 | 25,0 | 15,9 | 2,2 | 0,5 |
| Ek Phnom | 100 | 11,7 | 88,3 | 4,2 | 51,8 | 27,4 | 14,8 | 1,4 | 0,3 |
| Mong Russey | 100 | 10,3 | 89,7 | 4,8 | 51,4 | 29,1 | 14,3 | 0,3 | 0,1 |
| Rattanak Mondul | 100 | 7,3 | 92,7 | 3,0 | 44,7 | 28,2 | 21,0 | 2,5 | 0,5 |
| Sangke | 100 | 13,1 | 86,9 | 5,1 | 50,1 | 29,6 | 13,8 | 1,1 | 0,3 |
| Samlot | 100 | 16,6 | 83,4 | 4,3 | 49,7 | 28,3 | 16,8 | 0,6 | 0,3 |
| Sampov Loun | 100 | 7,0 | 93,0 | 5,7 | 54,9 | 25,9 | 13,2 | 0,2 | 0,1 |
| Phnom Proek | 100 | 10,3 | 89,7 | 5,2 | 53,0 | 28,0 | 13,2 | 0,6 | 0,1 |
| Kamrieng | 100 | 10,6 | 89,4 | 3,2 | 52,1 | 28,7 | 15,0 | 0,8 | 0,3 |
| Koas Kralor | 100 | 14,3 | 85,7 | 4,8 | 55,0 | 28,5 | 11,1 | 0,3 | 0,1 |
| Rokhak-Kiri | 100 | 5,2 | 94,8 | 3,2 | 44,9 | 29,7 | 19,9 | 2,0 | 0,3 |
| Batheay | 100 | 5,9 | 94,1 | 3,9 | 51,9 | 27,6 | 15,5 | 0,7 | 0,2 |
| Chamkar Leu | 100 | 6,3 | 93,7 | 3,1 | 45,5 | 30,5 | 19,7 | 1,0 | 0,3 |
| Cheung Prey | 100 | 2,3 | 97,7 | 2,0 | 37,9 | 22,6 | 26,0 | 9,1 | 2,3 |
| Krong Kampong | 100 | 3,6 | 96,4 | 5,0 | 48,1 | 26,0 | 18,2 | 2,2 | 0,4 |
| Kampong Siem | 100 | 3,3 | 96,7 | 3,4 | 48,0 | 27,9 | 19,3 | 1,2 | 0,2 |

Table D.2. Education level of the population aged 7-24 years old by city, district, Khan, Cambodia, 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Literacy |  |  | Education Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Do not know | Literate | None | Did not finish secondary education | Finished primary school | Graduated from lower secondary school | Lower Secondary Diploma/ Diploma | After high school |
| Kang Meas | 100 | 3,7 | 96,3 | 7,0 | 49,9 | 26,1 | 15,8 | 1,0 | 0,1 |
| Koh Sotin | 100 | 4,3 | 95,7 | 2,4 | 47,7 | 28,9 | 19,1 | 1,7 | 0,3 |
| Prey Chhor | 100 | 4,1 | 95,9 | 4,1 | 48,1 | 28,7 | 17,7 | 1,1 | 0,3 |
| Srey Santhor | 100 | 6,2 | 93,8 | 3,6 | 53,8 | 26,3 | 15,7 | 0,5 | 0,1 |
| Stoeng Trang | 100 | 4,0 | 96,0 | 4,7 | 48,2 | 26,1 | 19,6 | 1,1 | 0,2 |
| Boribo | 100 | 5,1 | 94,9 | 4,0 | 51,9 | 30,6 | 12,9 | 0,4 | 0,1 |
| Chulkiri | 100 | 6,1 | 93,9 | 4,6 | 39,0 | 25,0 | 24,8 | 4,8 | 1,8 |
| Krong Kampong | 100 | 15,5 | 84,5 | 5,6 | 56,1 | 25,6 | 11,9 | 0,6 | 0,2 |
| Kampong Leng | 100 | 5,5 | 94,5 | 2,8 | 45,9 | 29,2 | 19,3 | 2,4 | 0,3 |
| Kampong Tralach | 100 | 1,2 | 98,8 | 6,0 | 44,4 | 27,5 | 19,9 | 1,6 | 0,6 |
| Rolea P'ear | 100 | 3,6 | 96,4 | 3,9 | 44,3 | 31,1 | 18,9 | 1,5 | 0,3 |
| Samaki Meanchey | 100 | 5,6 | 94,4 | 3,5 | 45,1 | 29,5 | 20,5 | 1,1 | 0,2 |
| Teuk Phos | 100 | 8,4 | 91,6 | 3,2 | 46,4 | 32,1 | 16,3 | 1,7 | 0,2 |
| Bor Seth | 100 | 2,3 | 97,7 | 2,5 | 38,9 | 27,8 | 25,4 | 3,8 | 1,5 |
| Krong Chbar Morn | 100 | 5,3 | 94,7 | 3,3 | 42,6 | 32,4 | 19,7 | 1,7 | 0,4 |
| Kong Pisey | 100 | 8,7 | 91,3 | 3,0 | 53,3 | 31,2 | 11,7 | 0,7 | 0,2 |
| Oral | 100 | 5,1 | 94,9 | 2,3 | 45,2 | 33,0 | 17,8 | 1,5 | 0,3 |
| Oudong | 100 | 7,0 | 93,0 | 3,8 | 46,5 | 29,7 | 16,9 | 2,8 | 0,3 |
| Phnom Sruoch | 100 | 4,8 | 95,2 | 2,9 | 43,7 | 32,5 | 18,9 | 1,5 | 0,4 |
| Samrong Tong | 100 | 7,9 | 92,1 | 2,7 | 46,4 | 33,1 | 16,2 | 1,5 | 0,2 |
| T'porng | 100 | 6,3 | 93,7 | 3,7 | 48,5 | 29,4 | 16,3 | 1,6 | 0,4 |
| Baray | 100 | 7,4 | 92,6 | 4,7 | 50,3 | 27,2 | 16,0 | 1,4 | 0,4 |
| Kampong Svay | 100 | 9,5 | 90,5 | 2,1 | 41,9 | 27,1 | 25,3 | 2,4 | 1,2 |
| Krong Stoeng Sen | 100 | 10,8 | 89,2 | 4,3 | 55,0 | 27,3 | 12,1 | 1,1 | 0,2 |
| Ballangk | 100 | 8,1 | 91,9 | 5,2 | 48,6 | 27,0 | 18,3 | 0,9 | 0,1 |
| Sambo | 100 | 15,1 | 84,9 | 4,0 | 51,4 | 30,5 | 13,1 | 0,7 | 0,2 |
| Sandan | 100 | 10,7 | 89,3 | 5,0 | 51,4 | 27,1 | 14,5 | 1,5 | 0,5 |
| Santuk | 100 | 13,5 | 86,5 | 5,3 | 56,7 | 26,4 | 10,5 | 0,8 | 0,2 |
| Stoung | 100 | 6,6 | 93,4 | 4,0 | 50,0 | 28,4 | 15,7 | 1,6 | 0,3 |

Table D.2. Education level of the population aged 7-24 years old by city, district, Khan, Cambodia, 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Literacy |  |  | Education Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Do not <br> know | Literate | None | Did not finish secondary education | Finished primary school | Graduated from lower secondary school | Lower Secondary Diploma/ Diploma | After high school |
| Taing Kork | 100 | 5,4 | 94,6 | 3,3 | 40,6 | 28,6 | 24,5 | 2,5 | 0,5 |
| Angkor Chey | 100 | 4,9 | 95,1 | 3,4 | 44,8 | 28,7 | 21,2 | 1,6 | 0,3 |
| Bnteay Meas | 100 | 6,9 | 93,1 | 3,4 | 46,6 | 28,7 | 19,3 | 1,7 | 0,3 |
| Chhouk | 100 | 4,5 | 95,5 | 3,6 | 44,0 | 32,0 | 19,2 | 0,9 | 0,3 |
| Chum-Kiri | 100 | 4,6 | 95,4 | 2,8 | 42,0 | 30,4 | 22,5 | 1,9 | 0,4 |
| Dong Tong | 100 | 5,1 | 94,9 | 3,2 | 43,9 | 29,7 | 21,7 | 1,2 | 0,3 |
| Kampong Trolach | 100 | 3,8 | 96,2 | 4,4 | 43,5 | 29,2 | 20,4 | 1,9 | 0,5 |
| Toek-Chhou | 100 | 5,2 | 94,8 | 3,8 | 37,1 | 23,2 | 25,4 | 8,5 | 2,0 |
| Krong Kampot | 100 | 3,2 | 96,8 | 3,3 | 43,5 | 29,1 | 21,8 | 1,8 | 0,4 |
| Kandal Stoeng | 100 | 3,6 | 96,4 | 3,2 | 41,1 | 28,3 | 24,3 | 2,4 | 0,7 |
| Kien-Svay | 100 | 3,1 | 96,9 | 3,6 | 44,4 | 28,4 | 20,8 | 2,3 | 0,5 |
| Ksach Kandal | 100 | 5,2 | 94,8 | 4,3 | 48,7 | 27,1 | 18,0 | 1,7 | 0,3 |
| Koh Thom | 100 | 5,4 | 94,6 | 2,8 | 48,2 | 27,5 | 20,2 | 1,2 | 0,2 |
| Leuk Dek | 100 | 4,0 | 96,0 | 4,0 | 47,2 | 27,4 | 18,7 | 2,1 | 0,6 |
| Lovea-Em | 100 | 6,1 | 93,9 | 3,8 | 44,0 | 26,7 | 22,7 | 2,3 | 0,6 |
| Mouk-Kampoul | 100 | 3,3 | 96,7 | 3,1 | 41,5 | 31,1 | 21,0 | 2,7 | 0,6 |
| Angsnuol | 100 | 4,8 | 95,2 | 2,8 | 44,5 | 29,6 | 20,6 | 2,0 | 0,5 |
| Ponhea Leu | 100 | 4,3 | 95,7 | 2,9 | 46,2 | 29,3 | 18,8 | 2,2 | 0,5 |
| S'ang | 100 | 3,3 | 96,7 | 3,2 | 38,7 | 23,9 | 27,0 | 4,7 | 2,4 |
| Krong Takhma0 | 100 | 6,0 | 94,0 | 4,0 | 51,4 | 29,4 | 14,0 | 1,1 | 0,2 |
| Botum Sakor | 100 | 8,7 | 91,3 | 4,9 | 57,0 | 22,8 | 14,1 | 1,0 | 0,2 |
| Kiri-Sakor | 100 | 12,4 | 87,6 | 5,5 | 49,5 | 28,9 | 15,4 | 0,6 | 0,2 |
| Koh-Kong | 100 | 2,6 | 97,4 | 2,8 | 37,0 | 29,4 | 24,9 | 4,4 | 1,6 |
| Krong Khemarak | 100 | 4,4 | 95,6 | 2,6 | 41,1 | 31,0 | 22,6 | 2,2 | 0,6 |
| Mondul Seima | 100 | 8,4 | 91,6 | 4,8 | 49,2 | 28,7 | 15,7 | 1,3 | 0,3 |
| Sre Ambel | 100 | 8,0 | 92,0 | 5,6 | 58,2 | 24,5 | 11,1 | 0,5 | 0,0 |
| Thmar Baing | 100 | 8,1 | 91,9 | 4,4 | 55,0 | 26,4 | 12,7 | 1,4 | 0,3 |
| Chhlong | 100 | 4,7 | 95,3 | 2,8 | 42,1 | 25,8 | 22,3 | 5,4 | 1,7 |
| Kratie Krong | 100 | 6,3 | 93,7 | 3,9 | 53,3 | 26,6 | 14,9 | 1,0 | 0,2 |

Table D.2. Education level of the population aged 7-24 years old by city, district, Khan, Cambodia, 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Literacy |  |  | Education Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Do not know | Literate | None | Did not finish secondary education | Finished primary school | Graduated from lower secondary school | Lower Secondary Diploma/ Diploma | After high school |
| Prek Prasob | 100 | 13,2 | 86,8 | 5,1 | 55,5 | 27,0 | 11,5 | 0,8 | 0,1 |
| Sambo | 100 | 11,3 | 88,7 | 3,5 | 56,4 | 28,4 | 10,8 | 0,8 | 0,1 |
| Snuol | 100 | 11,6 | 88,4 | 4,9 | 52,2 | 27,4 | 13,5 | 1,6 | 0,3 |
| Chetr-Borey | 100 | 22,8 | 77,2 | 6,1 | 56,7 | 25,8 | 10,4 | 1,0 | 0,1 |
| Keo Seima | 100 | 25,2 | 74,8 | 5,0 | 58,0 | 24,3 | 12,1 | 0,6 | 0,1 |
| Koh Nhek | 100 | 12,6 | 87,4 | 3,9 | 53,7 | 26,1 | 16,0 | 0,3 | 0,0 |
| 0-Raing | 100 | 13,7 | 86,3 | 3,2 | 60,1 | 27,6 | 8,5 | 0,5 | 0,1 |
| Pich-Chreada | 100 | 11,6 | 88,4 | 3,3 | 38,6 | 28,9 | 25,8 | 2,5 | 1,0 |
| Krong Sen- | 100 | 1,5 | 98,5 | 2,3 | 28,1 | 20,8 | 29,5 | 15,7 | 3,6 |
| Chamkar Morn | 100 | 2,4 | 97,6 | 2,0 | 30,8 | 21,1 | 29,2 | 12,9 | 4,1 |
| Daun Penh | 100 | 1,6 | 98,4 | 1,9 | 29,6 | 19,8 | 35,4 | 9,8 | 3,6 |
| 7 Makara | 100 | 2,0 | 98,0 | 2,1 | 27,3 | 19,6 | 28,6 | 17,2 | 5,3 |
| Tuol Kork | 100 | 2,9 | 97,1 | 3,2 | 38,9 | 24,7 | 24,7 | 6,1 | 2,3 |
| Dangkor | 100 | 3,0 | 97,0 | 2,2 | 32,0 | 24,7 | 27,0 | 10,6 | 3,5 |
| Meanchey | 100 | 4,2 | 95,8 | 2,9 | 34,7 | 24,4 | 25,5 | 9,6 | 3,0 |
| Russey Keo | 100 | 2,3 | 97,7 | 2,1 | 30,9 | 20,4 | 28,5 | 14,0 | 4,1 |
| Sensok | 100 | 3,1 | 96,9 | 2,4 | 34,1 | 29,7 | 25,8 | 6,5 | 1,6 |
| Por-Senchey | 100 | 4,2 | 95,8 | 3,1 | 38,2 | 24,3 | 24,6 | 7,6 | 2,2 |
| Chroy Changvar | 100 | 3,8 | 96,2 | 2,5 | 41,4 | 28,8 | 23,3 | 3,3 | 0,8 |
| Prek P'nov | 100 | 3,6 | 96,4 | 3,8 | 38,6 | 22,7 | 25,8 | 6,6 | 2,5 |
| Chbar Ampov | 100 | 2,4 | 97,6 | 2,6 | 28,9 | 18,9 | 27,3 | 17,9 | 4,4 |
| Boeung Keng Kang | 100 | 2,5 | 97,5 | 2,1 | 36,1 | 31,1 | 26,5 | 3,2 | 1,0 |
| Kambol | 100 | 16,0 | 84,0 | 5,3 | 50,1 | 28,1 | 15,2 | 1,2 | 0,1 |
| Senchey | 100 | 19,0 | 81,0 | 6,8 | 54,6 | 25,6 | 12,1 | 0,8 | 0,1 |
| Chheb | 100 | 15,1 | 84,9 | 5,4 | 55,2 | 26,6 | 11,7 | 1,0 | 0,1 |
| Choam K'san | 100 | 16,2 | 83,8 | 5,7 | 57,5 | 25,2 | 10,8 | 0,7 | 0,0 |
| Koulen | 100 | 11,3 | 88,7 | 4,9 | 47,4 | 28,5 | 17,9 | 1,2 | 0,2 |
| Rovieng | 100 | 13,9 | 86,1 | 6,1 | 47,4 | 30,1 | 15,3 | 1,0 | 0,1 |
| Sangkum Thmey | 100 | 14,4 | 85,6 | 6,1 | 55,7 | 25,7 | 11,2 | 1,2 | 0,0 |

Table D.2. Education level of the population aged 7-24 years old by city, district, Khan, Cambodia, 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Literacy |  |  | Education Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Do not <br> know | Literate | None | Did not finish secondary education | Finished primary school | Graduated <br> from lower <br> secondary school | Lower Secondary Diploma/ Diploma | After high school |
| Tbeng Meanchey | 100 | 6,0 | 94,0 | 4,5 | 41,5 | 25,1 | 23,5 | 3,8 | 1,6 |
| Krong Preah Vihear | 100 | 3,1 | 96,9 | 4,2 | 51,0 | 26,7 | 17,0 | 0,9 | 0,2 |
| Ba Phnom | 100 | 4,4 | 95,6 | 2,9 | 50,8 | 27,4 | 17,3 | 1,3 | 0,3 |
| Kamchay-Mea | 100 | 3,7 | 96,3 | 3,3 | 51,5 | 28,2 | 16,1 | 0,8 | 0,1 |
| Kampong Trobek | 100 | 2,8 | 97,2 | 2,3 | 50,1 | 29,5 | 17,0 | 0,9 | 0,2 |
| Kanh-Chreach | 100 | 3,6 | 96,4 | 3,0 | 51,2 | 27,3 | 17,8 | 0,5 | 0,2 |
| Mesang | 100 | 5,4 | 94,6 | 3,6 | 52,1 | 29,0 | 14,7 | 0,5 | 0,1 |
| Peamchor | 100 | 3,8 | 96,2 | 3,2 | 47,3 | 27,0 | 20,3 | 1,5 | 0,6 |
| Peamro | 100 | 2,5 | 97,5 | 3,3 | 50,6 | 29,7 | 15,4 | 0,9 | 0,2 |
| Pearaing | 100 | 3,8 | 96,2 | 4,1 | 53,7 | 27,0 | 14,5 | 0,6 | 0,1 |
| Preah Sdech | 100 | 5,9 | 94,1 | 2,4 | 42,1 | 24,2 | 22,6 | 6,5 | 2,3 |
| Krong Prey Veng | 100 | 2,8 | 97,2 | 3,4 | 49,3 | 29,6 | 16,3 | 1,1 | 0,2 |
| Po-Rieng | 100 | 3,7 | 96,3 | 4,6 | 51,1 | 27,8 | 15,0 | 1,2 | 0,3 |
| Sithor Kandal | 100 | 2,6 | 97,4 | 2,3 | 51,6 | 30,3 | 14,7 | 0,9 | 0,2 |
| Svay Antor | 100 | 5,4 | 94,6 | 3,2 | 51,3 | 27,9 | 16,9 | 0,5 | 0,2 |
| Bakan | 100 | 9,5 | 90,5 | 3,8 | 55,9 | 22,4 | 16,8 | 0,8 | 0,3 |
| Kandieng | 100 | 8,4 | 91,6 | 4,0 | 54,4 | 25,9 | 15,0 | 0,6 | 0,1 |
| Krokor | 100 | 3,2 | 96,8 | 3,1 | 54,4 | 24,8 | 17,0 | 0,5 | 0,2 |
| Phnom Kravanh | 100 | 3,1 | 96,9 | 4,4 | 47,7 | 23,8 | 21,6 | 1,7 | 0,8 |
| Krong Pursat | 100 | 7,7 | 92,3 | 4,8 | 55,5 | 26,4 | 13,0 | 0,2 | 0,1 |
| Veal Veng | 100 | 1,8 | 98,2 | 1,1 | 48,9 | 30,6 | 18,8 | 0,4 | 0,1 |
| Talo | 100 | 26,3 | 73,7 | 5,9 | 65,6 | 20,0 | 8,2 | 0,2 | 0,1 |
| Andoung Meas | 100 | 9,3 | 90,7 | 3,7 | 41,8 | 27,4 | 22,3 | 3,5 | 1,3 |
| Banlung | 100 | 17,4 | 82,6 | 4,9 | 59,5 | 24,1 | 11,2 | 0,3 | 0,1 |
| BorKeo | 100 | 11,8 | 88,2 | 3,5 | 57,2 | 26,8 | 11,9 | 0,5 | 0,1 |
| KounMom | 100 | 23,6 | 76,4 | 4,8 | 63,0 | 22,6 | 9,1 | 0,4 | 0,0 |
| Lumphat | 100 | 23,7 | 76,3 | 5,6 | 59,8 | 23,0 | 10,2 | 1,2 | 0,2 |
| 0-Chum | 100 | 20,3 | 79,7 | 7,3 | 61,6 | 18,7 | 11,3 | 1,0 | 0,1 |
| 0-Yadav | 100 | 25,6 | 74,4 | 4,7 | 65,5 | 21,8 | 7,4 | 0,5 | 0,0 |

Table D.2. Education level of the population aged $7-24$ years old by city, district, Khan, Cambodia, 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Literacy |  |  | Education Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Do not know | Literate | None | Did not finish secondary education | Finished primary school | Graduated from lower secondary school | Lower Secondary Diploma/ Diploma | After high school |
| Ta Veng | 100 | 7,4 | 92,6 | 7,5 | 64,5 | 19,3 | 8,4 | 0,2 | 0,0 |
| Voeunsai | 100 | 14,3 | 85,7 | 5,2 | 52,9 | 29,4 | 11,6 | 0,8 | 0,1 |
| Angkor Chum | 100 | 10,9 | 89,1 | 5,2 | 53,4 | 27,5 | 13,2 | 0,7 | 0,1 |
| Angkor Thom | 100 | 9,8 | 90,2 | 5,3 | 49,5 | 27,6 | 16,8 | 0,7 | 0,1 |
| Banteay Srey | 100 | 10,8 | 89,2 | 6,2 | 57,0 | 25,2 | 11,0 | 0,6 | 0,1 |
| Chi-Kreng | 100 | 14,7 | 85,3 | 6,0 | 54,0 | 27,6 | 11,0 | 1,3 | 0,2 |
| kralanh | 100 | 7,1 | 92,9 | 4,1 | 48,1 | 28,5 | 17,0 | 1,9 | 0,4 |
| Puok | 100 | 8,5 | 91,5 | 4,8 | 47,3 | 27,6 | 17,3 | 2,5 | 0,4 |
| Prasat Bakong | 100 | 5,0 | 95,0 | 3,3 | 39,1 | 25,4 | 25,8 | 5,1 | 1,2 |
| Rong Siem Reap | 100 | 8,0 | 92,0 | 5,2 | 50,2 | 28,2 | 15,0 | 1,2 | 0,1 |
| Sot Nikum | 100 | 6,9 | 93,1 | 5,3 | 50,1 | 28,9 | 14,7 | 1,0 | 0,1 |
| Srey Snom | 100 | 17,9 | 82,1 | 7,0 | 61,2 | 22,1 | 9,2 | 0,5 | 0,0 |
| Svay Leu | 100 | 3,9 | 96,1 | 6,1 | 55,3 | 26,7 | 11,6 | 0,3 | 0,0 |
| Varin | 100 | 8,8 | 91,2 | 2,1 | 40,0 | 26,8 | 24,0 | 5,6 | 1,5 |
| Sihanoukville | 100 | 7,3 | 92,7 | 2,7 | 46,4 | 30,1 | 19,0 | 1,5 | 0,4 |
| Prey Nob | 100 | 8,1 | 91,9 | 4,5 | 44,5 | 28,2 | 20,1 | 2,1 | 0,6 |
| Stoeng Hav | 100 | 6,6 | 93,4 | 4,1 | 48,5 | 29,6 | 16,0 | 1,5 | 0,3 |
| Kampong Seila | 100 | 11,3 | 88,7 | 0,7 | 31,5 | 27,8 | 27,9 | 11,7 | 0,4 |
| Sesan | 100 | 23,3 | 76,7 | 4,9 | 60,6 | 23,5 | 10,3 | 0,6 | 0,1 |
| Siem-Bok | 100 | 12,6 | 87,4 | 4,9 | 54,7 | 25,0 | 14,1 | 1,2 | 0,1 |
| Siem Pang | 100 | 29,7 | 70,3 | 7,5 | 61,3 | 18,7 | 10,8 | 1,5 | 0,1 |
| Krong Stoeng Treng | 100 | 8,4 | 91,6 | 3,3 | 41,2 | 25,5 | 21,9 | 6,6 | 1,5 |
| Thala-Borivath | 100 | 16,0 | 84,0 | 5,6 | 58,3 | 24,1 | 10,4 | 1,5 | 0,1 |
| Borey 0'Savy | 100 | 20,3 | 79,7 | 4,7 | 60,3 | 24,2 | 8,8 | 2,0 | 0,0 |
| Chantrea | 100 | 4,7 | 95,3 | 3,0 | 40,4 | 33,8 | 20,5 | 1,9 | 0,4 |
| Kampong Ro | 100 | 3,5 | 96,5 | 2,4 | 40,2 | 31,8 | 23,4 | 2,0 | 0,3 |
| Romduol | 100 | 3,8 | 96,2 | 3,1 | 41,1 | 31,4 | 22,1 | 1,9 | 0,4 |
| Romeas Hek | 100 | 5,4 | 94,6 | 3,0 | 48,1 | 28,8 | 18,7 | 1,2 | 0,2 |
| Svay Chrum | 100 | 4,7 | 95,3 | 4,1 | 47,4 | 28,6 | 17,6 | 2,0 | 0,3 |

Table D.2. Education level of the population aged 7-24 years old by city, district, Khan, Cambodia, 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Literacy |  |  | Education Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Do not know | Literate | None | Did not finish secondary education | Finished primary school | Graduated from lower secondary school | Lower Secondary Diploma/ Diploma | After high school |
| Krong Svay Rieng | 100 | 2,5 | 97,5 | 3,0 | 36,5 | 26,9 | 24,2 | 7,4 | 2,0 |
| Svay Teap | 100 | 4,3 | 95,7 | 3,1 | 41,3 | 32,7 | 20,6 | 1,8 | 0,4 |
| Krong Bavet | 100 | 3,1 | 96,9 | 2,5 | 44,1 | 30,7 | 20,7 | 1,5 | 0,5 |
| Angkor Borey | 100 | 4,5 | 95,5 | 3,9 | 41,5 | 28,8 | 24,2 | 1,4 | 0,2 |
| Bati | 100 | 4,7 | 95,3 | 3,0 | 42,0 | 30,8 | 21,8 | 2,0 | 0,4 |
| Borey Chulsa | 100 | 10,1 | 89,9 | 3,9 | 46,0 | 28,1 | 20,0 | 1,6 | 0,3 |
| Kirivong | 100 | 4,1 | 95,9 | 2,9 | 42,7 | 29,9 | 22,4 | 1,8 | 0,3 |
| Koh Andet | 100 | 4,9 | 95,1 | 4,4 | 42,4 | 29,0 | 21,6 | 2,2 | 0,4 |
| Prey Kabbas | 100 | 4,3 | 95,7 | 2,8 | 40,9 | 28,7 | 24,6 | 2,5 | 0,5 |
| Samrong | 100 | 5,1 | 94,9 | 3,0 | 36, 1 | 30,0 | 27,3 | 3,0 | 0,6 |
| Krong Daun Keo | 100 | 2,9 | 97,1 | 2,2 | 40,1 | 27,1 | 23,7 | 5,2 | 1,7 |
| Tram Kak | 100 | 3,2 | 96,8 | 2,8 | 41,1 | 28,9 | 24,6 | 2,0 | 0,5 |
| Troam (Traing?) | 100 | 4,8 | 95,2 | 3,1 | 39,8 | 28,2 | 24,4 | 4,0 | 0,6 |
| Anlong Veng | 100 | 8,9 | 91,1 | 3,5 | 52,8 | 27,6 | 14,8 | 1,2 | 0,1 |
| Banteay Ampil | 100 | 12,9 | 87,1 | 4,2 | 49,3 | 29,6 | 15,8 | 1,1 | 0,2 |
| Chong Kal | 100 | 10,9 | 89,1 | 4,3 | 46,4 | 32,6 | 14,6 | 2,1 | 0,1 |
| Krong Samrong | 100 | 8,8 | 91,2 | 3,8 | 51,0 | 27,6 | 15,5 | 1,6 | 0,3 |
| Trapaing Prasath | 100 | 8,3 | 91,7 | 4,0 | 55,1 | 27,8 | 12,3 | 0,8 | 0,0 |
| Damnak Chonf-Eur | 100 | 5,4 | 94,6 | 5,4 | 49,8 | 28,2 | 12,8 | 3,0 | 0,6 |
| Krong Kep | 100 | 4,5 | 95,5 | 4,1 | 37,9 | 27,5 | 21,0 | 8,1 | 1,4 |
| Krong Pailin | 100 | 5,5 | 94,5 | 4,2 | 45,6 | 28,2 | 18,9 | 2,1 | 0,9 |
| Sala-Krav | 100 | 6,9 | 93,1 | 3,8 | 51,8 | 29,5 | 13,6 | 1,0 | 0,2 |
| Krong Suong | 100 | 7,1 | 92,9 | 3,7 | 48,2 | 26,0 | 20,0 | 1,4 | 0,7 |
| Tbaung Khum | 100 | 7,0 | 93,0 | 4,6 | 55,6 | 26,2 | 12,5 | 0,9 | 0,2 |
| 0-Raing-Oeuv | 100 | 6,2 | 93,8 | 5,2 | 51,8 | 28,8 | 13,5 | 0,6 | 0,1 |
| Kroch Chhmar | 100 | 10,4 | 89,6 | 3,8 | 53,1 | 28,2 | 13,8 | 0,9 | 0,2 |
| Dambe | 100 | 8,7 | 91,3 | 4,9 | 56,2 | 27,2 | 10,9 | 0,7 | 0,1 |
| Ponhea Krek | 100 | 7,4 | 92,6 | 4,0 | 50,2 | 29,0 | 15,4 | 1,2 | 0,2 |
| Memot | 100 | 8,1 | 91,9 | 4,6 | 54,0 | 28,6 | 12,2 | 0,5 | 0,1 |

Table D.3. Enrollment status for the group of 6-year-olds by municipalities, districts, Khans, in Cambodia in 2019.

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Never | Current | Past | Total | Never | Current | Past |
| Mongkul Borey | 100 | 5,9 | 88,4 | 5,7 | 100 | 5,4 | 26,2 | 68,4 |
| Phnom Srok | 100 | 4,5 | 92,8 | 2,7 | 100 | 9,9 | 28,5 | 61,6 |
| Preah Net Preah | 100 | 5,7 | 88,8 | 5,4 | 100 | 8,4 | 27,0 | 64,7 |
| Ochrov | 100 | 7,6 | 87,7 | 4,6 | 100 | 8,4 | 24,5 | 67,0 |
| Serey Sophorn, | 100 | 4,5 | 92,5 | 3,0 | 100 | 5,6 | 37,0 | 57,4 |
| Thmor Puok | 100 | 9,6 | 83,2 | 7,2 | 100 | 12,1 | 26,6 | 61,3 |
| Svay Chek, | 100 | 5,4 | 91,4 | 3,1 | 100 | 9,0 | 25,9 | 65,1 |
| Malai | 100 | 9,3 | 86,5 | 4,1 | 100 | 12,4 | 27,1 | 60,4 |
| Krong Poipet, | 100 | 7,1 | 88,7 | 4,2 | 100 | 5,8 | 32,7 | 61,4 |
| Banon | 100 | 5,9 | 91,4 | 2,7 | 100 | 6,6 | 35,3 | 58,1 |
| Thmor Koul | 100 | 6,5 | 91,6 | 1,9 | 100 | 5,6 | 35,6 | 58,7 |
| Knrong | 100 | 4,2 | 93,6 | 2,2 | 100 | 3,0 | 45,1 | 51,9 |
| Bavel | 100 | 4,0 | 94,1 | 2,0 | 100 | 4,1 | 32,6 | 63,3 |
| Ek Phnom | 100 | 11,4 | 85,5 | 3,2 | 100 | 10,7 | 30,4 | 58,9 |
| Mong Russey | 100 | 9,1 | 88,7 | 2,2 | 100 | 7,0 | 37,3 | 55,7 |
| Rattanak Mondul | 100 | 9,5 | 87,8 | 2,8 | 100 | 8,4 | 28,4 | 63,2 |
| Sangke | 100 | 3,6 | 94,8 | 1,5 | 100 | 3,4 | 40,4 | 56,2 |
| Samlot | 100 | 3,5 | 93,9 | 2,7 | 100 | 6,0 | 24,1 | 69,9 |
| Sampov Loun | 100 | 11,1 | 86,1 | 2,8 | 100 | 10,5 | 29,7 | 59,8 |
| Phnom Proek | 100 | 5,8 | 91,6 | 2,6 | 100 | 9,2 | 32,2 | 58,6 |
| Kamrieng | 100 | 8,3 | 87,9 | 3,8 | 100 | 7,9 | 23,3 | 68,8 |
| Koas Kralor | 100 | 6,3 | 91,6 | 2,1 | 100 | 6,3 | 37,0 | 56,7 |
| Rokhak-Kiri | 100 | 8,0 | 89,1 | 2,9 | 100 | 8,8 | 34,7 | 56,6 |
| Batheay | 100 | 3,2 | 95,1 | 1,7 | 100 | 3,8 | 38,4 | 57,7 |
| Chamkar Leu | 100 | 4,2 | 93,4 | 2,5 | 100 | 5,5 | 36,4 | 58,1 |
| Cheung Prey | 100 | 7,5 | 88,7 | 3,8 | 100 | 9,3 | 34,0 | 56,7 |
| Krong Kampong | 100 | 2,2 | 96,0 | 1,8 | 100 | 2,2 | 51,6 | 46,3 |
| Kampong Siem | 100 | 4,1 | 93,1 | 2,8 | 100 | 3,2 | 43,0 | 53,8 |
| Kang Meas | 100 | 3,5 | 94,7 | 1,8 | 100 | 4,1 | 46,0 | 50,0 |
| Koh Sotin | 100 | 5,5 | 91,4 | 3,1 | 100 | 4,6 | 54,0 | 41,4 |

Table D.3. Enrollment status for the group of 6-year-olds by municipalities, districts, Khans, in Cambodia in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Never | Current | Past | Total | Never | Current | Past |
| Prey Chhor | 100 | 2,9 | 94,9 | 2,2 | 100 | 3,2 | 37,5 | 59,3 |
| Srey Santhor | 100 | 4,7 | 93,1 | 2,2 | 100 | 4,1 | 43,8 | 52,1 |
| Stoeng Trang | 100 | 3,3 | 94,4 | 2,3 | 100 | 6,3 | 35,5 | 58,2 |
| Boribo | 100 | 4,6 | 93,0 | 2,4 | 100 | 4,9 | 40,8 | 54,4 |
| Chulkiri | 100 | 6,9 | 90,9 | 2,2 | 100 | 6,3 | 41,1 | 52,7 |
| Krong Kampong | 100 | 14,3 | 83,9 | 1,9 | 100 | 12,0 | 42,2 | 45,8 |
| Kampong Leng | 100 | 8,3 | 88,9 | 2,8 | 100 | 8,4 | 37,7 | 53,8 |
| Kampong Tralach | 100 | 8,8 | 89,2 | 2,0 | 100 | 4,4 | 33,2 | 62,4 |
| Rolea P'ear | 100 | 4,9 | 93,1 | 2,0 | 100 | 1,7 | 40,0 | 58,3 |
| Samaki Meanchey | 100 | 5,1 | 92,9 | 1,9 | 100 | 2,8 | 31,4 | 65,8 |
| Teuk Phos | 100 | 4,6 | 93,3 | 2,1 | 100 | 4,6 | 35,2 | 60,2 |
| Bor Seth | 100 | 8,1 | 89,6 | 2,3 | 100 | 6,4 | 30,4 | 63,2 |
| Krong Chbar Morn | 100 | 3,0 | 93,9 | 3,2 | 100 | 1,6 | 36,6 | 61,8 |
| Kong Pisey | 100 | 5,5 | 92,0 | 2,5 | 100 | 4,4 | 27,4 | 68,1 |
| Oral | 100 | 8,6 | 87,7 | 3,8 | 100 | 8,6 | 26,6 | 64,8 |
| Oudong | 100 | 4,0 | 92,9 | 3,0 | 100 | 3,1 | 26,1 | 70,8 |
| Phnom Sruoch | 100 | 7,3 | 89,8 | 2,9 | 100 | 6,3 | 29,1 | 64,6 |
| Samrong Tong | 100 | 5,1 | 90,7 | 4,2 | 100 | 3,3 | 26,5 | 70,3 |
| T'porng | 100 | 6,9 | 89,9 | 3,2 | 100 | 5,0 | 24,6 | 70,4 |
| Baray | 100 | 6,1 | 90,8 | 3,1 | 100 | 8,6 | 38,6 | 52,7 |
| Kampong Svay | 100 | 9,6 | 86,4 | 4,0 | 100 | 10, | 37,6 | 51,6 |
| Krong Stoeng Sen | 100 | 5,4 | 93,3 | 1,3 | 100 | 6,9 | 45,7 | 47,4 |
| Ballangk | 100 | 5,7 | 92,2 | 2,1 | 100 | 14, | 36,1 | 49,3 |
| Sambo | 100 | 9,8 | 87,2 | 3,0 | 100 | 12, | 40,6 | 46,8 |
| Sandan | 100 | 7,4 | 89,2 | 3,4 | 100 | 21, | 25,9 | 52,9 |
| Santuk | 100 | 10,1 | 85,5 | 4,4 | 100 | 10, | 31,0 | 58,2 |
| Stoung | 100 | 9,0 | 87,3 | 3,7 | 100 | 17, | 33,7 | 49,0 |
| Taing Kork | 100 | 6,5 | 91,2 | 2,3 | 100 | 7,1 | 40,5 | 52,3 |
| Angkor Chey | 100 | 4,7 | 93,3 | 2,0 | 100 | 2,9 | 47,0 | 50,1 |
| Bnteay Meas | 100 | 4,8 | 93,2 | 2,0 | 100 | 5,0 | 45,3 | 49,7 |

Table D.3. Enrollment status for the group of 6-year-olds by municipalities, districts, Khans, in Cambodia in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Never | Current | Past | Total | Never | Current | Past |
| Chhouk | 100 | 6,1 | 90,9 | 3,0 | 100 | 5,9 | 36,0 | 58,1 |
| Chum-Kiri | 100 | 5,9 | 92,2 | 1,9 | 100 | 4,0 | 31,9 | 64,1 |
| Dong Tong | 100 | 4,8 | 92,2 | 3,0 | 100 | 3,5 | 41,0 | 55,5 |
| Kampong Trolach | 100 | 5,9 | 91,8 | 2,3 | 100 | 4,9 | 40,8 | 54,3 |
| Toek-Chhou | 100 | 5,6 | 91,2 | 3,2 | 100 | 4,0 | 35,9 | 60,2 |
| Krong Kampot | 100 | 5,7 | 89,6 | 4,7 | 100 | 3,6 | 42,9 | 53,5 |
| Kandal Stoeng | 100 | 5,1 | 92,4 | 2,4 | 100 | 3,3 | 31,3 | 65,5 |
| Kien-Svay | 100 | 3,7 | 93,8 | 2,5 | 100 | 3,1 | 35,2 | 61,7 |
| Ksach Kandal | 100 | 4,3 | 93,6 | 2,1 | 100 | 2,8 | 34,9 | 62,2 |
| Koh Thom | 100 | 9,5 | 87,8 | 2,6 | 100 | 9,8 | 34,6 | 55,6 |
| Leuk Dek | 100 | 8,8 | 88,5 | 2,7 | 100 | 7,2 | 30,8 | 62,1 |
| Lovea-Em | 100 | 6,1 | 91,9 | 2,0 | 100 | 2,7 | 38,3 | 59,0 |
| Mouk-Kampoul | 100 | 7,5 | 89,2 | 3,4 | 100 | 7,7 | 37,1 | 55,2 |
| Angsnuol | 100 | 4,9 | 91,8 | 3,3 | 100 | 2,5 | 26,8 | 70,7 |
| Ponhea Leu | 100 | 4,6 | 92,9 | 2,6 | 100 | 4,0 | 31,7 | 64,3 |
| S'ang | 100 | 4,9 | 92,2 | 2,9 | 100 | 3,6 | 31,6 | 64,8 |
| Krong Takhmao | 100 | 4,2 | 94,1 | 1,7 | 100 | 3,3 | 41,1 | 55,6 |
| Botum Sakor | 100 | 5,2 | 93,7 | 1,1 | 100 | 5,1 | 36,7 | 58,2 |
| Kiri-Sakor | 100 | 4,9 | 88,8 | 6,3 | 100 | 7,5 | 44,8 | 47,7 |
| Koh-Kong | 100 | 8,5 | 89,0 | 2,4 | 100 | 15,0 | 27,4 | 57,5 |
| Krong Khemarak | 100 | 3,6 | 94,8 | 1,5 | 100 | 2,1 | 30,0 | 67,9 |
| Mondul Seima | 100 | 8,6 | 89,4 | 2,1 | 100 | 5,0 | 25,4 | 69,6 |
| Sre Ambel | 100 | 6,8 | 91,7 | 1,5 | 100 | 10,2 | 32,6 | 57,2 |
| Thmar Baing | 100 | 7,8 | 91,8 | 0,4 | 100 | 11,1 | 40,8 | 48,1 |
| Chhlong | 100 | 5,3 | 92,8 | 1,9 | 100 | 8,7 | 31,7 | 59,6 |
| Kratie Krong | 100 | 2,5 | 95,3 | 2,2 | 100 | 3,8 | 44,7 | 51,5 |
| Prek Prasob | 100 | 4,6 | 92,6 | 2,7 | 100 | 7,9 | 35,2 | 56,9 |
| Sambo | 100 | 10,9 | 86,0 | 3,2 | 100 | 12,8 | 23,3 | 63,9 |
| Snuol | 100 | 7,1 | 89,5 | 3,4 | 100 | 12,2 | 21,0 | 66,7 |
| Chetr-Borey | 100 | 8,6 | 88,4 | 3,0 | 100 | 12,1 | 28,9 | 59,0 |

Table D.3. Enrollment status for the group of 6-year-olds by municipalities, districts, Khans, in Cambodia in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Never | Current | Past | Total | Never | Current | Past |
| Keo Seima | 100 | 19,7 | 76,7 | 3,6 | 100 | 25,7 | 23,6 | 50,7 |
| Koh Nhek | 100 | 24,0 | 70,9 | 5,1 | 100 | 30,3 | 22,5 | 47,2 |
| 0-Raing | 100 | 17,4 | 79,0 | 3,6 | 100 | 25,3 | 27,1 | 47,6 |
| Pich-Chreada | 100 | 7,7 | 89,3 | 3,1 | 100 | 20,2 | 21,3 | 58,6 |
| Krong Sen- | 100 | 15,5 | 81,3 | 3,2 | 100 | 11,2 | 31,1 | 57,7 |
| Chamkar Morn | 100 | 3,8 | 93,6 | 2,5 | 100 | 2,0 | 46,9 | 51,1 |
| Daun Penh | 100 | 3,2 | 91,9 | 5,0 | 100 | 2,7 | 45,4 | 51,9 |
| 7 Makara | 100 | 3,6 | 91,4 | 5,0 | 100 | 1,9 | 51,7 | 46,4 |
| Tuol Kork | 100 | 3,3 | 93,6 | 3,0 | 100 | 2,1 | 50,8 | 47,1 |
| Dangkor | 100 | 6,2 | 90,4 | 3,4 | 100 | 4,2 | 36,9 | 58,9 |
| Meanchey | 100 | 3,3 | 94,8 | 1,9 | 100 | 2,9 | 43,6 | 53,5 |
| Russey Keo | 100 | 5,3 | 91,6 | 3,1 | 100 | 4,0 | 41,4 | 54,5 |
| Sensok | 100 | 3,9 | 93,2 | 2,8 | 100 | 2,5 | 46,9 | 50,7 |
| Por-Senchey | 100 | 5,4 | 90,8 | 3,9 | 100 | 3,4 | 26,8 | 69,8 |
| Chroy Changvar | 100 | 4,5 | 91,4 | 4,1 | 100 | 3,6 | 41,0 | 55,4 |
| Prek P'nov | 100 | 4,8 | 90,7 | 4,5 | 100 | 3,8 | 33,8 | 62,3 |
| Chbar Ampov | 100 | 5,9 | 91,6 | 2,5 | 100 | 5,2 | 43,9 | 50,8 |
| Boeung Keng Kang | 100 | 2,9 | 94,8 | 2,3 | 100 | 2,1 | 50,2 | 47,7 |
| Kambol | 100 | 5,5 | 85,9 | 8,6 | 100 | 2,5 | 21,7 | 75,8 |
| Senchey | 100 | 10,4 | 86,0 | 3,6 | 100 | 15,4 | 25,9 | 58,7 |
| Chheb | 100 | 13,3 | 84,1 | 2,7 | 100 | 20,9 | 28,1 | 50,9 |
| Choam K'san | 100 | 15,2 | 80,6 | 4,2 | 100 | 16,4 | 24,3 | 59,4 |
| Koulen | 100 | 17,4 | 77,5 | 5,2 | 100 | 22,3 | 21,8 | 55,9 |
| Rovieng | 100 | 10,6 | 84,3 | 5,1 | 100 | 11,0 | 26,6 | 62,3 |
| Sangkum Thmey | 100 | 11,5 | 85,2 | 3,3 | 100 | 12,0 | 23,5 | 64,5 |
| Tbeng Meanchey | 100 | 14,5 | 79,5 | 6,0 | 100 | 13,9 | 20,7 | 65,3 |
| Krong Preah Vihear | 100 | 7,5 | 89,3 | 3,2 | 100 | 5,8 | 35,8 | 58,3 |
| Ba Phnom | 100 | 3,7 | 94,6 | 1,7 | 100 | 3,3 | 49,0 | 47,7 |
| Kamchay-Mea | 100 | 4,1 | 94,2 | 1,7 | 100 | 5,1 | 40,2 | 54,6 |
| Kampong Trobek | 100 | 2,9 | 95,6 | 1,5 | 100 | 2,7 | 47,5 | 49,8 |

Table D.3. Enrollment status for the group of 6-year-olds by municipalities, districts, Khans, in Cambodia in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Never | Current | Past | Total | Never | Current | Past |
| Kanh-Chreach | 100 | 2,6 | 96,4 | 1,0 | 100 | 3,2 | 45,2 | 51,6 |
| Mesang | 100 | 3,3 | 95,9 | 0,8 | 100 | 3,3 | 54,3 | 42,4 |
| Peamchor | 100 | 6,6 | 91,9 | 1,6 | 100 | 6,6 | 41,6 | 51,9 |
| Peamro | 100 | 4,5 | 94,0 | 1,5 | 100 | 6,6 | 39,1 | 54,3 |
| Pearaing | 100 | 2,6 | 96,0 | 1,4 | 100 | 2,0 | 37,1 | 60,9 |
| Preah Sdech | 100 | 4,5 | 94,2 | 1,3 | 100 | 4,9 | 45,3 | 49,8 |
| Krong Prey Veng | 100 | 2,6 | 95,9 | 1,4 | 100 | 3,6 | 49,0 | 47,4 |
| Po-Rieng | 100 | 4,2 | 94,3 | 1,5 | 100 | 3,3 | 38,5 | 58,2 |
| Sithor Kandal | 100 | 2,2 | 96,1 | 1,7 | 100 | 2,7 | 42,9 | 54,4 |
| Svay Antor | 100 | 3,6 | 94,3 | 2,0 | 100 | 3,5 | 35,8 | 60,8 |
| Bakan | 100 | 5,2 | 93,3 | 1,5 | 100 | 5,1 | 38,3 | 56,6 |
| Kandieng | 100 | 7,9 | 89,9 | 2,1 | 100 | 8,7 | 38,2 | 53,2 |
| Krokor | 100 | 8,6 | 88,9 | 2,5 | 100 | 9,7 | 33,2 | 57,0 |
| Phnom Kravanh | 100 | 5,8 | 91,9 | 2,3 | 100 | 4,5 | 34,8 | 60,7 |
| Krong Pursat | 100 | 5,6 | 92,3 | 2,2 | 100 | 4,8 | 39,9 | 55,3 |
| Veal Veng | 100 | 8,8 | 86,9 | 4,3 | 100 | 7,9 | 22,8 | 69,3 |
| Talo | 100 | 1,4 | 98,3 | 0,3 | 100 | 2,1 | 44,2 | 53,7 |
| Andoung Meas | 100 | 26,0 | 72,0 | 2,0 | 100 | 36,7 | 22,1 | 41,2 |
| Banlung | 100 | 8,7 | 89,3 | 2,0 | 100 | 10,1 | 36,9 | 53,0 |
| BorKeo | 100 | 13,9 | 83,9 | 2,2 | 100 | 27,1 | 31,0 | 41,9 |
| KounMom | 100 | 13,1 | 84,2 | 2,7 | 100 | 16,4 | 28,0 | 55,7 |
| Lumphat | 100 | 16,7 | 81,7 | 1,6 | 100 | 29,3 | 27,8 | 42,9 |
| 0-Chum | 100 | 21,3 | 76,5 | 2,2 | 100 | 26,0 | 32,8 | 41,2 |
| O-Yadav | 100 | 20,6 | 77,9 | 1,5 | 100 | 32,7 | 30,9 | 36,4 |
| Ta Veng | 100 | 14,6 | 83,8 | 1,6 | 100 | 33,2 | 29,2 | 37,6 |
| Voeunsai | 100 | 22,8 | 75,1 | 2,1 | 100 | 42,3 | 31,5 | 26,2 |
| Angkor Chum | 100 | 9,0 | 88,1 | 3,0 | 100 | 18,5 | 29,6 | 51,9 |
| Angkor Thom | 100 | 8,0 | 89,1 | 2,9 | 100 | 13,9 | 32,6 | 53,5 |
| Banteay Srey | 100 | 7,9 | 89,4 | 2,7 | 100 | 12,7 | 32,6 | 54,7 |
| Chi-Kreng | 100 | 10,4 | 84,9 | 4,7 | 100 | 15,1 | 29,4 | 55,5 |

Table D.3. Enrollment status for the group of 6-year-olds by municipalities, districts, Khans, in Cambodia in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Never | Current | Past | Total | Never | Current | Past |
| kralanh | 100 | 10,7 | 86,2 | 3,0 | 100 | 16,9 | 31,9 | 51,1 |
| Puok | 100 | 7,0 | 90,2 | 2,8 | 100 | 9,8 | 35,2 | 54,9 |
| Prasat Bakong | 100 | 5,9 | 91,5 | 2,6 | 100 | 10,6 | 34,2 | 55,1 |
| Rong Siem Reap | 100 | 5,4 | 91,0 | 3,6 | 100 | 3,9 | 39,9 | 56,2 |
| Sot Nikum | 100 | 7,4 | 88,5 | 4,1 | 100 | 8,6 | 31,7 | 59,7 |
| Srey Snom | 100 | 5,2 | 91,8 | 3,0 | 100 | 9,1 | 30,5 | 60,4 |
| Svay Leu | 100 | 17,6 | 78,7 | 3,6 | 100 | 23,4 | 23,5 | 53,1 |
| Varin | 100 | 5,9 | 89,9 | 4,2 | 100 | 11,7 | 26,3 | 61,9 |
| Sihanoukville | 100 | 4,2 | 93,7 | 2,1 | 100 | 6,3 | 52,3 | 41,5 |
| Prey Nob | 100 | 7,6 | 88,5 | 3,9 | 100 | 6,7 | 25,6 | 67,7 |
| Stoeng Hav | 100 | 7,8 | 89,5 | 2,7 | 100 | 6,6 | 35,0 | 58,4 |
| Kampong Seila | 100 | 7,4 | 86,5 | 6,1 | 100 | 6,8 | 30,9 | 62,3 |
| Sesan | 100 | 19,0 | 78,1 | 2,9 | 100 | 26,1 | 16,2 | 57,7 |
| Siem-Bok | 100 | 10,5 | 87,2 | 2,3 | 100 | 15,9 | 31,0 | 53,1 |
| Siem Pang | 100 | 21,5 | 75,9 | 2,6 | 100 | 39,1 | 19,3 | 41,6 |
| Krong Stoeng Treng | 100 | 6,1 | 91,4 | 2,5 | 100 | 6,3 | 33,0 | 60,6 |
| Thala-Borivath | 100 | 14,9 | 81,7 | 3,4 | 100 | 21,4 | 22,2 | 56,4 |
| Borey 0'Savy | 100 | 11,7 | 83,5 | 4,8 | 100 | 22,8 | 27,1 | 50,1 |
| Chantrea | 100 | 4,9 | 92,2 | 2,9 | 100 | 2,8 | 24,4 | 72,8 |
| Kampong Ro | 100 | 3,7 | 94,2 | 2,0 | 100 | 2,6 | 28,0 | 69,5 |
| Romduol | 100 | 5,0 | 93,3 | 1,6 | 100 | 3,4 | 39,9 | 56,7 |
| Romeas Hek | 100 | 4,6 | 93,7 | 1,7 | 100 | 4,5 | 47,0 | 48,5 |
| Svay Chrum | 100 | 5,5 | 92,2 | 2,4 | 100 | 4,9 | 45,1 | 50,0 |
| Krong Svay Rieng | 100 | 3,8 | 93,5 | 2,6 | 100 | 2,3 | 41,3 | 56,4 |
| Svay Teap | 100 | 2,8 | 95,6 | 1,7 | 100 | 1,7 | 26,8 | 71,5 |
| Krong Bavet | 100 | 6,1 | 90,9 | 3,0 | 100 | 2,6 | 24,1 | 73,3 |
| Angkor Borey | 100 | 5,3 | 92,9 | 1,8 | 100 | 4,5 | 49,5 | 46,0 |
| Bati | 100 | 4,6 | 92,4 | 3,0 | 100 | 2,9 | 30,5 | 66,6 |
| Borey Chulsa | 100 | 9,6 | 88,7 | 1,7 | 100 | 11,8 | 38,8 | 49,4 |

Table D.3. Enrollment status for the group of 6-year-olds by municipalities, districts, Khans, in Cambodia in 2019 (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  | Enrollment Status in 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Never | Current | Past | Total | Never | Current | Past |
| Kirivong | 100 | 4,4 | 93,8 | 1,8 | 100 | 4,7 | 47,1 | 48,2 |
| Koh Andet | 100 | 4,9 | 93,8 | 1,3 | 100 | 4,1 | 51,9 | 43,9 |
| Prey Kabbas | 100 | 5,2 | 92,1 | 2,7 | 100 | 4,4 | 48,6 | 47,0 |
| Samrong | 100 | 5,8 | 92,0 | 2,2 | 100 | 2,9 | 37,5 | 59,5 |
| Krong Daun Keo | 100 | 3,6 | 94,1 | 2,3 | 100 | 2,0 | 41,1 | 56,9 |
| Tram Kak | 100 | 3,4 | 94,6 | 2,0 | 100 | 2,1 | 37,8 | 60,2 |
| Troam (Traing?) | 100 | 4,0 | 94,4 | 1,6 | 100 | 3,6 | 49,3 | 47,1 |
| Anlong Veng | 100 | 6,4 | 91,6 | 2,0 | 100 | 8,7 | 29,4 | 61,9 |
| Banteay Ampil | 100 | 7,7 | 89,6 | 2,7 | 100 | 12,9 | 27,6 | 59,5 |
| Chong Kal | 100 | 5,9 | 90,7 | 3,3 | 100 | 10,3 | 28,6 | 61,1 |
| Krong Samrong | 100 | 5,7 | 91,6 | 2,6 | 100 | 7,9 | 27,1 | 65,0 |
| Trapaing Prasath | 100 | 5,7 | 90,9 | 3,3 | 100 | 8,6 | 26,5 | 64,9 |
| Damnak Chonf-Eur | 100 | 6,4 | 89,4 | 4,2 | 100 | 6,8 | 34,9 | 58,2 |
| Krong Kep | 100 | 6,7 | 89,8 | 3,4 | 100 | 4,1 | 39,2 | 56,7 |
| Krong Pailin | 100 | 4,9 | 93,4 | 1,7 | 100 | 4,9 | 35,0 | 60,1 |
| Sala-Krav | 100 | 5,7 | 90,7 | 3,6 | 100 | 6,3 | 24,1 | 69,6 |
| Krong Suong | 100 | 3,9 | 92,6 | 3,6 | 100 | 5,0 | 33,5 | 61,5 |
| Tbaung Khum | 100 | 5,5 | 91,8 | 2,7 | 100 | 8,2 | 33,0 | 58,8 |
| 0-Raing-Oeuv | 100 | 5,2 | 92,0 | 2,8 | 100 | 3,8 | 35,6 | 60,6 |
| Kroch Chhmar | 100 | 5,5 | 91,3 | 3,2 | 100 | 8,8 | 37,2 | 54,0 |
| Dambe | 100 | 5,2 | 91,7 | 3,1 | 100 | 9,3 | 30,8 | 59,9 |
| Ponhea Krek | 100 | 4,9 | 91,3 | 3,9 | 100 | 7,9 | 31,3 | 60,8 |
| Memot | 100 | 6,9 | 90,3 | 2,8 | 100 | 9,8 | 26,6 | 63,6 |

Table D.4. Status of economic activity for 5 -year-olds by cities, districts, Khans in Cambodia in 2019

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive |
| Mongkul Borey | 100 | 2,2 | 0,6 | 97,2 | 100 | 56,2 | 1,9 | 41,9 |
| Phnom Srok | 100 | 2,0 | 0,1 | 98,0 | 100 | 66,3 | 1,7 | 32,0 |
| Preah Net Preah | 100 | 3,0 | 0,4 | 96,7 | 100 | 61,8 | 1,1 | 37,1 |
| Ochrov | 100 | 2,4 | 0,3 | 97,2 | 100 | 65,3 | 1,1 | 33,6 |
| Serey Sophorn, | 100 | 1,2 | 0,2 | 98,6 | 100 | 47,7 | 4,3 | 48,0 |
| Thmor Puok | 100 | 3,8 | 0,3 | 95,9 | 100 | 67,3 | 0,8 | 31,9 |
| Svay Chek, | 100 | 2,2 | 0,1 | 97,6 | 100 | 67,6 | 0,6 | 31,8 |
| Malai | 100 | 2,2 | 0,5 | 97,3 | 100 | 60,7 | 2,1 | 37,2 |
| Krong Poipet, | 100 | 1,8 | 0,1 | 98,1 | 100 | 57,6 | 0,5 | 41,9 |
| Banon | 100 | 1,4 | 0,3 | 98,3 | 100 | 51,3 | 4,9 | 43,9 |
| Thmor Koul | 100 | 1,3 | 0,1 | 98,6 | 100 | 54,8 | 1,6 | 43,5 |
| Knrong | 100 | 0,8 | 0,5 | 98,7 | 100 | 39,6 | 3,7 | 56,7 |
| Bavel | 100 | 1,3 | 0,1 | 98,6 | 100 | 59,1 | 1,2 | 39,7 |
| Ek Phnom | 100 | 2,3 | 0,5 | 97,2 | 100 | 55,3 | 3,0 | 41,7 |
| Mong Russey | 100 | 1,2 | 0,2 | 98,6 | 100 | 48,5 | 4,5 | 47,0 |
| Rattanak Mondul | 100 | 2,2 | 0,7 | 97,1 | 100 | 59,2 | 1,8 | 39,1 |
| Sangke | 100 | 0,8 | 0,2 | 99,0 | 100 | 48,9 | 2,0 | 49,1 |
| Samlot | 100 | 2,3 | 0,1 | 97,6 | 100 | 70,1 | 1,9 | 28,0 |
| Sampov Loun | 100 | 2,2 | 0,1 | 97,7 | 100 | 60,7 | 0,8 | 38,5 |
| Phnom Proek | 100 | 1,9 | 0,1 | 98,0 | 100 | 60,7 | 0,8 | 38,5 |
| Kamrieng | 100 | 2,0 | 0,1 | 97,8 | 100 | 66,8 | 3,1 | 30,1 |
| Koas Kralor | 100 | 1,7 | 0,3 | 98,0 | 100 | 55,3 | 2,0 | 42,7 |
| Rokhak-Kiri | 100 | 2,1 | 0,3 | 97,6 | 100 | 58,2 | 1,5 | 40,3 |
| Batheay | 100 | 1,3 | 0,0 | 98,7 | 100 | 58,5 | 0,5 | 41,0 |
| Chamkar Leu | 100 | 1,9 | 0,1 | 98,0 | 100 | 57,5 | 0,8 | 41,7 |
| Cheung Prey | 100 | 1,7 | 0,2 | 98,1 | 100 | 59,3 | 0,8 | 39,9 |
| Krong Kampong | 100 | 1,3 | 0,1 | 98,6 | 100 | 38,9 | 0,6 | 60,5 |
| Kampong Siem | 100 | 1,6 | 0,1 | 98,3 | 100 | 48,5 | 0,8 | 50,7 |
| Kang Meas | 100 | 1,3 | 0,1 | 98,6 | 100 | 49,4 | 0,3 | 50,3 |
| Koh Sotin | 100 | 1,1 | 0,1 | 98,8 | 100 | 34,5 | 0,7 | 64,8 |

Table D.4. Status of economic activity for 5 -year-olds by cities, districts, Khans in Cambodia in 2019. (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive |
| Prey Chhor | 100 | 1,8 | 0,1 | 98,1 | 100 | 58,7 | 0,4 | 40,9 |
| Srey Santhor | 100 | 1,1 | 0,1 | 98,8 | 100 | 47,7 | 1,4 | 50,9 |
| Stoeng Trang | 100 | 2,0 | 0,1 | 97,9 | 100 | 60,3 | 0,2 | 39,5 |
| Boribo | 100 | 2,6 | 0,0 | 97,4 | 100 | 56,9 | 0,3 | 42,9 |
| Chulkiri | 100 | 2,6 | 0,1 | 97,3 | 100 | 56,8 | 0,6 | 42,6 |
| Krong Kampong | 100 | 3,1 | 0,2 | 96,7 | 100 | 46,8 | 4,2 | 49,0 |
| Kampong Leng | 100 | 2,2 | 0,1 | 97,7 | 100 | 55,7 | 0,8 | 43,5 |
| Kampong Tralach | 100 | 1,1 | 0,1 | 98,9 | 100 | 58,2 | 1,4 | 40,3 |
| Rolea P'ear | 100 | 1,8 | 0,2 | 98,0 | 100 | 55,5 | 2,4 | 42,2 |
| Samaki Meanchey | 100 | 1,4 | 0,3 | 98,4 | 100 | 65,6 | 1,1 | 33,2 |
| Teuk Phos | 100 | 2,5 | 0,2 | 97,3 | 100 | 62,6 | 0,7 | 36,8 |
| Bor Seth | 100 | 1,7 | 0,2 | 98,1 | 100 | 66,3 | 0,7 | 32,9 |
| Krong Chbar Morn | 100 | 1,2 | 0,0 | 98,7 | 100 | 55,9 | 0,3 | 43,8 |
| Kong Pisey | 100 | 1,6 | 0,2 | 98,2 | 100 | 68,6 | 0,5 | 30,9 |
| Oral | 100 | 3,3 | 0,1 | 96,7 | 100 | 71,9 | 0,2 | 28,0 |
| Oudong | 100 | 2,3 | 0,1 | 97,6 | 100 | 70,8 | 0,5 | 28,7 |
| Phnom Sruoch | 100 | 2,0 | 0,1 | 97,9 | 100 | 66,3 | 0,4 | 33,3 |
| Samrong Tong | 100 | 2,8 | 0,2 | 96,9 | 100 | 69,2 | 0,6 | 30,3 |
| T'porng | 100 | 2,7 | 0,1 | 97,2 | 100 | 73,7 | 0,2 | 26,1 |
| Baray | 100 | 2,3 | 0,4 | 97,3 | 100 | 53,6 | 0,8 | 45,5 |
| Kampong Svay | 100 | 2,6 | 0,3 | 97,1 | 100 | 54,8 | 1,3 | 43,8 |
| Krong Stoeng Sen | 100 | 0,9 | 0,0 | 99,1 | 100 | 43,9 | 0,5 | 55,6 |
| Ballangk | 100 | 2,5 | 0,1 | 97,4 | 100 | 61,3 | 0,5 | 38,2 |
| Sambo | 100 | 2,9 | 0,1 | 97,0 | 100 | 48,3 | 0,2 | 51,4 |
| Sandan | 100 | 3,2 | 0,1 | 96,7 | 100 | 71,2 | 0,2 | 28,6 |
| Santuk | 100 | 3,6 | 0,3 | 96,0 | 100 | 61,7 | 1,5 | 36,7 |
| Stoung | 100 | 2,8 | 0,4 | 96,9 | 100 | 56,9 | 2,0 | 41,2 |
| Taing Kork | 100 | 1,7 | 0,5 | 97,9 | 100 | 51,7 | 1,1 | 47,1 |
| Angkor Chey | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,5 | 100 | 49,9 | 0,6 | 49,5 |
| Bnteay Meas | 100 | 1,6 | 0,2 | 98,2 | 100 | 48,5 | 1,4 | 50,1 |

Table D.4. Status of economic activity for 5 -year-olds by cities, districts, Khans in Cambodia in 2019. (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive |
| Chhouk | 100 | 2,3 | 0,1 | 97,6 | 100 | 60,7 | 0,4 | 38,9 |
| Chum-Kiri | 100 | 1,3 | 0,1 | 98,7 | 100 | 65,1 | 0,3 | 34,7 |
| Dong Tong | 100 | 3,5 | 0,2 | 96,3 | 100 | 54,9 | 0,5 | 44,7 |
| Kampong Trolach | 100 | 1,8 | 0,5 | 97,7 | 100 | 53,6 | 1,6 | 44,8 |
| Toek-Chhou | 100 | 1,8 | 0,4 | 97,8 | 100 | 55,4 | 1,4 | 43,2 |
| Krong Kampot | 100 | 0,7 | 0,5 | 98,8 | 100 | 39,6 | 2,9 | 57,5 |
| Kandal Stoeng | 100 | 1,2 | 0,1 | 98,7 | 100 | 63,9 | 0,7 | 35,4 |
| Kien-Svay | 100 | 1,6 | 0,1 | 98,3 | 100 | 58,2 | 0,5 | 41,3 |
| Ksach Kandal | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,5 | 100 | 59,9 | 0,7 | 39,4 |
| Koh Thom | 100 | 2,4 | 0,2 | 97,4 | 100 | 60,4 | 0,9 | 38,7 |
| Leuk Dek | 100 | 1,7 | 0,2 | 98,1 | 100 | 60,1 | 0,3 | 39,6 |
| Lovea-Em | 100 | 1,5 | 0,0 | 98,5 | 100 | 57,5 | 0,2 | 42,3 |
| Mouk-Kampoul | 100 | 2,1 | 0,1 | 97,8 | 100 | 54,4 | 0,5 | 45,2 |
| Angsnuol | 100 | 1,6 | 0,2 | 98,2 | 100 | 68,5 | 1,4 | 30,1 |
| Ponhea Leu | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,5 | 100 | 60,8 | 1,0 | 38,2 |
| S'ang | 100 | 2,0 | 0,1 | 97,9 | 100 | 63,8 | 0,7 | 35,5 |
| Krong Takhma0 | 100 | 0,8 | 0,1 | 99,1 | 100 | 46,6 | 0,6 | 52,8 |
| Botum Sakor | 100 | 0,7 | 0,0 | 99,3 | 100 | 54,2 | 0,4 | 45,3 |
| Kiri-Sakor | 100 | 0,1 | 0,5 | 99,4 | 100 | 38,7 | 1,5 | 59,7 |
| Koh-Kong | 100 | 1,6 | 0,0 | 98,4 | 100 | 54,6 | 0,3 | 45,0 |
| Krong Khemarak | 100 | 0,8 | 0,0 | 99,2 | 100 | 64,5 | 0,5 | 35,0 |
| Mondul Seima | 100 | 1,3 | 0,0 | 98,7 | 100 | 66,2 | 1,4 | 32,4 |
| Sre Ambel | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,5 | 100 | 56,3 | 0,8 | 42,9 |
| Thmar Baing | 100 | 0,5 | 0,2 | 99,4 | 100 | 50,2 | 0,2 | 49,6 |
| Chhlong | 100 | 1,6 | 0,1 | 98,3 | 100 | 56,5 | 0,7 | 42,7 |
| Kratie Krong | 100 | 1,4 | 0,5 | 98,1 | 100 | 45,5 | 1,9 | 52,6 |
| Prek Prasob | 100 | 3,0 | 0,1 | 96,8 | 100 | 58,6 | 0,6 | 40,8 |
| Sambo | 100 | 3,9 | 0,0 | 96,0 | 100 | 72,0 | 0,2 | 27,8 |
| Snuol | 100 | 3,1 | 0,1 | 96,8 | 100 | 72,7 | 0,7 | 26,6 |
| Chetr-Borey | 100 | 3,0 | 0,2 | 96,9 | 100 | 60,6 | 0,6 | 38,8 |

Table D.4. Status of economic activity for 5 -year-olds by cities, districts, Khans in Cambodia in 2019. (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive |
| Keo Seima | 100 | 5,1 | 0,1 | 94,8 | 100 | 70,8 | 0,2 | 28,9 |
| Koh Nhek | 100 | 9,0 | 0,0 | 90,9 | 100 | 71,6 | 2,1 | 26,4 |
| O-Raing | 100 | 5,4 | 0,3 | 94,3 | 100 | 65,6 | 2,4 | 32,0 |
| Pich-Chreada | 100 | 4,1 | 0,1 | 95,8 | 100 | 75,0 | 0,1 | 24,9 |
| Krong Sen- | 100 | 3,2 | 0,7 | 96,1 | 100 | 55,8 | 2,0 | 42,2 |
| Chamkar Morn | 100 | 1,2 | 0,0 | 98,8 | 100 | 48,7 | 0,4 | 50,8 |
| Daun Penh | 100 | 1,6 | 0,1 | 98,3 | 100 | 47,6 | 0,7 | 51,7 |
| 7 Makara | 100 | 0,9 | 0,1 | 98,9 | 100 | 40,8 | 0,6 | 58,5 |
| Tuol Kork | 100 | 1,2 | 0,2 | 98,5 | 100 | 43,8 | 0,8 | 55,4 |
| Dangkor | 100 | 1,3 | 0,3 | 98,4 | 100 | 51,6 | 2,3 | 46,2 |
| Meanchey | 100 | 1,0 | 0,1 | 98,9 | 100 | 51,9 | 0,4 | 47,8 |
| Russey Keo | 100 | 1,5 | 0,3 | 98,2 | 100 | 50,0 | 1,0 | 49,0 |
| Sensok | 100 | 1,0 | 0,1 | 98,9 | 100 | 41,7 | 0,8 | 57,5 |
| Por-Senchey | 100 | 1,7 | 0,4 | 97,9 | 100 | 67,3 | 0,8 | 31,9 |
| Chroy Changvar | 100 | 1,3 | 0,2 | 98,5 | 100 | 50,4 | 1,9 | 47,7 |
| Prek P'nov | 100 | 1,7 | 0,2 | 98,0 | 100 | 57,6 | 2,2 | 40,3 |
| Chbar Ampov | 100 | 1,3 | 0,2 | 98,6 | 100 | 47,1 | 1,3 | 51,6 |
| Boeung Keng Kang | 100 | 1,6 | 0,1 | 98,3 | 100 | 45,5 | 0,8 | 53,7 |
| Kambol | 100 | 2,3 | 0,3 | 97,4 | 100 | 71,7 | 0,7 | 27,6 |
| Senchey | 100 | 3,3 | 0,1 | 96,7 | 100 | 67,4 | 0,7 | 31,9 |
| Chheb | 100 | 3,8 | 0,0 | 96,2 | 100 | 65,2 | 0,4 | 34,4 |
| Choam K'san | 100 | 4,0 | 0,1 | 95,9 | 100 | 66,4 | 0,8 | 32,8 |
| Koulen | 100 | 6,6 | 1,4 | 92,0 | 100 | 70,3 | 1,9 | 27,8 |
| Rovieng | 100 | 4,2 | 0,3 | 95,5 | 100 | 67,4 | 0,9 | 31,7 |
| Sangkum Thmey | 100 | 2,6 | 1,2 | 96,3 | 100 | 69,5 | 1,7 | 28,8 |
| Tbeng Meanchey | 100 | 6,0 | 0,2 | 93,8 | 100 | 71,4 | 1,4 | 27,3 |
| Krong Preah Vihear | 100 | 2,7 | 0,1 | 97,2 | 100 | 51,3 | 1,5 | 47,2 |
| Ba Phnom | 100 | 1,1 | 0,1 | 98,8 | 100 | 47,3 | 0,4 | 52,3 |
| Kamchay-Mea | 100 | 1,3 | 0,1 | 98,6 | 100 | 56,6 | 0,4 | 43,0 |
| Kampong Trobek | 100 | 1,3 | 0,0 | 98,7 | 100 | 50,8 | 0,1 | 49,2 |

Table D.4. Status of economic activity for 5 -year-olds by cities, districts, Khans in Cambodia in 2019. (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive |
| Kanh-Chreach | 100 | 0,9 | 0,0 | 99,1 | 100 | 51,4 | 0,2 | 48,3 |
| Mesang | 100 | 0,9 | 0,0 | 99,1 | 100 | 44,1 | 0,1 | 55,7 |
| Peamchor | 100 | 1,5 | 0,1 | 98,4 | 100 | 53,8 | 0,1 | 46,1 |
| Peamro | 100 | 1,0 | 0,1 | 98,9 | 100 | 52,2 | 0,6 | 47,2 |
| Pearaing | 100 | 0,9 | 0,0 | 99,0 | 100 | 58,6 | 0,4 | 41,0 |
| Preah Sdech | 100 | 1,1 | 0,0 | 98,9 | 100 | 50,5 | 0,2 | 49,3 |
| Krong Prey Veng | 100 | 0,9 | 0,0 | 99,1 | 100 | 44,2 | 0,2 | 55,6 |
| Po-Rieng | 100 | 1,3 | 0,0 | 98,6 | 100 | 55,5 | 0,1 | 44,4 |
| Sithor Kandal | 100 | 1,5 | 0,0 | 98,5 | 100 | 54,6 | 0,2 | 45,2 |
| Svay Antor | 100 | 1,4 | 0,0 | 98,6 | 100 | 58,5 | 0,3 | 41,2 |
| Bakan | 100 | 1,1 | 0,2 | 98,7 | 100 | 54,1 | 1,5 | 44,4 |
| Kandieng | 100 | 2,5 | 0,3 | 97,2 | 100 | 54,9 | 0,7 | 44,4 |
| Krokor | 100 | 2,1 | 0,2 | 97,7 | 100 | 60,5 | 0,6 | 38,9 |
| Phnom Kravanh | 100 | 1,0 | 0,7 | 98,3 | 100 | 54,3 | 1,7 | 44,0 |
| Krong Pursat | 100 | 1,3 | 0,2 | 98,5 | 100 | 47,3 | 0,9 | 51,8 |
| Veal Veng | 100 | 3,0 | 0,6 | 96,4 | 100 | 63,9 | 2,8 | 33,3 |
| Talo | 100 | 0,4 | 0,0 | 99,6 | 100 | 55,3 | 0,1 | 44,6 |
| Andoung Meas | 100 | 4,7 | 0,0 | 95,2 | 100 | 74,9 | 0,5 | 24,6 |
| Banlung | 100 | 1,8 | 0,1 | 98,1 | 100 | 54,1 | 0,7 | 45,2 |
| Borkeo | 100 | 2,6 | 0,0 | 97,3 | 100 | 64,6 | 0,5 | 34,9 |
| KounMom | 100 | 3,6 | 0,1 | 96,3 | 100 | 69,2 | 0,1 | 30,7 |
| Lumphat | 100 | 2,9 | 0,0 | 97,1 | 100 | 67,3 | 1,2 | 31,5 |
| 0-Chum | 100 | 4,5 | 0,1 | 95,4 | 100 | 65,5 | 0,1 | 34,4 |
| O-Yadav | 100 | 3,2 | 0,1 | 96,7 | 100 | 66,4 | 0,2 | 33,4 |
| Ta Veng | 100 | 6,0 | 0,2 | 93,8 | 100 | 68,6 | 0,7 | 30,7 |
| Voeunsai | 100 | 5,1 | 0,1 | 94,8 | 100 | 64,8 | 0,5 | 34,7 |
| Angkor Chum | 100 | 3,8 | 0,2 | 96,0 | 100 | 66,1 | 0,7 | 33,2 |
| Angkor Thom | 100 | 2,5 | 0,1 | 97,4 | 100 | 62,5 | 0,5 | 37,0 |
| Banteay Srey | 100 | 1,7 | 0,3 | 98,0 | 100 | 59,2 | 2,6 | 38,2 |
| Chi-Kreng | 100 | 2,4 | 0,5 | 97,1 | 100 | 60,4 | 1,2 | 38,4 |

Table D.4. Status of economic activity for 5 -year-olds by cities, districts, Khans in Cambodia in 2019. (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive |
| kralanh | 100 | 2,2 | 0,3 | 97,5 | 100 | 57,6 | 2,0 | 40,3 |
| Puok | 100 | 1,9 | 0,3 | 97,9 | 100 | 59,4 | 0,8 | 39,8 |
| Prasat Bakong | 100 | 1,4 | 0,3 | 98,3 | 100 | 53,3 | 1,9 | 44,8 |
| Rong Siem Reap | 100 | 1,0 | 0,2 | 98,8 | 100 | 46,3 | 1,5 | 52,2 |
| Sot Nikum | 100 | 2,6 | 0,4 | 97,0 | 100 | 60,4 | 1,4 | 38,2 |
| Srey Snom | 100 | 4,0 | 0,1 | 95,8 | 100 | 67,1 | 0,4 | 32,5 |
| Svay Leu | 100 | 4,6 | 0,4 | 95,0 | 100 | 69,7 | 1,6 | 28,8 |
| Varin | 100 | 4,1 | 0,1 | 95,7 | 100 | 70,0 | 0,6 | 29,5 |
| Sihanoukville | 100 | 1,0 | 0,3 | 98,7 | 100 | 35,2 | 2,9 | 62,0 |
| Prey Nob | 100 | 1,7 | 0,6 | 97,7 | 100 | 65,8 | 2,2 | 32,1 |
| Stoeng Hav | 100 | 1,9 | 0,3 | 97,8 | 100 | 55,4 | 2,8 | 41,8 |
| Kampong Seila | 100 | 1,9 | 0,3 | 97,9 | 100 | 56,0 | 3,3 | 40,7 |
| Sesan | 100 | 5,4 | 0,2 | 94,4 | 100 | 77,8 | 0,5 | 21,7 |
| Siem-Bok | 100 | 2,3 | 0,1 | 97,6 | 100 | 63,0 | 0,6 | 36,4 |
| Siem Pang | 100 | 5,1 | 0,4 | 94,5 | 100 | 74,2 | 0,5 | 25,3 |
| Krong Stoeng Treng | 100 | 1,5 | 0,3 | 98,2 | 100 | 54,4 | 2,5 | 43,1 |
| Thala-Borivath | 100 | 4,1 | 0,2 | 95,6 | 100 | 74,2 | 0,6 | 25,2 |
| Borey 0'Savy | 100 | 4,7 | 0,1 | 95,2 | 100 | 68,4 | 0,5 | 31,1 |
| Chantrea | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,5 | 100 | 71,7 | 1,0 | 27,3 |
| Kampong Ro | 100 | 1,3 | 0,2 | 98,6 | 100 | 69,2 | 0,5 | 30,3 |
| Romduol | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,5 | 100 | 56,0 | 0,5 | 43,5 |
| Romeas Hek | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,5 | 100 | 49,9 | 0,5 | 49,6 |
| Svay Chrum | 100 | 1,8 | 0,2 | 98,0 | 100 | 51,2 | 0,7 | 48,1 |
| Krong Svay Rieng | 100 | 1,4 | 0,2 | 98,4 | 100 | 53,1 | 1,6 | 45,3 |
| Svay Teap | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,5 | 100 | 70,2 | 0,7 | 29,1 |
| Krong Bavet | 100 | 1,4 | 0,3 | 98,3 | 100 | 70,6 | 0,9 | 28,5 |
| Angkor Borey | 100 | 0,7 | 0,2 | 99,1 | 100 | 38,7 | 1,3 | 60,0 |
| Bati | 100 | 1,6 | 0,2 | 98,2 | 100 | 64,9 | 0,6 | 34,4 |
| Borey Chulsa | 100 | 1,2 | 0,2 | 98,6 | 100 | 50,6 | 2,1 | 47,3 |
| Kirivong | 100 | 1,3 | 0,0 | 98,6 | 100 | 47,6 | 0,1 | 52,3 |

Table D.4. Status of economic activity for 5 -year-olds by cities, districts, Khans in Cambodia in 2019. (Cont.)

| Name of District | Children aged 0-14 |  |  |  | Youth aged 15-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  | Economic Status 2019 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive | Total | Employed | Unemployed | Econ. Inactive |
| Koh Andet | 100 | 1,0 | 0,2 | 98,8 | 100 | 41,6 | 1,7 | 56,7 |
| Prey Kabbas | 100 | 1,9 | 0,1 | 97,9 | 100 | 46,5 | 0,2 | 53,3 |
| Samrong | 100 | 1,8 | 0,1 | 98,1 | 100 | 59,1 | 0,7 | 40,2 |
| Krong Daun Keo | 100 | 1,7 | 0,1 | 98,2 | 100 | 54,9 | 0,6 | 44,5 |
| Tram Kak | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,5 | 100 | 58,7 | 0,2 | 41,1 |
| Troam (Traing?) | 100 | 1,4 | 0,1 | 98,6 | 100 | 48,5 | 0,5 | 51,0 |
| Anlong Veng | 100 | 1,9 | 0,0 | 98,0 | 100 | 68,3 | 0,1 | 31,6 |
| Banteay Ampil | 100 | 2,6 | 0,0 | 97,3 | 100 | 69,9 | 0,1 | 29,9 |
| Chong Kal | 100 | 3,0 | 0,0 | 97,0 | 100 | 69,6 | 0,2 | 30,1 |
| Krong Samrong | 100 | 2,3 | 0,1 | 97,5 | 100 | 69,0 | 0,7 | 30,3 |
| Trapaing Prasath | 100 | 2,8 | 0,1 | 97,2 | 100 | 71,3 | 0,5 | 28,2 |
| Damnak Chonf-Eur | 100 | 2,0 | 0,5 | 97,6 | 100 | 55,0 | 3,4 | 41,7 |
| Krong Kep | 100 | 1,9 | 0,5 | 97,6 | 100 | 50,5 | 1,1 | 48,4 |
| Krong Pailin | 100 | 1,5 | 0,2 | 98,3 | 100 | 53,1 | 1,3 | 45,6 |
| Sala-Krav | 100 | 2,3 | 0,2 | 97,5 | 100 | 66,5 | 2,3 | 31,2 |
| Krong Suong | 100 | 2,0 | 0,1 | 98,0 | 100 | 55,4 | 0,5 | 44,1 |
| Tbaung Khum | 100 | 1,5 | 0,1 | 98,4 | 100 | 53,9 | 0,7 | 45,4 |
| 0-Raing-Oeuv | 100 | 1,9 | 0,1 | 98,0 | 100 | 56,6 | 0,5 | 42,9 |
| Kroch Chhmar | 100 | 1,3 | 0,2 | 98,5 | 100 | 41,4 | 2,3 | 56,3 |
| Dambe | 100 | 2,0 | 0,1 | 97,9 | 100 | 61,9 | 0,4 | 37,7 |
| Ponhea Krek | 100 | 2,2 | 0,2 | 97,6 | 100 | 58,2 | 2,0 | 39,8 |
| Memot | 100 | 2,1 | 0,1 | 97,9 | 100 | 67,4 | 0,2 | 32,3 |

## APPENDIX 2

FORM A: HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE
Other
things

| Number of people |
| :--- | :--- |
| living regularly in the |

mily
Fem
ale
-

| Female <br> (Enter <br> code) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 10 | 11 |

Village
Village
Family $\quad$ Information on the characteristics of
Census of the Kingdom of Cambodia March 2019
Royal Government of Cambodia
Identification Information

| Name |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Capital / |  |
| Code |  |  |

Building
Building / Construction and Family Information

| Lin | Building / | Main materials in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Purpose of building/construction
$\qquad$ Housing
Housing \&
Housing \& Shops
Housing and worksh
3. Housing and workshop

1. Male
number the head of the household
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. Institutional family
Municipality / District / Khan
Commune / Sangka

| Name | Capital / Province |  | Municipality / District / Khan |  | Commune / Sangkat |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Code |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

e Constructio building/construction
nu n No. *

| mb | Wall | Roof | Floo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |

©

~m

| Name of interviewer |
| ---: |
| Name of Supervisor |
| Signature |



## APPENDIX 3

FORM B: FAMILY QUESTIONNAIRE



Royal Government of Cambodia

Census of the Kingdom of Cambodia March 2019 | Commune/sangkat |  | Village |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Census center |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Questionnaire 12: Guests present during the census night

elationship with
he head of the
family
(Written in letters)



Demographic information
Questionnaire $1.1:$ Permanent members present during census night

| Column 5 Age |
| :--- |
| oov: less than 1 year; 001: 1 year; 002: 2 years |
| 09:97 years; $099: 99$ years; 120: 120 years |

Total used number of table B used
Form B Family Questionnaire Part 2: Personal Information

| Information for everyone |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { For children } \\ & \text { p-14 years old } \end{aligned}\right.$ | For all people | For all besides the unmarried |  |  | Information foreveryone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Order } \\ \text { Numb } \\ \text { er } \end{array}\right\|$ | Last name and first name | Relationship | Gender | Age |  |  |  |  |  | Native language | Religion | Birth Place |  | Previous residence |  | Duration <br> of stay | Reasons for relocation |
|  | Names of permanent members and guests present on census night | Relationship with the head of the family <br> (Enter the | 1. Male <br> 2. Female | In full year | Does the child live with his or her biological mother? | Marital status |  | Age at firs arriage in year |  | Enter the code in the table below | Enter the code in the | - If born in this village, please enter cod <br> - If born in another village, please write of commune / sangkat, district / khan <br> - If born outside of Cambodia, please w name of that country | name vince the | If you have been living in this you were born, please enter column 15 (a) <br> If born in another village, plea name of commune / sangkat, province <br> If born outside of Cambodia, ple name of that country | ever a <br> skip to <br> he khan <br> the | How many years has this person lived in this village? <br> Enter the | Enter |
|  | Tables 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 1) | the table below) | (Enter coding number) |  | below) | code in the <br> table below | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { widower } \\ \text { living } \end{array}$ | wers, divor ing separate |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { table } \\ & \text { below } \end{aligned}$ | Write the name of the commune / sangkat, district / khan, province or $\qquad$ | Location <br> code | Write the name of the commune / sangkat, district/ khan, province or country in column 12 (a) | Location <br> code | $\begin{gathered} \text { code in the } \\ \text { table } \\ \text { below } \end{gathered}$ | code in the table below |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 | 10 | 11(a) | 11(b) | 12(a) | 12(b) | 13 | 14 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





Form B Family Questionnaire Part 3: Information on fertility of women 15 years and older recorded in column ${ }_{2}$, Part ${ }_{2}$

Form B Family Questionnaire Part 4: Housing condition and Household Appliances (Section 4 not for institutional households, homeless people, people living on boat, and passers-by)

| On what basis do you occupy this residence? | Main lighting source | Energy sources used for cooking | Types of toilets for family use | Sharing toilets with other households | Source of water supply for drinking (drinking) | Time spent back and forth to get water for family consumption (drink) | Number of familyowned rooms (excluding kitchen, bathroom, and store room) | Is there a separate kitchen? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1. Personal <br> house <br> 2. House for rent <br> 3. Not a landlord but rent without paying rent <br> 4. Other bases <br> (Please specify )... | 1. Grid electricity <br> 2. Generator <br> 3. Grid <br>  <br> generator <br> 4. Kerosene <br> 5. Electricity <br> 6. None <br> 7. Other <br> (Please <br> specify) $\square$ (Enter the code) | 1. Firewood <br> 2. Wood charcoal, coal <br> 3. Kerosene <br> 4. LPG/Gas <br> 5. Electricity <br> 6. None <br> 7. Other <br> (Please specify) $\square$ | 1. Not using toilet ( skip to column 6) <br> 2. Pour-flush toilet or (press drain) connected to the sewer system <br> 3. Pour-flush toilet or (press drain) connected to the septic tank or pit <br> 4. Pour-flush toilet or (press drain) drain to another location ( not drain to septic tank nor pit) <br> 5. Pit toilet with toilet bowl for defecate <br> 6. Pit latrine without slab <br> 7. Free drop latrine into water ( pond / lake / river / sea ) <br> 8. Other toilets <br> (Please specify) | 1. Yes, share with other family members <br> 2. No, for family members use only $\square$ | 1. Home plumbing <br> 2. Piped water in the yard / residential area <br> 3. Public piped water <br> 4. Pump well / Drilling well <br> 5. Dug well with protective cover <br> 6. Dug well without protective cover <br> 7. Protected groundwater <br> 8. Unprotected groundwater <br> 9. Rainwater <br> 10. Buy water from water tanker <br> 11. Buy cart water <br> 12. Surface water ( river / tributary/ stream / lake / pond / canal/ pond) <br> 13. Bottled water / bottled drinking water <br> 14. Other (please specify) $\square$ (Enter the code) | 1. Water in the house <br> 2. Takes less than 30 minutes <br> 3. Takes more than 30 minutes <br> 4. Do not know $\square$ (Enter the code) | 1. One room <br> 2. Two rooms <br> 3. Three rooms <br> 4. Four rooms <br> 5. Five rooms <br> 6. Six rooms <br> 7. Seven rooms <br> 8. Eight rooms up $\square$ (Enter the code) | 1. Yes <br> 2. No $\square$ (Enter the code) |


Form B Family Questionnaire Part 5: Deceased in the family

People died in the family in the past 12 months $\quad$ Total death toll 



|  |
| :---: |



| Codes for the causes of death for column 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illness | Accident | Don't know |
| 01: Fever 09: Problems during pregnancy <br> 02: Diarrhea 10: Problems during childbirth <br> 03: TB $\quad$ 11:42 days after delivery <br> 04: Heart Disease 12: Other Diseases <br> 05: Dengue fever <br> 06: Malaria <br> 07: Tetanus <br> 08: AIDS | 13: Landmines <br> 14: Traffic Accident <br> 15: Drowning <br> 16: Other accidents | 17\% don't know |


[^0]:    Note: These figures do not include migrant workers.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The term "own account workers" is used in the census questionnaire and refers to individuals who are self-employed.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ E. Arriaga and Associates, 1994. PAS - Demographic analysis with Microcomputers, United States Census Bureau, D.C., USA.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Model life tables are sets of life tables based on the generalization of empirical relationships derived from a group of observed life tables. The Coale-Demeny Regional Model Life Tables and the United Nations Life Tables for Developing Countries are the two main systems of model life tables. These systems are based on empirical life tables that have been developed on the principle of narrowing the selection of a life table to those considered realistic on the basis of examination of mortality levels and patterns calculated for actual populations. These systems cover a wide variety of mortality experiences, so that one may be more appropriate than another for a particular country. Each system has families of life tables. The families in the Coale-Demeny system are: East, West, North and South and the families in the United Nations system are: Latin American, Chilean, South Asian, Far East and General (UN, 1983).
    ${ }^{4}$ Details of the model selection and life table generation are provided in the thematic report on mortality, Appendix A.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ United Nations Population Division (2013), MORTPAK for Windows, Version 4.3, United Nations. New York.

[^5]:    Note: Excludes institutional households, homeless households, households living on boats and transient families

